

County of Washington, Virginia

**Public-Private Education Facilities and Infrastructure Act
of 2002, As Amended**

Guidelines & Procedures

**Adopted
November 24, 2020**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	4
II. GENERAL PROVISIONS	5
A. Proposal Submission	5
B. Affected Jurisdictions	6
C. Proposal Review Fee	6
D. Use of Advisors	7
E. Freedom of Information Act	7
F. Use of Public Funds	9
G. Applicability of Other Laws	9
III. SOLICITED PROPOSALS	9
IV. UNSOLICITED PROPOSALS	9
A. Decision to Accept and Consider Unsolicited Proposal	10
B. Posting Requirements	10
C. Initial Review at the Conceptual Stage	11
V. PROPOSAL PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION	12
A. Format for Submissions at Conceptual Stage	12
1. Qualifications and Experience	12
2. Project Characteristics	12
3. Project Financing	13

4. Project Benefit and Compatibility	14
5. Any Additional Information As the Board May Reasonably Request	15
B. Format for Submissions at Detailed Stage	15
VI. PROPOSAL EVALUATION AND SELECTION CRITERIA	16
A. Qualifications and Experience	16
B. Project Characteristics	16
C. Project Financing	17
D. Project Benefit and Compatibility	17
E. Other Factors	18
VII. ADDITIONAL REVIEW PROCEDURES	18
A. Advisory Committee	18
VIII. INTERIM AND COMPREHENSIVE AGREEMENTS	19
A. Interim Agreement Terms	19
B. Comprehensive Agreement Terms	19
C. Notice and Posting Requirements	21
IX. GOVERNING PROVISIONS	21
X. TERMS AND DEFINITIONS	22
APPENDIX A	ADOPTING RESOLUTION

I. Introduction

The Public-Private Education Facilities and Infrastructure Act of 2002 (the “PPEA” or “Act”) grants the County of Washington, Virginia (the “County”), a responsible public entity as defined by the Act, the authority to create public-private partnerships for the development of a wide range of projects for public use if the County determines there is a need for the project and that private involvement may provide the project to the public in a timely or cost-effective fashion. Individually negotiated interim or comprehensive agreements between a private entity and the County will define the respective rights and obligations of the County and the private entity. Although guidance with regard to the application of the PPEA is provided herein, it will be incumbent upon the County and all private entities to comply with the provisions of the PPEA as applicable.

For a project to come under the PPEA, it must meet the definition of a “qualifying project.” The PPEA contains a broad definition of qualifying projects that includes, but is not limited to, public buildings and facilities of all types, for example:

- An education facility, including but not limited to, a school building (including any stadium or other facility primarily used for school events), and functionally related and subordinate facility and land to a school building and any depreciable property provided for use in a school facility that is operated as part of the public school system or as an institution of higher education;
- A building or facility that meets a public purpose and is developed or operated by or for any public entity;
- Improvements, together with equipment, necessary to enhance public safety and security of buildings to be principally used by a public entity;
 - Utility and telecommunications and other communications infrastructure;
 - A recreational facility;
- Technology infrastructure, including, but not limited to, telecommunications, automated data processing, work processing and management information systems, and related information, equipment, goods and services;
- Technology, equipment, or infrastructure designed to deploy wireless broadband services to schools, businesses, or residential areas;
- Services designed to increase the productivity or efficiency through the use of technology or other means; or
- Any improvements necessary or desirable to any unimproved locally- or state-owned real estate.

The PPEA establishes requirements that the County must adhere to when reviewing and approving proposals received pursuant to the PPEA. In addition, the PPEA specifies the criteria that must be used to select a proposal and the contents of the interim or comprehensive agreement detailing the relationship between the County and the private entity.

The County adopted these guidelines to implement the PPEA. Therefore, the County will follow these guidelines to receive and evaluate any proposal submitted to the County under the provisions of the PPEA. The procedures provided in this policy may be amended only by act of the County; however, the County’s County Administrator is authorized to the fullest extent permitted by law to

implement these guidelines and take action on behalf of the County as to any PPEA matter or transaction in any instance when these guidelines make reference to the “County.”

These Guidelines shall govern all County PPEA projects. The County Administrator shall evaluate any and all proposals and negotiate any Interim Agreement or Comprehensive Agreement. The County Administrator is authorized to designate a Selection Committee (the “Selection Committee”) to be responsible for evaluating proposals and negotiating any Interim Agreement and Comprehensive Agreement. The County Administrator shall serve as the point of contact for implementation of these Guidelines, to receive proposals submitted under the PPEA, and to respond to inquiries regarding the PPEA or these Guidelines.

In the event that the PPEA is amended in a manner that either conflicts with these Guidelines or concerns material matters not addressed by these Guidelines, the County shall appropriately amend the Guidelines. If the Guidelines are not amended prior to the effective date of the new law, these Guidelines nonetheless shall be interpreted in a manner to conform to the PPEA as amended.

Because the PPEA is intended to encourage innovative partnerships between responsible public entities and private entities, the County will maintain an open dialogue with private entities to discuss the need for infrastructure improvements.

II. General Provisions

A. Proposal Submission

A proposal may be either solicited by the County or delivered by a private entity on an unsolicited basis. Proposers may be required to follow a two-part proposal submission process consisting of an initial conceptual phase and a detailed phase. The initial phase of the proposal should contain specified information on proposer qualifications and experience, project characteristics, project financing, anticipated public support or opposition, or both, and project benefit and compatibility. The detailed proposal should contain specified deliverables.

The PPEA allows private entities to include innovative financing methods, including the imposition of user fees or service payments, in a proposal. Such financing arrangements may include the issuance of debt instruments, equity or other securities or obligations, including, if applicable the portion of the tax exempt private activity bond limitation amount to be allocated annually by the Commonwealth of Virginia pursuant to the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 for the development of education facilities using the public-private partnerships, and to provide for carryovers for any unused limitation amount. The PPEA is a flexible development tool that allows the use of innovative financing techniques. Depending on the County’s authority and the circumstances of each transaction, financing options might include the use of special purpose entities, sale and lease back transactions, enhanced use leasing, property exchanges, development agreements, conduit financing and other methods allowed by law.

Proposals should be prepared simply and economically, providing a concise description of the proposer’s capabilities to complete the proposed qualifying project and the benefits derived from the

project by the County. Project benefits to be considered are those occurring in the construction, renovation, expansion or improvement phase during the life cycle of a project. Proposals also should include a scope of work and a financial plan for the project, containing enough detail to allow an analysis by the County of the financial feasibility of the proposed project. The cost analysis of a proposal should not be linked solely to the financing plan, as the County may determine to finance the project through other available means.

The County shall establish clearly delineated criteria for: (i) selecting among competing proposals; and (ii) the use of an accelerated documentation, review and selection process for proposals involving a qualifying project that the County deems a priority. In addition, to facilitate the flow of critical information, the County may establish criteria by which the proposer may provide clarification to a submitted proposal.

B. Affected Jurisdictions

Any private entity requesting approval from or submitting a conceptual or detailed proposal to the County must provide each affected jurisdiction with a copy of the private entity’s request or proposal by certified mail, express delivery, or hand delivery. Affected jurisdictions that are not “responsible public entities” (“RPEs”) under the proposed qualifying project shall have sixty (60) days from the receipt of the request or proposal to submit written comments to the County and to indicate whether the proposed qualifying project is compatible with the (i) local comprehensive plan, (ii) local infrastructure development plans, or (iii) capital improvements budget or other government spending plan. Comments received within the 60-day period shall be given consideration by the County, and no negative inference shall be drawn from the absence of comment by an affected jurisdiction.

C. Proposal Review Fee

The County shall receive an analysis of the proposal from appropriate internal staff or outside advisors or consultants with relevant experience in determining whether to enter into an agreement with the private entity. In the case of unsolicited and competing unsolicited proposals, review fees shall be paid in accordance with the following table, with percentages being of the total cost of the proposed project:

Review Stage	Fee	Minimum	Maximum
Conceptual	½ of 1%	\$2,500.00	\$25,000.00
Detail	½ of 1%	\$5,000.00	\$50,000.00

No proposal review fee shall apply to solicited proposals.

Conceptual Stage: the time from which a proposal is first received until when conceptual proposals have been evaluated and either the County has decided not to proceed further under the PPEA or the County has requested a proposer or proposers to submit detailed proposals.

Detail Stage: the time from when the County requests submission of detailed proposals until the County either decides not to proceed further under the PPEA or has executed a comprehensive agreement with a proposer or proposers for the project.

Fees shall be submitted with the proposals for each corresponding phase in the form of a cashier's or certified check made payable to the County. The County may elect to refund any portion of fees paid in excess of its direct costs associated with evaluating the proposal. In the event either the initial processing fee of \$2,500.00 or the additional proposal fee is determined by the County Administrator to be insufficient to cover all of the direct costs incurred or expected to be incurred by the County in reviewing the proposal, the proposer shall pay the additional amount(s) as specified by the County Administrator. Any proposal submitted without payment of the required fee or any failure to pay the additional amount(s) as specified by the County Administrator within 5 days shall result in the proposal not being considered. The proposal fee may cover all or part of the initial review process. For example, the County may require a proposal fee in the amount sufficient to cover all anticipated direct costs associated with evaluating the proposal, or the County may require the smaller initial processing fee with an additional proposal fee to be charged should the project proceed beyond the initial review.

D. Use of Advisors

The Washington County Board of Supervisors may retain the services of outside advisors or consultants with relevant experience or request an analysis of the proposal from appropriate internal staff in determining whether to enter into an agreement with the private entity.

The proposal review fee shall be principally used to cover the cost of the services provided by outside buyers, advisors or consultants or appropriate internal staff. The cost associated with such reviews shall be documented and made available to proposers upon request. Any outside advisor or consultant shall be procured as required by state law and applicable processes.

E. Freedom of Information Act

1. General applicability of disclosure provisions.

Proposal documents submitted by private entities are generally subject to the Virginia Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") except that subdivision 11 of Virginia Code Section 2.2-3705.6 exempts certain documents from public disclosure. FOIA exemptions, however, are discretionary, and the County may elect to release some or all of the documents except to the extent documents are:

- a. Trade secrets of the private entity as defined by the Uniform Trade Secrets Act (§ 59.1-336 et seq.);
- b. Financial records of the private entity that are not generally available to the public through regulatory disclosure or otherwise, including, but not limited to, balance sheets and financial statements; or
- c. Other information submitted by a private entity, where if the record or document were made public prior to the execution of an interim or comprehensive agreement the financial interest or bargaining position of the public or private entity would be adversely affected.

Additionally, to the extent access to proposal documents submitted by private entities is compelled or protected from disclosure by a court order, the County will comply with the provisions of such order.

2. Protection from mandatory disclosure for certain documents submitted by a private entity.

Before a document of a private entity may be withheld from disclosure, the private entity must make a written request to the County at the time the documents are submitted designating with specificity the documents for which the protection is being sought and a clear statement of the reasons for invoking the protection with reference to one or more of three classes of records listed in Section II.E.1.

Upon receipt of a written request for protection of documents, the County shall determine whether the documents contain (i) trade secrets, (ii) financial records, or (iii) other information that would adversely affect the financial interest or bargaining position of the County or private entity in accordance with Section II.E.1. The County shall make a written determination of the nature and scope of the protection to be afforded by the County under this subdivision. If the written determination provides less protection than requested by the private entity, the private entity should be afforded an opportunity to withdraw its proposal. Nothing shall prohibit further negotiations of the documents to be afforded protection from release although what may be protected must be limited to the categories of records identified in Section II.E.1.

Once a written determination has been made by the County, the documents afforded protection under this subdivision shall continue to be protected from disclosure when in the possession of the County or any affected jurisdiction to which such documents are provided.

If a private entity fails to designate trade secrets, financial records, or other confidential information or proprietary information from protection for disclosure, such information, records or documents shall be subject to disclosure under FOIA.

3. Protection from mandatory disclosure for certain documents produced by the County.

The County may withhold from disclosure memoranda, staff evaluations, or other records prepared by the County, its staff, outside advisors, or consultants exclusively for the evaluation and negotiation of proposals where (i) if such records were made public prior to or after the execution of an interim or comprehensive agreement, the financial interest or bargaining position of the County would be adversely affected, and (ii) the basis for the determination required in clause (i) is documented in writing by the County.

Cost estimates relating to a proposed procurement transaction prepared by or for the County shall not be open to public inspection.

4. The County may not withhold from public access:

- a. procurement records other than those subject to the written determination of the County;
- b. information concerning the terms and conditions of any interim or comprehensive agreement, service contract, lease, partnership, or any agreement of any kind entered into by the County and the private entity;
- c. information concerning the terms and conditions of any financing arrangement that

- involves the use of public funds; or
- d. information concerning the performance of any private entity developing or operating a qualifying project.

However, to the extent that access to any procurement record or other document or information is compelled or protected by a court order, then the County must comply with such order.

F. Use of Public Funds

Virginia constitutional and statutory requirements as they apply to appropriation and expenditure of public funds apply to any interim or comprehensive agreement entered into by the PPEA. Accordingly, the process and procedural requirements associated with the expenditure or obligation of public funds shall be incorporated into planning for any PPEA project or projects.

G. Applicability of Other Laws

Nothing in the PPEA shall affect the duty of the County to comply with all other applicable law not in conflict with the PPEA. The applicability of the Virginia Public Procurement Act (“VPPA”) is as set forth in the PPEA.

III. Solicited Proposals

The County may issue Requests for Proposals (RFPs) or Invitations for Bids (IFBs) inviting proposals from private entities to develop or operate qualifying projects. The County may use a two-part proposal process consisting of an initial conceptual phase and a detailed phase. An RFP may invite proposers to submit proposals on individual projects identified by the County. In such a case the County should set forth in the RFP the format and supporting information that is required to be submitted, consistent with the provisions of the PPEA. The County may establish suggested timelines for selecting proposals for the review and selection of solicited proposals.

The RFP should specify, but not necessarily be limited to, information and documents that must accompany each proposal and the factors that will be used in evaluating the submitted proposals. The RFP will be posted in such public areas as are normally used for posting of the County notices.

Notices should also be posted on the County’s website and advertised in Virginia Business Opportunities and posted on the Commonwealth’s electronic procurement site. In addition, solicited proposals should be posted pursuant to Section IV.B. The RFP should also contain or incorporate by reference other applicable terms and conditions, including any unique capabilities or qualifications that will be required of the private entities submitting proposals. Pre-proposal conferences may be held as deemed appropriate by the County.

IV. Unsolicited Proposals

The PPEA permits the County to receive, evaluate and select for negotiations unsolicited proposals from private entities to develop or operate a qualifying project. The County may publicize its need and may encourage interested parties to submit unsolicited proposals subject to the terms and

conditions of the PPEA. When such a proposal is received without issuance of a solicitation, the proposal shall be treated as an unsolicited proposal. The County may establish suggested timelines for selecting proposals and for the review and selection of unsolicited proposals.

A. Decision to Accept and Consider Unsolicited Proposals

1. Upon receipt of any unsolicited proposal, or group of proposals, and payment of any required fee by the proposer or proposers, the County should determine whether to accept the unsolicited proposal for the purpose of publication and conceptual-phase consideration. If the County determines not to accept the proposal and proceed to publication and conceptual-phase consideration, it should return the proposal, together with all fees and accompanying documentation, to the proposer.

2. If the County chooses to accept an unsolicited proposal for publication and conceptual-phase consideration, it shall post a notice in a public area regularly used by the County for posting of public notices for a period of not fewer than 45 days. The County shall also post the same notice on the County's website not fewer than 45 days in advance of the proposal due date to notify any parties that may be interested in submitting competing unsolicited proposals. In addition, the notice should be advertised in Virginia Business Opportunities and on the Commonwealth's electronic procurement website. The notice shall state that the County (i) has received an unsolicited proposal under the PPEA, (ii) intends to evaluate the proposal, (iii) may negotiate an interim or comprehensive agreement with the proposer based on the proposal, and (iv) will receive for simultaneous consideration any competing proposals that comply with the procedures adopted by the County and the PPEA. The notice also shall summarize the proposed qualifying project or projects, and identify their proposed locations.

To ensure that sufficient information is available upon which to base the development of a serious competing proposal, representatives of the County familiar with the unsolicited proposal and the guidelines established by the PPEA shall be made available to respond to inquiries and meet with private entities that are considering the submission of a competing proposal. The County shall conduct an analysis of the information pertaining to the proposal included in the notice to ensure that such information sufficiently encourages competing proposals. Further, the County shall establish criteria, including key decision points and approvals to ensure proper consideration of the extent of competition from available private entities prior to selection.

B. Posting Requirements

Conceptual proposals, whether solicited or unsolicited, shall be posted by the County within 10 working days after acceptance of such proposals in the following manner:

Posting shall be on the County's website and shall include a summary of the proposals and the location where copies of the proposals are available for public inspection. Posting may also be made on the Department of General Services' web-based electronic procurement program commonly known as "eVA," in the discretion of the County.

Nothing shall be construed to prohibit the posting of the conceptual proposals by additional means deemed appropriate by the County so as to provide maximum notice to the public of the opportunity

to inspect the proposals.

In addition to the posting requirements described in this section, at least one copy of the proposals shall be made available for public inspection. Trade secrets, financial records, or other records of the private entity excluded from disclosure under the provisions of subdivision 11 of § 2.2-2705.6 shall not be required to be posted, except as otherwise agreed to by the County and the private entity. Any inspection of procurement transaction records shall be subject to reasonable restrictions to ensure the security and integrity of the records.

C. Initial Review by the County at the Conceptual Stage

1. Only proposals complying with the requirements of the PPEA that contain sufficient information for a meaningful evaluation and that are provided in an appropriate format shall be considered by the County for further review at the conceptual stage. Formatting suggestions for proposals at the conceptual stage are found in Section V.A.

2. The County should determine at this initial stage of review whether it will proceed using:

a. Standard procurement procedures consistent with the VPPA; or

b. Guidelines developed by the County that are consistent with procurement of “other than professional services” through “competitive negotiation” as the terms are defined in §2.2-4301 of the Code of Virginia. The County may proceed using such guidelines only if it makes a written determination that doing so is likely to be advantageous to the County and the public based upon either (i) the probable scope, complexity or priority of need; (ii) the risk sharing including guaranteed cost or completion guarantees, added value or debt, or equity investments proposed by the private entity; or (iii) the increase in funding, dedicated revenue or other economic benefit that would otherwise not be available.

3. After reviewing the original proposal and any competing proposals submitted during the notice period, the County may determine:

- a. not to proceed further with any proposal;
- b. to proceed to the detailed phase of review with the original proposal;
- c. to proceed to the detailed phase with a competing proposal;
- d. to proceed to the detailed phase with multiple proposals; or
- e. to request modifications or amendments to any proposals.

In the event that more than one proposal will be considered in the detailed phase of review, the County will consider whether the unsuccessful proposer should be reimbursed for costs incurred in the detailed phase of review, and such reasonable costs may be assessed to the successful proposer in the comprehensive agreement.

4. Discussions between the County and private entities about the need for infrastructure improvements shall not limit the ability of the County to later determine to use standard procurement

procedures to meet its infrastructure needs. The County retains the right to reject any proposal at any time prior to the execution of an interim or comprehensive agreement. If the County rejects a proposal initiated by a private entity that purports to develop specific cost savings, the County shall specify the basis for the rejection.

V. Proposal Preparation and Submission

A. Format for Submissions at Conceptual Stage

The County requires that proposals at the conceptual stage contain information in the following areas: (i) qualifications and experience, (ii) project characteristics, (iii) project financing, (iv) anticipated public support or opposition, or both, (v) project benefit and compatibility and (vi) any additional information as the County may reasonably request to comply with the requirements of the PPEA. Suggestions for formatting information to be included in proposals at this stage include the items listed below, as well as any additional information or documents that the County may request:

1. Qualifications and Experience

- a. Identify the legal structure of the firm or consortium of firms making the proposal. Identify the organizational structure for the project, the management approach and how each partner and major subcontractor in the structure fits into the overall team.
- b. Describe the experience of the firm or consortium of firms making the proposal and the key principals involved in the proposed project including experience with projects of comparable size and complexity. Describe the length of time in business, business experience, public sector experience and other engagements of the firm or consortium of firms. Include the identity of any firms that will provide design, construction and completion guarantees and warranties, and a description of such guarantees and warranties.
- c. Provide the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of persons within the firm or consortium of firms who may be contacted for further information.
- d. Provide a current or most recently audited financial statement of the firm or firms and each partner with an equity interest of twenty percent or greater.
- e. Identify any persons known to the proposer who would be obligated to disqualify themselves from participation in any transaction arising from or in connection to the project pursuant to the Virginia State and Local Government Conflict of Interests Act, Chapter 31 (§ 2.2-3100 et seq.) of Title 2.2.

2. Project Characteristics

- a. Provide a description of the project, including the conceptual design.

- b. Describe the proposed project in sufficient detail so that type and intent of the project, the location, and the communities that may be affected are clearly identified.
- c. Identify and fully describe any work to be performed by the County.
- d. Include a list of all federal, state, and local permits and approvals required for the project and a schedule for obtaining such permits and approvals.
- e. Identify any anticipated adverse social, economic, and environmental impacts of the project. Specify the strategies or actions to mitigate known impacts of the project.
- f. Identify the projected positive social, economic, and environmental impacts of the project.
- f. Identify the proposed schedule for the work on the project, including the estimated time for completion.
- g. Propose allocation of risk and liability for work completed beyond the agreement's completion date, and assurances for timely completion of the project.
- h. State assumptions related to ownership, legal liability, law enforcement, and operation of the project and the existence of any restrictions on the County's use of the project.
- i. Provide information relative to phased or partial openings of the proposed project prior to completion of the entire work.
 - j. List any other assumptions relied on for the project to be successful.
 - k. List any contingencies that must occur for the project to be successful.

3. Project Financing

- a. Provide a preliminary estimate and estimating methodology of the cost of the work by phase, segment, or both.
- b. Submit a plan for the development, financing, and operation of the project showing the anticipated schedule on which funds will be required. Describe the anticipated costs of and proposed sources and uses for such funds including any anticipated debt service costs. The operational plan should include appropriate staffing levels and associated costs. Include supporting due diligence studies, analyses, or reports.

- c. Include a list and discussion of assumptions underlying all major elements of the plan. Assumptions should include all significant fees associated with financing given the recommended financing approach. In addition complete disclosure of interest rate assumptions should be included. Any ongoing operational fees, if applicable, should also be disclosed as well as any assumptions with regard to increases in such fees.
- d. Identify the proposed risk factors and methods for dealing with these factors.
- e. Identify any local, state, or federal resources that the proposer contemplates requesting for the project. Describe the total commitment, if any, expected from governmental sources and the timing of any anticipated commitment. Such disclosure should include any direct or indirect guarantees or pledges of the County's credit or revenue.
- f. Identify the amounts and the terms and conditions for any revenue sources.
- g. Identify any aspect of the project that could disqualify the project from obtaining tax-exempt financing.

4. Project Benefit and Compatibility

- a. Identify who will benefit from the project, how they will benefit, and how the project will benefit the overall community, region, or state.
- b. Identify any anticipated public support or opposition, as well as any anticipated government support or opposition, for the project.
- c. Explain the strategy and plans that will be carried out to involve and inform the general public, business community, and governmental agencies in areas affected by the project.
- d. Describe the anticipated significant benefits to the community, region or state, including anticipated benefits to the economic condition of the County and Washington County, and whether the project is critical to attracting or maintaining competitive industries and businesses to Washington County, or the surrounding region.
- e. Describe compatibility with the local comprehensive plan, local infrastructure development plans, the capital improvements budget, or other government spending plan.
- f. Provide a statement setting forth participation efforts that are intended to be undertaken in connection with this project with regard to the following types of businesses: minority-owned businesses, (ii) woman-owned businesses, and (iii) small businesses.

5. Any Additional the Board May Reasonably Request

B. Format for Submissions at Detailed Stage

If the County decides to proceed to the detailed phase of review with one or more proposals, the following information should be provided by the private entity unless waived by the County:

1. A topographical map (1:2,000 or other appropriate scale) depicting the location of the proposed project;
2. A list of public utility facilities, if any, that will be crossed by the qualifying project and a statement of the plans of the proposer to accommodate such crossings;
3. A statement and strategy setting out the plans for securing all necessary property;
4. A detailed listing of all firms that will provide specific design, construction and completion guarantees and warranties, and a brief description of such guarantees and warranties;
5. A total life-cycle cost specifying methodology and assumptions of the project or projects and the proposed project start date. Include anticipated commitment of all parties; equity, debt, and other financing mechanisms; and a schedule of project revenues and project costs. The life-cycle cost analysis should include, but not be limited to, a detailed analysis of the projected return, rate of return, or both, expected useful life of facility, and estimated annual operating expenses;
6. A detailed discussion of assumptions about user fees or rates, and usage of the project or projects;
7. Identification of any known government support or opposition, or general public support or opposition for the project. Government or public support should be demonstrated through resolution of official bodies, minutes of meetings, letters, or other official communications;
8. Demonstration of consistency with appropriate local comprehensive or infrastructure development plans or indication of the steps required for acceptance into such plans;
9. Explanation of how the proposed project would impact local development plans of each affected jurisdiction;
10. Identification of the executive management and the officers and directors of the firm or firms submitting the proposal. In addition, identification of any known conflicts of interest or other disabilities that may impact the County's consideration of the proposal, including the identification of any persons known to the proposer who would be obligated to disqualify themselves from participation in any transaction arising from or in connection to the project pursuant to the Virginia State and Local Government Conflict of Interest Act, Chapter 31 (§ 2.2-3100 et seq.) of Title 2.2; and
11. Additional material and information as the County may reasonably request.

VI. Proposal Evaluation and Selection Criteria

There are several factors that the County may wish to consider when evaluating and selecting a proposal under the PPEA. The following are some of the factors that may be considered by the County in the evaluation and selection of PPEA proposals; however, the County reserves the right to reject any request or proposal at any time for any reason whatsoever.

A. Qualifications and Experience

Factors to be considered in either phase of the County's review to determine whether the proposer possesses the requisite qualifications and experience include:

1. Experience with similar projects;
2. Demonstration of ability to perform work;
3. Leadership structure;
4. Project manager's experience;
5. Management approach;
6. Financial condition; and
7. Project ownership.

B. Project Characteristics

Factors to be considered in determining the project characteristics include:

1. Project definition;
2. Proposed project schedule;
3. Operation of the project;
4. Technology and technical feasibility;
5. Conformity to laws, regulations, and standards;
6. Environmental impacts;
7. Condemnation impacts;

8. State and local permits; and
9. Maintenance of the project.

C. Project Financing

Factors to be considered in determining whether the proposed project financing allows adequate access to the necessary capital to finance the project include:

1. Cost and cost benefit to the County;
2. Financing and the impact on the debt burden of the County or locality;
3. Financial plan, including the degree to which the proposer has conducted due diligence investigation and analysis of the proposed financial plan and the results of any such inquiries or studies;
4. Opportunity costs assessment;
5. Estimated cost;
6. Life-cycle cost analysis;
7. The identity, credit history, past performance of any third party that will provide financing for the project and the nature and timing of its commitment, as applicable; and
8. Such other items as the County deems appropriate.

In the event that any project is financed through the issuance of obligations that are deemed to be tax supported debt of the County or locality, or if financing such a project may impact the County or locality's debt rating or financial position, the County or locality may select its own finance team, source, and financing vehicle.

D. Project Benefit and Compatibility

Factors to be considered in determining the proposed project's compatibility with the appropriate local or regional comprehensive or development plans include:

1. Community benefits;
2. Community support or opposition, or both;
3. Public involvement strategy;

4. Compatibility with existing and planned facilities; and
5. Compatibility with local, regional, and state economic development efforts.

E. Other Factors

Other factors that may be considered by the County in the evaluation and selection of PPEA proposals include:

1. The proposed cost of the qualifying project;
2. The general reputation, industry experience, and financial capacity of the private entity;
3. The proposed design of the qualifying project;
4. The eligibility of the project for accelerated documentation, review, and selection;
5. Local citizen and government comments;
6. Benefits to the public, including financial and nonfinancial;
7. The private entity's compliance with a minority business enterprise participation plan or good faith effort to comply with the goals of such plan;
8. The private entity's plans to employ local contractors and residents;
9. The recommendation of a committee of representatives of members of the School Board and the appropriating body which may be established to provide advisory oversight for the project; and
10. Other criteria that the County deems appropriate.

VII. Additional Review Procedures

A. Advisory Committee

The County may, at its discretion, designate an advisory committee to review the terms of a proposed interim or comprehensive agreement. Such review of terms should include, but not be limited to, the scope, total cost and duration of the proposed project, and whether the project involves or impacts multiple public entities. Timelines for such work committee should be developed and made available to proposers. There is no requirement for a joint review committee.

VIII. Interim and Comprehensive Agreements

Prior to developing or operating the qualifying project, the selected private entity shall enter into a comprehensive agreement with the County. Prior to entering a comprehensive agreement, an interim agreement may be entered into that permits a private entity to perform compensable activities related to the project. The County may designate a working group to be responsible for negotiating any interim or comprehensive agreement. Any interim or comprehensive agreement shall define the rights and obligations of the County and the selected proposer with regard to the project.

A. Interim Agreement Terms

The scope of an interim agreement may include but is not limited to:

1. Project planning and development;
2. Design and engineering;
3. Environmental analysis and mitigation;
4. Survey;
5. Ascertaining the availability of financing for the proposed facility through financial and revenue analysis;
6. Establishing a process and timing of the negotiation of the comprehensive agreement; and
7. Any other provisions related to any aspect of the development or operation of a qualifying project that the parties may deem appropriate prior to the execution of a comprehensive agreement.

B. Comprehensive Agreement Terms

The scope of the comprehensive agreement shall include but not be limited to:

1. The delivery of maintenance, performance and payment bonds or letters of credit in connection with any acquisition, design, construction, improvement, renovation, expansion, equipping, maintenance, or operation of the qualifying project;
2. The review of plans and specifications for the qualifying project by the County;
3. The rights of the County to inspect the qualifying project to ensure compliance with the comprehensive agreement;
4. The maintenance of a policy or policies of liability insurance or self-insurance reasonably sufficient to insure coverage of the project and the tort liability to the public and employees and to enable the continued operation of the qualifying project;

5. The monitoring of the practices of the private entity by the County to ensure proper maintenance;
6. The terms under which the private entity will reimburse the County for services provided;
7. The policy and procedures that will govern the rights and responsibilities of the County and the private entity in the event that the comprehensive agreement is terminated or there is a material default by the private entity including the conditions governing assumption of the duties and responsibilities of the private entity by the County and the transfer or purchase of property or other interests of the private entity by the County;
8. The terms under which the private entity will file appropriate financial statements on a periodic basis;
9. The mechanism by which user fees, lease payments, or service payments, if any, may be established from time to time upon agreement of the parties. Any payments or fees shall be set at a level that is the same for persons using the facility under like conditions and that will not materially discourage use for the qualifying project;
 - a. A copy of any service contract shall be filed with the County.
- b. A schedule of the current user fees or lease payments shall be made available by the private entity to any member of the public upon request.
 - c. Classifications according to reasonable categories for assessment of user fees may be made.
10. The terms and conditions under which the County may contribute financial resources, if any, for the qualifying project;
11. The terms and conditions under which existing site conditions will be assessed and addressed, including identification of the responsible party for conducting the assessment and taking necessary remedial action;
12. The terms and conditions under which the County will be required to pay money to the private entity and the amount of any such payments for the project;
13. Other requirements of the PPEA or other applicable law; and
14. Such other terms and conditions as the County may deem appropriate.

Any changes in the terms of the interim or comprehensive agreement as may be agreed upon by the parties from time to time shall be added to the interim or comprehensive agreement by written amendment.

The comprehensive agreement may provide for the development or operation of phases or segments of a qualifying project.

C. Notice and Posting Requirements

1. In addition to the posting requirements of Section IV.B., the County shall hold a public hearing on the proposals during the proposal review process, but not later than 30 days prior to entering into an interim or comprehensive agreement.

2. Once the negotiation phase for the development of an interim or a comprehensive agreement is complete and a decision to award has been made by the County, the County shall post the proposed agreement on the County's website to include a summary of the proposals and the location where copies of the proposals are available for public inspection. Posting may also be on the Department of General Services' web-based electronic procurement program commonly known as "eVA," in order to provide the public with centralized visibility and access to the Commonwealth's procurement opportunities.

In addition to the posting requirements, at least one copy of the proposals shall be made available for public inspection. Trade secrets, financial records, or other records of the private entity excluded from disclosure under the provisions of subdivision 11 of § 2.2-3705.6 shall not be required to be posted, except as otherwise agreed to by the County and the private entity.

Any studies and analyses considered by the County in its review of a proposal shall be disclosed to the appropriating body at some point prior to the execution of an interim or comprehensive agreement.

3. Once an interim agreement or a comprehensive agreement has been entered into, the County shall make records available for public inspection, upon request.

a. Such procurement records shall include documents protected from disclosure during the negotiation phase on the basis that the release of such documents would have adverse effect on the financial interest or bargaining position of the County or private entity in accordance with Section II.E.3.

b. Such procurement records shall not include (i) trade secrets of the private entity as defined in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act (§ 59.1-336 et seq.) or (ii) financial records, including balance sheets or financial statements of the private entity that are not generally available to the public through regulatory disclosure or otherwise.

To the extent access to procurement records are compelled or protected by a court order, then the County must comply with such order.

IX. Governing Provisions

In the event of any conflict between these guidelines and the PPEA, the terms of the PPEA shall control.

X. Terms and Definitions

“Affected jurisdiction” means any county, city, or town in which all or a portion of a qualifying project is located.

“Appropriating body” means the body responsible for appropriating or authorizing funding to pay for a qualifying project.

“Comprehensive agreement” means the comprehensive agreement between the private entity and the responsible public entity that is required prior to the development or operation of a qualifying project.

“Conceptual stage” means the initial phase of project evaluation when the public entity makes a determination whether the proposed project serves a public purpose, meets the criteria for a qualifying project, assesses the qualifications and experience of a private entity proposer, reviews the project for financial feasibility, and warrants further pursuit.

“Cost-benefit analysis” means an analysis that weighs expected costs against expected benefits in order to choose the best option. For example, a city manager may compare the costs and benefits of constructing a new office building to those of renovating and maintaining an existing structure in order to select the most financially advantageous option.

“Detailed stage” means the second phase of project evaluation where the public entity has completed the conceptual stage and accepted the proposal and may request additional information regarding a proposed project prior to entering into competitive negotiations with one or more private entities to develop an interim or comprehensive agreement.

“Develop” or “development” means to plan, design, develop, finance, lease, acquire, install, construct, or expand.

“Interim agreement” means an agreement between a private entity and a responsible public entity that provides for phasing of the development or operation, or both, of a qualifying project. Such phases may include, but are not limited to, design, planning, engineering, environmental analysis and mitigation, financial and revenue analysis, or any other phase of the project that constitutes activity on any part of the qualifying project.

“Lease payment” means any form of payment, including a land lease, by a public entity to the private entity for the use of a qualifying project.

“Lifecycle cost analysis” means an analysis that calculates cost of an asset over its entire life span and includes the cost of planning, constructing, operating, maintaining, replacing, and when applicable, salvaging the asset. Although one proposal may have a lower initial construction cost, it may not have the lowest lifecycle cost once maintenance, replacement, and salvage value is considered.

“Material default” means any default by the private entity in the performance of its duties that jeopardizes adequate service to the public from a qualifying project.

“Operate” means to finance, maintain, improve, equip, modify, repair, or operate.

“Opportunity cost” means the cost of passing up another choice when making a decision or the increase in costs due to delays in making a decision.

“Private entity” means any natural person, corporation, general partnership, limited liability company, limited partnership, joint venture, business trust, public benefit corporation, nonprofit entity, or other business entity.

“Public entity” means the Commonwealth and any agency or authority thereof, any county, city or town and any other political subdivision of the Commonwealth, any public body politic and corporate, or any regional entity that serves a public purpose.

“Qualifying project” means (i) any education facility, including, but not limited to a school building, any functionally related and subordinate facility and land of a school building (including any stadium or other facility primarily used for school events), and any depreciable property provided for use in a school facility that is operated as part of the public school system or as an institution of higher education; (ii) any building or facility that meets a public purpose and is developed or operated by or for any public entity; (iii) any improvements, together with equipment, necessary to enhance public safety and security of buildings to be principally used by a public entity; (iv) utility and telecommunications and other communications infrastructure; (v) a recreational facility; (vi) technology infrastructure and services, including, but not limited to, telecommunications, automated data processing, word processing and management information systems, and related information, equipment, goods and services; (vii) any services designed to increase the productivity or efficiency of the responsible public entity through the use of technology or other means; or (viii) any technology, equipment, or infrastructure designed to deploy wireless broadband services to schools, businesses or residential areas; (ix) any improvements necessary or desirable to any unimproved locally- or state-owned real estate; or (x) any solid waste management facility as defined in Virginia Code Section 10.1-1400 that produces electric energy from solid waste.

“Responsible public entity” means a public entity that has the power to develop or operate the applicable qualifying project.

“Revenues” means all revenues, income, earnings, user fees, lease payments, or other service payments arising out of or in connection with supporting the development or operation of a qualifying project, including without limitation, money received as grants or otherwise from the United States of America, from any public entity, or from any agency or instrumentality of the foregoing in aid of such facility.

“Service contract” means a contract entered into between a public entity and the private entity pursuant to Virginia Code § 56-575.5.

“Service payments” means payments to the private entity of a qualifying project pursuant to a service contract.

“State” means the Commonwealth of Virginia.

“User fees” mean the rates, fees, or other charges imposed by the private entity of a qualifying project for use of all or a portion of such qualifying project pursuant to the comprehensive agreement pursuant to § Virginia Code §56-575.

APPENDIX A

[Adopting resolution]



COUNTY OF WASHINGTON, VIRGINIA



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DWAYNE A. BALL
CHAIRMAN
C-11 "WILSON" ELECTION DISTRICT

RANDY L. PENNINGTON
VICE-CHAIRMAN
B-11 "STONEMAN" ELECTION DISTRICT

PHILLIP B. MCCALL
A-11 "WASHINGTON" ELECTION DISTRICT

CHARLIE HARGIS
C-11 "MADISON" ELECTION DISTRICT

WAYNE STEVENS
D-11 "MURKIN" ELECTION DISTRICT

MIKE RUSH
E-11 "TAYLOR" ELECTION DISTRICT

SAUL A. HERNANDEZ
F-11 "TYLER" ELECTION DISTRICT

RESOLUTION 2020-42

TO ADOPT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY, VIRGINIA, PUBLIC-PRIVATE EDUCATION FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT OF 2002, AS AMENDED, GUIDELINES AND PROCEDURES AND TO APPROVE THE WASHINGTON COUNTY COURTHOUSE AS A QUALIFYING PROJECT

COUNTY ADMINISTRATION

JASON N. BERRY
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

LUCY E. PHILLIPS
COUNTY ATTORNEY

GOVERNMENT CENTER
BUILDING

1 GOVERNMENT CENTER PLACE
SUITE A

ABINGDON, VIRGINIA 24210

276-525-1300 TELEPHONE

276-525-1309 TELEFACSIMILE

WWW.WASBCOVA.COM

WHEREAS, THE PUBLIC-PRIVATE EDUCATION FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT OF 2002 AS FOUND IN TITLE 56, CHAPTER 22.1 OF THE CODE OF VIRGINIA, 1950 AS AMENDED, (PPEA) ESTABLISHED CERTAIN RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES TO ENABLE "RESPONSIBLE PUBLIC ENTITIES" TO COMPLETE "QUALIFYING PROJECTS" IN A MANNER THAT MAY RESULT IN THE AVAILABILITY OF SUCH PROJECTS TO THE PUBLIC IN A MORE TIMELY OR LESS COSTLY FASHION, THEREBY SERVING THE PUBLIC SAFETY, BENEFIT, AND WELFARE;

WHEREAS, THE PPEA DEFINES "QUALIFYING PROJECT" TO INCLUDE MANY CATEGORIES OF PROJECTS, ONE OF WHICH IS "ANY BUILDING OR FACILITY THAT MEETS A PUBLIC PURPOSE AND IS DEVELOPED OR OPERATED BY OR FOR ANY PUBLIC ENTITY";

WHEREAS, THE PPEA DEFINES "RESPONSIBLE PUBLIC ENTITY" TO MEAN "A PUBLIC ENTITY THAT HAS THE POWER TO DEVELOP OR OPERATE THE APPLICABLE QUALIFYING PROJECT";

WHEREAS, THE PPEA REQUIRES THAT A RESPONSIBLE PUBLIC ENTITY SHALL, PRIOR TO REQUESTING OR CONSIDERING A PROPOSAL FOR A QUALIFYING PROJECT, ADOPT AND MAKE PUBLICLY AVAILABLE GUIDELINES THAT ARE REASONABLE, ENCOURAGE COMPETITION, AND GUIDE THE SELECTION OF PROJECTS AND THAT ARE SUFFICIENT TO ENABLE THE RESPONSIBLE PUBLIC ENTITY TO COMPLY WITH THE PPEA;

WHEREAS, THE COUNTY OF WASHINGTON, VIRGINIA, OWNS THE WASHINGTON COUNTY COURTHOUSE (COURTHOUSE) AND HAS THE STATUTORY OBLIGATION FOR ITS DEVELOPMENT, MAINTENANCE, AND CONTINUATION OF OPERATIONS;

WHEREAS, IN FURTHERANCE OF ITS RESPONSIBILITIES FOR THE COURTHOUSE, THE COUNTY CONTRACTED FOR PERFORMANCE OF A 2016 NEEDS ASSESSMENT, WHICH WAS COMPLETED BY THOMPSON & LITTON (JUNE 14, 2016), AND WHICH CONCLUDED THAT THE COURTHOUSE IS SIGNIFICANTLY UNDERSIZED FOR IMMEDIATE AND LONG-TERM NEEDS AND HAS MAJOR STRUCTURAL DEFICIENCIES THAT DEMAND RESOLUTION FOR THE COURT'S CONTINUED OPERATIONS;

WHEREAS, THE COURTHOUSE IS A BUILDING OR FACILITY THAT MEETS A PUBLIC PURPOSE THAT IS OPERATED BY A PUBLIC ENTITY FOR WHICH IMPROVEMENTS, INCLUDING EQUIPMENT, ARE REQUIRED TO ENHANCE PUBLIC SAFETY, SECURITY OF BUILDINGS, AND FUNCTIONALITY; AND

WHEREAS, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF WASHINGTON, VIRGINIA, (BOARD) IS THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE COUNTY AND WISHES TO ADOPT GUIDELINES FOR SELECTION AND COMPLETION OF QUALIFYING PROJECTS SO AS TO ENABLE THE COUNTY TO COMPLETE SUCH PROJECTS, INCLUDING THE COURTHOUSE RENOVATION AND EXPANSION PROJECT, IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE PPEA.

COUNTY OF WASHINGTON, VIRGINIA

PAGE 2 OF 2


NOW, THEREFORE, BASED ON THE FOREGOING AND IN CONSIDERATION OF THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE, THE BOARD HEREBY RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

1. THE BOARD ADOPTS THE "WASHINGTON COUNTY, VIRGINIA, PUBLIC-PRIVATE EDUCATION FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT OF 2002, AS AMENDED, GUIDELINES AND PROCEDURES" (NOVEMBER 24, 2020) AS PRESENTED WITH ITS NOVEMBER 24, 2020, REGULAR MEETING AGENDA MATERIALS (COUNTY GUIDELINES), TO GOVERN THE COUNTY'S COMPLETION OF "QUALIFYING PROJECTS" IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE PPEA;
2. THE BOARD HEREBY APPROVES RENOVATION AND EXPANSION OF THE COURTHOUSE AS A "QUALIFYING PROJECT" UNDER THE PPEA AND DIRECTS THE COUNTY TO COMPLETE SUCH PROJECT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE PPEA AND THE COUNTY GUIDELINES IN THE INTEREST OF COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT IN THE MOST TIMELY AND COST-EFFECTIVE MANNER; AND
3. THE BOARD DIRECTS THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO TAKE SUCH ACTIONS AS NECESSARY AND FEASIBLE IN FURTHERANCE OF THIS RESOLUTION.

DONE THIS 24TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2020.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION WAS DULY ADOPTED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

MR. MCCALL:	AYE
MR. PENNINGTON:	AYE
MR. HARGIS:	AYE
MR. STEVENS:	ABSENT
MR. RUSH:	AYE
MR. HERNANDEZ:	AYE
MR. BALL:	AYE



JASON N. BERRY
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR