

VIRGINIA:

At a regular meeting of the Washington County Board of Supervisors held Tuesday, November 9, 2004, at 7:00 p.m., at the County Administration Building in Abingdon, Virginia the following were present:

PRESENT:

John B. Roberts, Sr. Chairman
 Phillip B. McCall, Vice Chairman
 Bobby D. Ingle
 Odell Owens
 Anthony S. Rector
 Kenneth O. Reynolds

Mark K. Reeter, County Administrator
 Lucy E. Phillips, County Attorney
 Mark W. Seamon, Accounting Manager
 Naoma A. Mullins, Recording Clerk

ABSENT:

Dulcie M. Mumpower

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Mr. John Roberts, Chairman of the Board, who welcomed everyone in attendance. Mr. Roberts stated that Supervisor Dulcie Mumpower was out of town and would not be attending the meeting.

2. Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance

Supervisor Kenneth Reynolds gave the Invocation and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. Approval of Agenda

On motion of Mr. Ingle, second by Mr. Owens, it was resolved to approve the agenda with the following amendments:

Addition of New Item 9.b.

Consideration of Dates to conduct a joint meeting of the Washington County School Board and Board of Supervisors to discuss the School's Capital Facilities Plan.

Change Order of Agenda Items

Move Item 14 – Closed Meeting to be a new Item 7. Current Item 7 – Consideration of Resolution pertaining to The Highlands commercial development will become Item 8.

Revised Language for Closed Meeting *Closed meeting, as allowed by Virginia Code section 2.2-3711(A)(7) for consultation with the County Attorney and with retained legal counsel, Richard Cranwell, where such consultation would adversely affect the negotiating or litigating posture of the board of supervisors and regarding subjects that require the provision of legal advice by legal counsel regarding the matters of Supreme Court review of Circuit Court Case, County of Washington, Virginia v. City of Bristol, Virginia, et. al. (CL03-11); the landowner annexation petition, In the matter of the Leonard, L. P., et. al.; and regarding probable litigation related to waste water management issues in the vicinity of exit seven.*

The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)

<i>Mr. Ingle</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Rector</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Roberts</i>	<i>Aye</i>

4. Approval of Minutes

On motion of Mr. Rector, second by Mr. Owens, it was resolved to approve the minutes of the October 26, 2004, regular meeting as presented.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)

<i>Mr. Ingle</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Rector</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Roberts</i>	<i>Aye</i>

5. Public Hearings:

(1). Erma Jane Wampler on behalf of Colleen Minton, Property Tax Map #027-A-3: Request for a Special Exception Permit to operate a small business in general, more specifically, a service station (preexisting) and a independent used car dealership on property located on the north side of State Route 19 near Russell County Boundary in a CR (Conservation Recreation) zone, Jefferson Magisterial District

Mr. Roberts opened the public hearing to receive comments both in support of and in opposition to the application of Erma Jane Wampler on behalf of Colleen Minton for a Special Exception Permit.

Ms. Brenda Wampler addressed the Board requesting approval of a special exception permit to sell cars on the lot of the Sunoco Service Station located on State Route 19 near the Russell County line.

There being no further comments, Mr. Roberts declared the public hearing closed.

On motion of Mr. Ingle, second by Mr. Rector, it was resolved to follow the recommendation of the Washington County Planning Commission and approve the application of Erma Jane Wampler on behalf of Colleen Minton for a Special Exception Permit to operate a small business in general, more specifically, a service station (preexisting) and a independent used car dealership on property located on the north side of State Route 19 near Russell County Boundary in a CR (Conservation Recreation) zone, Jefferson Magisterial District

The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)

<i>Mr. Ingle</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Rector</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Roberts</i>	<i>Aye</i>

6. Presentation Concerning Reforms to Personal Property Tax Relief Act of 1998

County Treasurer Fred Parker made a presentation concerning changes to the Virginia Personal Property Tax Relief Act of 1998 (car tax) that will have an effect on future County revenues.

Mr. Parker explained that as a result of the last session of the General Assembly Senate Bill 5007 was passed which amends the way the Personal Property Tax Relief Act of 1998 works beginning in FY 2007. The new legislation caps the state's spending on the car tax to \$950,000,000 annually. The state will continue to reimburse the localities 70% in Tax Year 2005. The \$950,000,000 equates to the estimated car tax expenditure for calendar year 2006 at 70%. At first no losses will be experienced. Beginning in FY 2007 the locality's payment will be a fixed sum that represents the locality's pro rata share of the total state reimbursement for Tax Year 2005. Mr. Parker further explained that due to the reduced reimbursement from the state for the car tax, a multi-tiered personal property tax rate structure would most likely be required by localities to make up the loss revenue. He briefly reviewed examples of how a multi-tiered rate structure would work.

Mr. Parker explained that the percentage of reimbursement that localities will receive beginning in FY 2007 would be determined using the Tax Year 2005 reimbursement information. This penalizes those localities that bill in the last quarter of 2005 as Washington County does. A possible solution would be to use the Tax Year 2004 information. He further stated that a working group formed by the Treasurers Association of Virginia, Commissioners of Revenue Association of Virginia, Virginia Municipal League, Virginia Association of Counties and the Secretary of Finance would work on consensus legislation (or budget language) for the 2005 session of the General Assembly.

Mr. Parker advised the Board that the changes to the Personal Property Tax Relief Act would require substantial reprogramming costs. At the present time, the State will not be providing any assistance to localities for these costs.

In closing, Mr. Parker stated that the reform to the Personal Property Tax Relief Act will bring accounting issues and reprogramming costs that the County will be responsible for funding with no assistance from the State. He further stated that the major task would be to decide how to make up the loss in revenue.

The Board devoted substantial discuss to this item.

7. **Closed meeting, as allowed by Virginia Code section 2.2-3711(A)(7) for consultation with the County Attorney and with retained legal counsel, Richard Cranwell, where such consultation and briefing in open meeting would adversely affect the negotiating or litigating posture of the board of supervisors and regarding subjects that require the provision of legal advice by legal counsel regarding the matters of Supreme Court review of Circuit Court Case County of Washington, Virginia v. City of Bristol, Virginia, et. al. (CL03-11); the landowner annexation petition, In the matter of the Leonard, L. P., et. al.; and regarding probable litigation related to waste water management issues in the vicinity of exit seven.**

On motion of Mr. McCall, second by Mr. Ingle the Board acted to convene in closed meeting, as allowed by Virginia Code section 2.2-3711(A)(7) for consultation with the County Attorney and with retained legal counsel, Richard Cranwell, where such consultation and briefing in open meeting would adversely affect the negotiating or litigating posture of the Board of Supervisors and regarding subject that require the provision of legal advice by legal counsel regarding the matters of Supreme Court review of Circuit Court Case County of Washington, Virginia v. City of Bristol, Virginia, et. al. (CL03-11); the landowner annexation petition, In the matter of the Leonard, L.P., et. al.; and regarding probable litigation related to waste water management issues in the vicinity of exit seven.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)

<i>Mr. Ingle</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Rector</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Roberts</i>	<i>Aye</i>

On motion of Mr. Rector, second by Mr. Reynolds, and unanimous vote in favor the Board of Supervisors now reconvenes in open meeting. At this time, any participant in the closed meeting who believes that there was a departure from the requirements of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act during the closed meeting, please state the substance of the departure that you believe has taken place.

Hearing no such statements, on motion of Mr. McCall, second by Mr. Reynolds, it was resolved to certify the closed meeting in accordance with the requirements of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act. By vote in favor of this motion, each member certifies that the closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law, and that only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements and identified in the motion by which the closed meeting was convened were heard, discussed, or considered in the closed meeting.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)

<i>Mr. Ingle</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Rector</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Roberts</i>	<i>Aye</i>

8. Consideration of Resolution Finalizing Incentive Package Proposal to Newton Oldacre McDonald for *The Highlands* Commercial Development

County Administrator Mark Reeter addressed the Board explaining the Board is being asked to consider adopting a resolution that would finalize the County's incentive package proposal to Newton Oldacre McDonald for *The Highlands* Commercial Development. He stated that a separate written agreement in the form of a contract would be negotiated between Newton Oldacre McDonald and the County to formalize the incentive package described in the proposed resolution.

Mr. Reeter advised the Board that modifications had been made to the resolution that was included in the Agenda materials. The revised proposed resolution was distributed to members of the Board and time was allowed for them to review the revised resolution. It was noted that the main substantive revision to the proposed ordinance was to delete reference to waste water issues. This change was made to allow the developer additional time to ascertain its waste water management needs and the County additional time to develop an analysis of waste water management plans.

On motion of Mr. Ingle, second by Mr. Rector, it was resolved to adopt the following resolution:

RESOLUTION 2004-31

**ESTABLISHING FINAL INCENTIVES FOR THE LOCATION
OF THE HIGHLANDS COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT AT I-81, EXIT 7
IN WASHINGTON COUNTY, VIRGINIA**

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Virginia, a political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Virginia (County), Newton Oldacre McDonald, L.L.C. (Developer), Trammell L.L.C. (Joint Venture), and McAfee B. Trammell (Investor) mutually desire the continued commercial development of the I-81, Exit 7 area of Washington County; and

WHEREAS, the Developer entered into a joint venture arrangement with the Investor to develop a major shopping center on property owned by or under contract for purchase by Trammell Investments identified with County Tax Parcel Identification numbers 141-A-58, 141B-A-10, and 141-A-59 situated at I-81 Exit 7 along Lee Highway and parallel to Clear Creek Road in Washington County, Virginia (the Property), which shopping center is planned to be called The Highlands; and

WHEREAS, the Developer notified the County that development of the proposed shopping center in its entirety would result in an investment in excess of sixty million dollars (\$60,000,000.00) in site development, infrastructure costs, and improvements that when fully developed would total more than six hundred thousand (600,000) square feet of building space as shown on the Conceptual Plan drawn by Tysinger, Hampton, & Partners, dated September 21, 2004; and

WHEREAS, the Developer anticipates that the development and operation of The Highlands will result in creation of a significant number of new jobs; and

WHEREAS, the Developer provided to the County statistics, based on national standards, that estimated that the proposed shopping center would generate annual tax revenues to the County in excess of \$2.6 million after complete build-out and occupancy of The Highlands; and

WHEREAS, the Developer and Investor have formed a joint venture for development of The Highlands and named it Tranom, L.L.C. (Joint Venture) (hereinafter, all references to "Joint Venture" shall mean and include Developer, Investor, Tranom, L.L.C., and other individual members of Tranom, L.L.C., both collectively and/or individually, as appropriate); and

WHEREAS, the Joint Venture is a limited liability company duly organized, validly existing, and in good standing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia, with full power and authority to own its properties and to carry on the businesses presently conducted by it and anticipated herein this Resolution; and

WHEREAS, the portion of the Property identified by County Tax Map Identification number 141-A-58 along with a parcel owned by Singer Island Hotel, Inc. and identified by County Tax Map Identification number 142-3-1 are two properties that Investor owns, as the sole owner of Trammell Investments and Singer, which were subject to petitions filed by landowners in November, 2002, and January, 2003, with the Virginia Commission on Local Government (COLG) seeking annexation of both properties from the County into the City of Bristol, Virginia (City) (hereinafter, referred to collectively as the Properties); and

WHEREAS, the County vigorously opposed annexation of the Properties into the City because of the potential loss of commercially developable land that constituted a source of significant tax revenues to the County and because the Board was confident that the County could provide to the owner of the Properties all public services necessary for development and use of the Properties for their highest and best use; and

WHEREAS, Investor withdrew parcels 141-A-58 and 142-3-1 from consideration for annexation into the City partly as a result of the County's interest in fostering commercial development of The Highlands, and

WHEREAS, the Board has found that the proposed development of The Highlands by Joint Venture would benefit the public in the form of investment in infrastructure and commercial development, creation of new jobs, and development of a significant source of tax revenues, and

WHEREAS, at its recessed meeting on May 20, 2004, the County approved an Incentive Package Proposal to assist development of The Highlands by reimbursement of certain expenses and by construction of specified public infrastructure, as more specifically described in the Incentive Package Proposal for The Highlands commercial development; and

WHEREAS, the Developer and the County have renegotiated certain aspects of the May Incentive Package Proposal in recognition of increased site development costs and other aspects of the planned development that have changed since the Board's action on May 20, 2004; and

WHEREAS, the Washington County Industrial Development Authority (the Authority) is empowered pursuant to § 15.2-4901, et seq, of the Code of Virginia (1950, as amended) to develop trade by inducing commercial enterprises to locate in this Commonwealth, and specifically, by § 15.2-4905(13) of the Code of Virginia (1950, as amended) to make grants to any business in furtherance of the purposes for which the Authority was created;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Virginia (County), in consideration of the foregoing, that the County has the following understandings:

- 1. Joint Venture shall pursue development of a commercial shopping center on the Property, which shopping center shall be named The Highlands. Joint Venture agrees that total build-out of the shopping center will result in excess of six hundred thousand (600,000) square feet of retail sales facilities and will be in the general form as that shown on the Conceptual Plan drawn by Tysinger, Hampton, & Partners, dated September 21, 2004. Joint Venture shall take all responsibility for engineering and design of the shopping center as well as securing tenants. Development of the center shall be in accordance with all requirements of law, and Joint Venture agrees to seek and obtain all permits required for construction.*
- 2. The Investor, Trammell Investments, and Singer, have withdrawn from the pending annexation proceedings.*
- 3. Investor, Trammell Investments, Singer, and other current or successor entity holding any ownership interest in the Properties have agreed not to seek annexation of the Properties into the City for a period of fifty (50) years from the effective date of the Agreement entered into pursuant to this Resolution and further agreed to record in the land records of the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Washington County, Virginia, Restrictive Covenants to prohibit any successor owner from seeking annexation of the Properties into the City for the same fifty (50) year period.*
- 4. Joint Venture shall provide to the County appropriate written records to document the construction of at least six hundred thousand (600,000) square feet of new commercial facilities and the creation of at least fifty (50) new jobs, as well as the investment of at least Seven Million Dollars (\$7,000,000.00) in site development costs associated with the construction of The Highlands on the Property (excluding the construction cost of the commercial buildings).*
- 5. Joint Venture shall provide to the County sufficient tax collection information for each tenant of The Highlands to allow the County to account for local sales tax revenues from each tenant.*

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that, in reliance on the foregoing considerations and understanding, the County covenants and agrees as follows:

- 6. The Board shall communicate to the Authority the terms stated in this Resolution and ask that it enter into an agreement with Joint Venture for reimbursement of expenses in accordance the provisions set out herein.*
- 7. Reimbursement in accordance with the terms stated in this Resolution shall be subject to annual appropriation by the County. The County's agreement to honor the provisions of*

this Resolution shall be in the nature of a moral obligation. In turn, the Authority shall have no obligation to pay any sums in reimbursement pursuant to any agreement that it enters into with Joint Venture except, and only to the extent, that the Board appropriates funds for such purpose and transfers such funds to the Authority.

- 8. Subject to annual appropriation as stated in Paragraph 7, herein, and special provision for the first year after the Start Date, as stated in Paragraph 11 herein, the County's appropriations to the Authority for reimbursement to Joint Venture as set forth in this Resolution shall be based on amounts equaling fifty percent (50%) of local sales tax revenue to the County generated by retail sales receipts of businesses located in The Highlands. In the event that the Virginia General Assembly dedicates any portion of local sales tax revenues to specific purposes, the fifty percent (50%) share for Joint Venture shall be calculated based on the non-dedicated portion of local sales tax revenues.*
- 9. In the event that a tenant of The Highlands relocated to The Highlands from a former County location, including locations in any of the towns lying within the County, and is, therefore, not a new business to the County, only that portion of the sales tax revenues from the relocated business which exceeds the average annual sales tax revenues based on tax revenues generated in the two (2) years immediately preceding the relocation shall be included for calculation of reimbursement pursuant to this Agreement. If the business is an expansion or additional location of a business previously located in the County, all sales tax revenues from the business will be included in the calculation unless the business closes its other County location within three (3) years of the opening of the business in The Highlands, then the amount of reimbursement payments following the date of closure of its other County location.*
- 10. The County's appropriation of funds to the Authority pursuant to this Resolution shall begin after fifty percent (50%) of the total retail floor space to be built in Phase I of The Highlands, as mutually defined by Joint Venture and County, is occupied by businesses generating sales tax revenues (the Start Date). The Board's annual appropriations shall continue for a period of ten (10) consecutive calendar years from the Start Date or until a total of Seven Million Dollars (\$7,000,000.00) has been appropriated to the Authority, whichever occurs first.*
- 11. For a period not to exceed one (1) calendar year from the Start Date, the County shall appropriate one hundred percent (100%) of the sales tax revenues generated from The Highlands to the Authority. At the conclusion of this calendar year period, the amount of appropriation shall revert to that described in Paragraph 8 herein for the remainder of the period set forth in Paragraph 10 herein.*
- 12. The County shall assist Joint Venture to ensure adequate access to all public utility infrastructures needed to support The Highlands, and to assist the Joint Venture in the incorporation of the proposed loop access road into the state system of secondary highways.*
- 13. By adoption of this Resolution, in the interest of competitive retail development and enhancing the County's revenues, the Board of Supervisors expresses its support for legislative action of the 2005 Virginia General Assembly to incorporate the Property and the parcels identified by County Tax Parcel Identification numbers 141-A-58, 141-A-59, 141B-A-10, and 142-3-1 into § 4.1-126 of the Code of Virginia (1950, as amended), and to authorize the Board of Supervisors to adopt an ordinance pursuant to § 58.1-3833 (B) of the Code of Virginia (1950, as amended) after notice and public hearing.*

14. *The County agrees to pay the annual cost of electric service for operation of street lighting and traffic signalization, if and as necessary, serving the loop access road within the confines of the Property after initial installation by the Joint Venture. In addition, if the loop access road is not accepted into the state system of secondary highways for purposes of maintenance by VDOT, the County shall provide for an annual snow removal contract to keep reasonably clear the loop access road from snow and ice on a continuing basis. Other than as specifically stated in this paragraph. Joint Venture or its assignee(s) shall be responsible for maintenance and replacement of the street lighting, traffic signalization, loop access road and bridges, parking areas, curb, guttering, storm water drainage and detention facilities and other common space areas and appurtenances of The Highlands under arrangements to be established between the Joint Venture and business-occupants of the development. The County's agreement to provide services as described herein shall not signify any acceptance of liability for public use of the loop access road or other facilities of The Highlands and shall in no way be interpreted as or deemed to be a waiver of its sovereign immunity.*
15. *The County through the Service Authority agrees to construct a ten (10) inch or greater water line approximately five thousand five hundred (5,500) feet along Clear Creek Road to support The Highlands. This line extension will be at the expense of the Service Authority and not a reimbursable expense of the Joint Venture.*
16. *The County shall provide written assurances relative to law enforcement, fire protection and ISO rating upon request of the Joint Venture to be used for marketing purposes. The County will encourage the Washington County Sheriff's Office to assign at least one deputy to specifically patrol all of the Exit 7 commercial development in Washington County, Virginia, including The Highlands.*

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Resolution shall supercede the Incentive Package Proposal adopted by the Board of Supervisors on May 20, 2004, and shall be considered as the County's offer of incentives to the Joint Venture for the development of The Highlands.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors directs the County Attorney to prepare the necessary agreements and other documents for the County and the Authority to execute with the Joint Venture consistent with the provisions of this Resolution and subject to final approval and authorization by the Board.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that in the event final agreement(s) between the County and Joint Venture are not executed within six (6) months of the date of adoption of this Resolution, the Board reserves the right to rescind and/or modify any or all provisions herein.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)

<i>Mr. Ingle</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Rector</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Roberts</i>	<i>Aye</i>

9. Recess

No recess was taken.

10. County Administrator Reports:

a. Consideration of Additional County Holidays for Thanksgiving, Christmas and New Year's

County Administrator Mark Reeter addressed the Board explaining that Governor Warner's office has announced additional holiday hours for state employees beginning at 1:00 PM on Wednesday, November 24 and 1:00 PM on Thursday, December 23.

On motion of Mr. Rector, second by Mr. Owens, it was resolved to approve additional County holiday hours beginning 1:00 PM Wednesday, November 24 and 1:00 PM Thursday, December 23.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)

<i>Mr. Ingle</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Rector</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Roberts</i>	<i>Aye</i>

10.b. Consideration of Dates to conduct a joint meeting of the Washington County School Board and Board of Supervisors to discuss the School's Capital Facilities Plan

Mr. Reeter advised the Board that the Washington County School Board had provided the following dates for their consideration to conduct a joint meeting to discuss the School's Capital Facilities Plan:

December 13
 January 13
 January 20
 January 27
 February 3
 February 10
 February 24

Mr. Reeter stated that the School Board has proposed the joint meeting to begin at 6:00 or 7:00 PM.

Discussion ensued among the Board. It was consensus to table the item and review the proposed dates at the November 23 regular meeting.

11. County Attorney Reports

County Attorney Lucy Phillips addressed the Board explaining that correspondence had been received from the Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries requesting the County's input regarding development of model firearms ordinances for localities. Ms. Phillips stated that currently the only firearms ordinances in effect in Washington County are ordinances to prohibit use of firearms in certain subdivisions.

12. Board Information

County Administrator Mark Reeter drew attention to materials in the Board Information section. Particularly, correspondence received from Virginia Highlands Community College concerning an appointment to fill the remainder of the term of Henry Green.

Discussion ensued among the Board regarding the appointment. It was consensus of the Board to have this item placed on the Agenda for the organizational meeting in January 2005.

13. Consent Agenda:

On motion of Mr. Rector, second by Mr. Reynolds, it was resolved to approve the following consent agenda items:

- a. Payment of Bills – October 2004*
- b. Revenue Refunds – Animal Sterilization Fee*
- c. Supplemental Appropriation – General Services for Mowing Services*
- d. Budget Status Reports for October of 2004*

The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)

<i>Mr. Ingle</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Rector</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Roberts</i>	<i>Aye</i>

14. Board Member Reports

Supervisor Odell Owens asked that a letter be sent to the Virginia Department of Transportation requesting a traffic count study for Wolf Run Road.

Mr. Owens reminded the Board of the Veteran's Day Ceremony at the Veteran's Memorial Park on Thursday, November 11 from 9:00 to 11:00 AM.

Mr. Owens requested that an item be placed on the November 23 agenda to discuss forming a committee to review security for the Board Room.

Supervisor Phillip McCall reported that he attended the Virginia of Association of Counties annual meeting and that it was very informative and that the delegation from Washington County gained a lot of knowledge.

Chairman John Roberts reported that an article recently appeared in a local newspaper concerning a swinging bridge. Mr. Roberts stated that the Virginia Department of Transportation has not abandoned the swinging bridge, but have closed it for repairs. The swinging bridge is part of the secondary system of state highways.

In another matter, Mr. Roberts reported that he attended the Thanksgiving Dinner at Green Cove Community Center and it was excellent.

15. Adjourn

On motion of Mr. Rector, second by Mr. McCall, it was resolved to adjourn the meeting.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)

<i>Mr. Ingle</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Rector</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Roberts</i>	<i>Aye</i>

Prepared by:

Naoma A. Mullins, Recording Clerk

Approved by the Washington County Board of Supervisors:

John B. Roberts, Sr., Chairman