V I R G I N I A:

At a meeting of the Washington County Board of Supervisors held Tuesday, June 10, 1997, at 7:00 p.m., at the County Administration Building in Abingdon, Virginia, the following were present:

PRESENT:

James T. Osborne, Chairman
Bobby D. Ingle, Vice Chairman
Kenneth G. Mathews
Joe W. Derting
Maurice H. Parris
Jackson Barker
Dulcie M. Mumpower

Mark K. Reeter, County Administrator
Joseph B. Lyle, County Attorney
Mark W. Seamon, Accounting/Purchasing Manager
Sandra M. Hatfield, CPS, Administrative Assistant

****

1 Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Mr. James T. Osborne, Chairman of the Board, who welcomed everyone in attendance.

2 **Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance - Jackson H. Barker**

Mr. Jackson Barker gave the Invocation and led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

3 **Approval of the Agenda**

On motion of Mr. Mathews, second by Mrs. Mumpower, it was resolved to approve the Agenda with the following additions:

6.a John Tester
10 Consideration of Request for Additional Funds, William King Regional Arts Center
21.a Phil Blevins, Cooperative Extension Service
22.b Update on Washington County Jail Renovation Project

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

4 **Approval of Minutes**:

The following action was taken on approval of the Minutes:

a. **Regular Meeting of May 27, 1997**

On motion of Mr. Ingle, second by Mr. Parris, it was resolved to approve the Minutes of the regular meeting of May 27, 1997 with the following correction:

Page 8476, Agenda Item 4.c, Minutes of Recessed Meeting of May 17, 1997:

AChange the vote to reflect the following:

The vote on this motion was as follows: (3-4)

Mr. Derting	**Nay**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Nay**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Nay**
Mr. Osborne	**Nay**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

The vote on this motion to approve the Minutes of May 27, 1997, as corrected, was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

*****

b. **Recessed Meeting of May 29, 1997**

On motion of Mr. Ingle, second by Mr. Barker, it was resolved to approve the Minutes of the recessed meeting of May 29, 1997, as presented.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

*****

c. **Recessed Meeting of May 31, 1997**

On motion of Mr. Barker, second by Mr. Derting, it was resolved to approve the Minutes of the recessed Meeting of May 31, 1997 with the following correction:

Page 8494, Agenda Item 5.p:

Change vote on Special Magistrates FY 1997-98 Budget to read as follows:

The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-1)

Mr. Derting	**Nay**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

The vote on this motion to approve the Minutes of May 31, 1997, as corrected, was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

5 **Planning & Zoning Public Hearings**

The following action was taken on Planning & Zoning public hearings:

Intent to Rezone:

a. ***D. E. McReynolds and Larry Mullins***: Request to rezone approximately 10 acres of property located on the west side of State Route 807 near the intersection of Route 807/11 from R-2 (Residential, General) to B-2 (Business, General), Wilson Magisterial District.

Mr. Osborne opened the public hearing and invited comments both in support of and in opposition to application filed by D. E. McReynolds and Larry Mullins.

D. E. AJoe@McReynolds, addressed the Board of Supervisors explaining he has been the owner of the property for 25 years and is making estate preparations. He stated the property to be rezoned has a trucking business on it. He stated he has spoken to his neighbors who have stated their concern is only about the traffic flow. Mr. McReynolds explained trucks from the business do not pass any residential housing except for one house.

George Mitchell expressed his opposition to rezoning Mr. McReynolds property stating a former request to rezone the property was submitted in 1995. He stated the property is in a residential neighborhood, and referred to petition submitted to the Planning Commission that was signed by 106 residents who are opposed to the rezoning. Mr. Mitchell expressed his view that once the property is rezoned business, that will change the quietness of the neighborhood.

There being no further comments, Mr. Osborne declared the public hearing closed.

On motion of Mrs. Mumpower, second by Mr. Derting, it was resolved to follow the recommendation of the Planning Commission and deny request of *D. E. McReynolds and Larry Mullins* to rezone approximately 10 acres of property located on the west side of State Route 807 near the intersection of Route 807/11 from R-2 (Residential, General) to B-2 (Business, General), Wilson Magisterial District.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

*****

Request for Special Use Permit:

b. ***John M. Garrett***: Request for a Special Use Permit to operate a small business in general, more specifically, a retail sales business on property located on the south side of State Route 58 near the intersection of Route 757/58 in an A-2 (Agricultural, General) zone, Taylor Magisterial District.

Mr. Osborne opened the public hearing and invited comments both in support of and in opposition to application of John Garrett.

Kevin Johnson, owner of the Damascus Napa Auto Parts Store stated he intends to relocate and expand his present business where there is more parking available. He stated the surrounding neighbors have expressed their support for his request for a special use permit.

There being no further comments, Mr. Osborne declared the public hearing closed.

On motion of Mr. Parris, second by Mr. Ingle, it was resolved to follow the recommendation of the

Planning Commission and approve a Special Use Permit for *John M. Garrett* to operate a small business in general, more specifically, a retail sales business on property located on the south side of State Route 58 near the intersection of Route 757/58 in an A-2 (Agricultural, General) zone, Taylor Magisterial District.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

*****

Mrs. Mumpower recognized Mr. Thomas Stokes, former teacher, who was present in the audience.

*****

Proposed Amendment to Zoning Ordinance:

c. **An amendment to Section 14-7, Enumeration of Zoning Districts, and amendment to enact Article XXV., Planned Unit Development, Limited (P-1) Zoning District, of the Zoning Ordinance of Washington County, Virginia.**

Mr. Osborne opened the public hearing and invited comments both in support of and in opposition to the proposed amendment to the zoning ordinance.

Jim Bowie, Developer, addressed the Supervisors concerning a proposed 53 acre planned unit development in the Wallace Meadows community. He stated he and his business partners are willing to invest a substantial amount of money into the project, adding sewer and water is available to the development.

Patrick Mannix, expressed opposition to the planned unit development concept stating he considers it to be special interest legislation and it creates a spot zoning district in Washington County that never has been. He questioned whether or not residents in the development could petition for annexation.

During discussion of the planned unit development concept, it was pointed out that if the ordinance is approved, the PUD becomes a zoning district and any building within the development would require approval of plans through the Planning Commission

There being no further comments, Mr. Osborne declared the public hearing closed.

On motion of Mrs. Mumpower, second by Mr. Derting, it was resolved to approve the following amendment to the zoning code of Washington County:

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE ZONING CODE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY TO ENACT PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT, LIMITED (P-1) ZONING DISTRICT

ORDINANCE NUMBER 97-2

WHEREAS, the County of Washington has recognized the need to create a new zoning district classification to facilitate certain mixed residential and commercial real estate developments commonly referred to as Aplanned unit development@; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning Code of the County of Washington lacks provisions specific to the establishment and regulation of planned unit developments, necessitating an amendment to the County Zoning Code; and

WHEREAS, the Washington County Planning Commission has recommended the following provisions relative to the establishment and regulation of planned unit developments within the County; and

WHEREAS, the Washington County Board of Supervisors has determined that these provisions to be consistent with generally accepted principles of zoning and land use practice and that good zoning practice requires such action.

NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to Article 8, Chapter 11 of Title 15.1 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended, be it ENACTED by the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Virginia:

1. That ' 14-7 of the 1976 Washington County Code, as amended, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 14-7. Enumeration of zoning districts.

The following shall be the zoning districts for the county:

HR Highland Recreation District
SR Shoreland Recreation District
CR Conservation and Recreation District
A-1 Limited Agricultural District
A-2 General Agricultural District
Village District
Konnarock District
R-1 Limited Residential District
R-2 General Residential District
B-1 Limited Business District
B-2 General Business District
M-1 Limited Industrial District
M-2 General Industrial District
MHR Mobile Home Residential District
Airport District
P-1 Limited Planned Unit Development District

2. That Chapter 14 of the 1976 Washington County Code, as amended, is hereby amended by adding Article XXVI as follows:

ARTICLE XXVI. Planned Unit Development, Limited, District (P-1)

Sec. 14-231. Statement of intent.

The planned unit development (PUD) is a concept which encourages and permits variation in residential developments by allowing deviation in lot size, bulk or type of dwelling, density, lot coverage, and open space from that required in any other residential district. The purpose of such deviations are to permit the developer to create a unique residential environment in terms of character, amenities and spacial elements. Appropriate commercial uses may be provided in addition to a the variety of residential uses. It is intended that commercial development if provided be limited to a scale appropriate to the support of the residential uses within the PUD; provided that additional commercial activity may be permitted upon a finding that the area in which the PUD is to be located is not adequately served by such uses. Commercial areas are intended to be of a scale, character and location appropriate to provide convenience services primarily for the residents of the planned unit development. To this end, where practical, commercial areas shall be internally oriented and separated from dissimilar areas surrounding the P-1 district. External vehicular access shall be discouraged and internal pedestrian access shall be encouraged. Individual establishments shall be limited in size to avoid the impression

of general commercial development. A distinguishing feature of PUDs generally is that they proceed on the basis of a master development plan provided by the developer, and the developer or successor agent remain active participants in the implementation and oversight of this plan on a continuing basis. PUDs generally are ›self-regulating‹ developments, and may restrict the type, characteristics, size, and aesthetic qualities of the physical developments within the PUD, usually through extensive private covenants and restrictions. Build-out of PUDs may be phased over extended periods of time, each phase either being homogenous or slightly differentiated from previous phases in terms of physical developments, yet all phases being unified and kept in harmony through the master development plan.

It is intended that these regulations provide flexibility in residential development by providing for a mix of residential uses with appropriate nonresidential uses, alternative forms of housing, flexibility in internal relationships of design elements and, in appropriate cases, increases in gross residential densities over that provided in other zoning districts. The purpose of this article is to establish procedures and standards for planned unit developments within the county, in order that one or more of the following objectives may be attained:

a. Flexibility in design to take the greatest advantage of natural land, trees, historic, and other features.

b. Accumulation of large areas of usable open space for recreation, preservation of natural amenities, and provision of community facilities.

c. Creation of a variety of residential and compatible neighborhood arrangements that give the home occupant greater choice in selecting types of environment and living units.

d. Clustering of one residential type for better use of land and open space, as long as the resulting density does not exceed the allowed density in the P-1 district.

e. Allowance of sufficient freedom for the developer to take a creative approach to the use of land and related physical developments as well as utilizing innovative techniques to enhance the visual character of the planned unit development.

f. Efficient use of land which may result in reduction in development and maintenance costs of street and utility systems.

g. Establishment of criteria for the inclusion of compatible associated uses to compliment the residential areas within the planned unit development.

h. Simplification of the procedure for obtaining approval of proposed developments within the planned unit development.

Section 14-232. Use regulations.

In Planned Unit Development, Limited District P-1, structures to be erected or land to be used shall be for one or more of the following uses:

(a). Single-family, two-family, and multiple-family site-built dwelling units and townhouses in detached; semi-detached; attached, and multi-storied structures. No planned unit development shall be allowed which does not incorporate a variety of residential dwelling types and provided that density is maintained; and provided further that buildings are located so that each unit shall be afforded a side yard setback meeting approval of the planning commission except for common walls.

(b). Site-built commercial structures and uses as permitted outright in Business, Limited District B-1 and Business, General District B-2. Commercial uses subject to special use permit as specified in Business, Limited District B-1 and Business, General District B-2, unless

otherwise permitted herein, are prohibited. Such nonresidential structures and uses shall be compatible with and secondary to primary residential uses within the planned unit development. No structure devoted primarily to a commercial use shall be built or established prior to the primary residential buildings or uses it is designed or intended to serve. The total area of commercial development in the planned unit development shall not exceed ten percent of the gross area of the planned unit development. Deviation from this limitation may be approved by the planning commission.

(c). Common open space and community facilities, such as parks, playgrounds, community centers and noncommercial recreational and cultural facilities such as tennis courts, golf courses, swimming pools, game rooms, libraries and the like.

(d). Temporary construction uses and model residential and commercial units constructed in advance of on-site provision of water, sewer, gas, telecommunications and other utilities except electricity, which must be provided to the site before construction commences. No domiciliary use or conduct of commercial business activities except for temporary construction use and real estate marketing shall be permitted until a certificate of occupancy shall be issued for such units.

(e). Accessory uses and site-built structures, including home occupations as defined, and storage buildings.

(f). Electric, gas, and telecommunication facilities, including distribution poles, lines, transformers, pipes, meters and related facilities for distribution of local service and owned and operated by a public or commercial utility, and water distribution and sewerage collection lines, pumping stations and appurtenances owned and operated a public or commercial utility.

(g). Law enforcement, fire stations and rescue squad stations.

(h). Churches and other places of worship.

(i). Day care, child care or nursery facility; rest home, nursing home, convalescent home, orphanage or similar institutions.

(j). Neighborhood shopping center as defined in Section 14-150 of this ordinance by special use permit, provided that no separate application shall be required for any such use included in the original master development plan.

(k). Electrical power substations with tower structures, and power transmission lines and related towers; gas transmission lines, pumping stations and appurtenances; unmanned telephone exchange centers; micro-wave and radio-wave transmission and relay towers, substations and appurtenances by special use permit, provided that no separate application shall be required for any such use included in the original master development plan.

(l). Parking structures located wholly or partly above grade by special use permit, provided that no separate application shall be required for any such use included in the original master development plan.

Section 14-233. Minimum standards for design.

(a). <u>Required utilities</u>. No planned unit development shall be permitted where electricity, water and sanitary sewer service are not available. Planned unit developments may not be served by water and sanitary sewer provided by parties other than public utilities unless consent is given by the board of supervisors and subject to compliance with other federal, state and local law and regulations. In this event, developers must substantively demonstrate that they have obtained or are assured in writing of their ability to obtain the required permits for the operation of such non-public water and sanitary sewer systems at the time of

submission of final application and petition for rezoning for the planned unit development. The standards for the design and operation of such non-public water and sanitary sewer systems must meet the minimum standards required of the public utility in whose jurisdiction the development is to be located, so as to facilitate assumption by the public utility of ownership and operational responsibilities for the non-public system to be built if so agreed to at some future date. Certification by the public utility to this effect shall be required at the time of final application submission and petition for rezoning.

(b). Gross density. The gross density within a planned unit development shall be computed by dividing the total number of proposed dwelling units within the development by the gross development area. The maximum gross density shall not exceed fourteen dwelling units per acre. The gross density permitted in any P-1 district shall be shown on the approved final application for the planned unit development, and shall be binding upon its approval.

(c). Minimum area. A planned unit development shall contain a minimum of twenty-four contiguous acres of land. Where a separate planned unit development abuts an existing planned unit development, it shall contain a minimum of five contiguous acres of land.

(d). Minimum development standards. Minimum lot size, maximum lot coverage, street-width, setbacks, height and distance between buildings shall in general meet health, safety, and welfare requirements and shall be in harmony with good planning practices and consistent with the county building code. The planning commission may establish minimum setback requirements at the time of approval of the master development plan.

(e). Common open space and community facilities. The total usable open space within a planned unit development shall be at least twenty percent of the gross acreage of the planned unit development. No more than fifteen percent of the required percentage of usable open space shall be in the form of water surfaces or wet lands, and said aquatic areas must be owned in fee-simple by the developer. No separate, dedicated parcel designated for recreational use shall contain less than five thousand square feet. Recreation areas and facilities, such as playgrounds, golf courses, tennis courts, basketball courts, swimming pools, and community buildings should be provided which will meet the anticipated needs of the clientele the planned unit development is designed to serve. The developer shall be credited with one-half acre in total for each fifteen acres of gross development area toward the total usable open space required of the planned unit development for the provision of pedestrian and bicycle paths, including sidewalks running parallel to streets providing pedestrian access to and from residential and commercial buildings. Provision of separate adult and juvenile recreation areas is encouraged. All undeveloped open space must be demonstrated to be usable to the clientele of the planned unit development.

The following shall not be counted as usable open space:

1. Land that slopes greater than fifteen percent; however, the developer of a planned unit development containing twenty-four or more acres of land shall be credited with one-half acre for each three acres of land in total with slopes between fifteen percent and twenty percent toward the total usable open space required; and with one-quarter acre for each three acres of land in total with slopes greater than twenty percent. A planned unit development containing between five and twenty-four acres of land shall not be credited similarly for land with slopes greater than fifteen percent, and must have total usable open space of at least twenty percent of gross acreage. Developers are permitted to alter the natural topography so as to achieve the requirements herein, and must show the plan of such alterations on the master development plan.

2. Streets and street right-of-ways, including street accesses to recreational or open space areas, street islands, parking areas, and other asphaltic, paved or hard-surfaced areas; except for pedestrian and bicycle paths, swimming pools, tennis courts, and other similar recreational facilities.

3. Other open space areas which are determined by the planning commission to be unsuitable for recreational use.

(f). Utilities, drainage, and easements. Structures within the planned unit development shall be connected to public water and sewer lines and all utility lines shall be placed underground, except for major electrical transmission lines (which may be placed underground at the developer=s discretion). Adequate provisions to take care of on- and off-site drainage shall be provided and shall meet with the approval of the Virginia Department of Transportation and other appropriate agencies. Adequate provisions for utility and drainage easements shall be provided. The developer shall provide for adequate fire protection measures to include fire hydrants and other related appurtenances meeting the requirements of the county subdivision ordinance or, in the alternative, meeting the approval of the state fire marshall=s office or other agency of the state or local government. Certification to this effect shall be required at the time of final application submission and petition for rezoning. All easements and construction designs for utilities shall meet with the approval of the appropriate public utility and other agencies. In the event consent is given pursuant to paragraph (a). of this section for utilities to be provided by parties other than public utilities, easements shall designed and transferable so as to facilitate assumption by the public utility in whose jurisdiction the development is to be located if so agreed to at some future date. Certification by the public utility to this effect shall be required at the time of final application submission and petition for rezoning.

(g). Access and circulation. All interior streets within a planned unit development shall be private streets. Street, sidewalk and pathway lighting for vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle safety and for aesthetic purposes may be provided by the developer. A circulation system shall be so designed so as to provide for safe and convenient access to dwelling units, commercial structures and businesses, open space, community facilities, and other nonresidential areas in the planned unit development, and may include alleys, cul-de-sacs, vehicle circles, and other vehicular traffic infrastructures. Principal vehicular access points shall be designed to permit smooth traffic flow and minimum hazards to vehicular, bicycle, or pedestrian traffic. The internal circulation system shall be adequate for vehicular, bicycle, and pedestrian movement and should discourage through traffic. Adequate access and circulation for emergency and service vehicles shall be provided. Planned unit developments may restrict or control vehicular, bicycle and pedestrian traffic through the use of security gates, guard houses or other active or passive security measures, both from access to internal areas within the planned unit development as well as from ingress into the planned unit development from adjoining zoning districts. Such restricted access shall fully facilitate ingress and egress by all law enforcement, fire, rescue and utility service providers, for delivery of mail, parcels and shipping of goods, and for access by agents of the federal, state and local governments for the conduct of official business within the planned unit development. The developer shall provide for all initial traffic control signage within the planned unit development, including the initial installation of all street name signs, street and curb markings, and all other signage and markings related to the control and direction vehicular, bicycle and pedestrian movement within the planned unit development. Where possible, signage and markings provided must be placed so as to conform to that which would otherwise be required for dedicated public streets; however, such signage shall not be required to meet the same design requirements as those for dedicated public streets except at the intersections of private streets and dedicated public streets. The developer shall further provide for the means of continual maintenance of said signage and markings, and for street, sidewalk and pathway lighting if provided, through the nonprofit corporation or association established to own and maintain common open space properties and facilities.

(h). Special regulations for two-family, multi-family and townhouse residential units. Where two-family, multi-family and townhouse residential units adjoin a detached, single-family residence within the planned unit development, these structures shall be situated no closer than twenty feet to the detached, single-family residence.

(i). Special regulations for development along district boundaries. Where structures are to be erected on lots or portions of lots within the planned unit development which border on other zoning districts, said structures are to be situated so as to generally conform with the front, side or rear yard setback requirements of the zoning district which the lot or portion thereof borders, depending upon what part of the structure within the planned unit development adjoins another zoning district. Deviations from this requirement may be approved by the planning commission at the time of approval of the master development plan.

(j). Special regulations for development along existing public streets, roads and highways. Where structures are to be erected on lots or portions of lots within the planned unit development which border on existing public streets, roads and highways, said structures are to be situated so as to generally conform with the front, side or rear yard setback requirements of the zoning district in effect for the lot or portion thereof at the time the petition for rezoning to P-1 is submitted, depending upon what part of the structure within the planned unit development adjoins the existing public street, road or highway. Deviations from this requirement may be approved by the planning commission at the time of approval of the master development plan.

(k). Special regulations for boundary of planned unit development. The boundary line between all lots or portions of lots subject to development within a P-1 district and any other adjoining zoning district shall be designated by a border consisting of planted evergreen shrubbery, hedges or other perennial ornamental horticultural plantings placed in such a way as to create an even, unbroken perimeter encircling the P-1 district; or by the erection of a continuous decorative wall or fence which shall be continuously maintained. Plantings and decorative walls or fencing may be used intermittently at the discretion of the developer to encircle the P-1 district. These shall be placed no less than three feet away from the lot lines separating lots in the P-1 district from property within another zoning district. The developer or his/her assignee shall be responsible for maintaining these perimeter plantings, walls and fencing after conveyance of the lots on which they are situated. Deviations from these requirements may be approved by the planning commission at the time of approval of the master development plan.

(l). Special regulations for street names and numbering of structures within planned unit development. The developer or his/her representative shall hold a conference with the agent in charge of the county E-911 system or his/her designee concerning the planned unit development and preliminary master development plan, the purpose of which shall be to determine the street names within the planned unit development and devise a plan for assigning numbers to structures proposed for the planned unit development compatible with the county=s E-911 system. This conference shall be held prior to the formal submission of a proposed master development plan and may be held at the time of the preapplication review conference pursuant to Section 14-234 (a).

Section 14-234. Application, content, and staged development.

(a). Preapplication review. Prior to the formal submission of a proposed master development plan, the developer or his/her representative shall hold a conference with the zoning administrator or his/her designee concerning the proposed planned unit development and preliminary master development plan, and submit unofficial preliminary studies of the concept of the proposed development for tentative review, comments, and recommendations.

(b). Application submission. After preapplication review has been completed, the developer may apply for a planned unit development and submit a petition for rezoning. The proposed master development plan shall be submitted to the planning commission only after the completion of the preapplication review and upon the approval of the zoning administrator or his/her designee.

(c). <u>Contents of proposed master development plan</u>. The following shall be the required information to be included in a proposed master development plan:

1. A proposed land-use plan showing the use of each lot or tract within the development.

2. A proposed circulation plan showing circulation patterns of vehicular, pedestrian, or other traffic, including the location and design specifications of all initial traffic control signage within the planned unit development, including all street name signs, street and curb markings, and all other signage and markings related to the control and direction vehicular, bicycle and pedestrian movement.

3. A plan showing community facilities and usable open space.

4. A preliminary subdivision plat as required for preliminary plat approval through the county subdivision ordinance. The name for the planned unit development shall be given on the face of the plat as A_____ Planned Unit Development Subdivision@.

5. A plan showing existing and proposed utilities and drainage.

6. A proposed buildings and landscape plan, including the existing and proposed structures, proposed new trees and landscaping, existing trees to remain and to be removed, topography with contour intervals of five feet or less, and other significant natural features. The horticultural elements of this plan need be only of sufficient detail to depict the developer=s general concept of such elements.

7. A plan demonstrating compliance with the provisions of Section 14-233 (h.), (i)., (j)., (k).,and (l). whether or not deviation as may be provided for therein shall be sought by the developer. Where deviation is sought by the developer, an alternative plan depicting the desired deviation shall also be provided.

8. Statistical or technical data as necessary to evaluate the total development, including but not limited to, the following:

(a). Amount of land proposed to be used for public or semipublic uses such as churches, schools, etc (in acreage or square feet).

(b). Amount of land proposed to be set aside for recreational use (in acreage or square feet).

(c). Amount of land proposed to be set aside for paved streets and street right-of-ways (in square feet).

(d). Amount of land in the flood plain or unusable land within the project boundary (in acreage or square feet).

(e). Extent and nature of projected traffic per twenty-four hour period (for developments totaling over 100 residential units).

(f). Proposed number of parking spaces for cars and recreational vehicles and the number of parking spaces per residential and commercial unit.

(g). Gross density of the planned unit development to be computed by dividing the total number of proposed dwelling units within the development by the gross development area.

9. The name, professional title, and address of the planner, urban designer, architect, development, or engineer who prepared the proposed plan of

development.

10. A development schedule showing the approximate time of construction and anticipated date of completion of each element of the planned unit development

11. Written approvals of the various design aspects as required in Section 14-233.

(d). <u>Procedure for staged development of planned unit development</u>. Nothing in this article shall prevent a developer from developing a planned unit development in stages or phases; provided, that the following conditions are met; and provided further, that any stage or phased development is part of an overall approved master development plan:

1. The proposed stages or phases shall be delineated on the proposed master development plan.

2. All project data as required in paragraph (c). 1. through 11. of this section, for the project as a whole shall be given for each such stage or phase so established, and all written approvals as required by Section 14-233.

3. When any stage or phase of a planned unit development is developed, it shall conform to the master development plan as approved or amended.

4. The gross densities of stages or phases recorded shall not vary by more than ten percent of the gross density approved for the total development.

5. In a staged development, each phase shall also provide a minimum of twenty percent of the gross site area of that phase as open space. The planning commission may allow a ten-percent variance from the required percentage of the open space at its discretion.

Section 14-235. Review, approval, amendment, and fees for planned unit development.

(a). <u>Preliminary review</u>. No such planned unit development shall be approved unless the master development plan shall have first been referred to:

1. The zoning administrator or his/her designee, who shall advise the planning commission whether the design concept of the plan is in conformity with the requirements of this article; and if the proposed land use, circulation, and community facilities plan is in harmony with all applicable elements of the county-s comprehensive plan; and if the development in general is based on logical and sound principles of community planning and design.

2. The heads of all public utilities and other agencies providing service to or otherwise regulating some aspect of the development, who shall advise the planning commission whether the utilities, drainage, streets, street names and numbering plan, and other engineering features of the plan are in conformity with all applicable federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, and in conformity with sound principles of engineering and design.

3. The county attorney or his/her designee, who shall advise the planning commission whether the association or nonprofit corporation documents are in harmony with all applicable federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations.

4. The area resident engineer for the Virginia Department of Transportation or his/her designee, who shall advise the planning commission concerning the affect of the plan on surrounding public streets, roads and highways, drainage and

traffic patterns.

5. Written statements from the above shall be required for submission to the planning commission

(b). Action by planning commission. No petition for rezoning to planned unit development shall be approved by the board of supervisors until it shall have been submitted to the planning commission for review and recommendation. Approval of a planned unit development=s master development plan, including any amendments thereto in accordance with paragraph (d). of this section is vested solely with the planning commission. No petition for rezoning to planned unit development may be recommended favorably until the master development plan is approved by the planning commission. The planning commission shall review the proposed master development plan and petition for rezoning at a public hearing in accordance with Section 15.1-431 of the Code of Virginia, as amended, in relation to the purposes outlined in this article.

(c). Action by board of supervisors. After the board of supervisors has received the recommendation required herein, the board shall either approve or disapprove the petition for rezoning after holding a public hearing in accordance with Section 15.1-431 of the Code of Virginia, as amended. At such hearing, the master development plan as approved by the planning commission shall be presented as information in support of the petition for rezoning. The board may hold a joint public hearing with the planning commission. Upon approval of the petition for rezoning, no building or structure shall be erected or building permit issued nor any lots sold from any such plat nor any final plat recorded except in conformity with the approved master development plan.

(d). Amendment procedures. The developer of an approved planned unit development may apply for an amendment of the master development plan in concept or in minor details, as follows:

1. In case of a change in concept, the developer shall have the approval of the planning commission and board of supervisors and shall follow the same procedures as set forth herein. Changes of density, land use, land area, required setbacks, street layout, open space area, type of community facilities; changes to the ratio or percentages of housing types to each other, or of housing to commercial uses by total number of units greater than ten percent from that specified in the original, approved master development plan or subsequent amendment thereto; changes to method of management of common land and facilities; and changes to overall design layout shall be considered to be changes of concept. A change in concept shall require public hearing before the planning commission.

2. In case of a change of minor details, the zoning administrator or his/her designee may approve these changes, upon being presented with a written request along with necessary graphic and statistical information. Changes of design features of buildings; changes to the ratio or percentages of housing types to each other, or of housing to commercial uses by total number of units less than ten percent from that specified in the original, approved master development plan or subsequent amendment thereto; changes to street names and numbering plan, street signage and markings; changes to street, sidewalk and pathway lighting; changes to parking for community facilities; changes to landscaping of open space; and changes to easements for utilities shall be considered to be changes of minor detail.

3. The zoning administrator or his/her designee shall determine whether any change other than those specified herein is a change in concept or of minor detail.

(e). Fees. A fee of one hundred fifty dollars shall be charged for processing a planned unit development application. This fee shall be payable at the time of submittal of the application and petition for rezoning. This fee shall be in addition to the fee paid for the review of the planned unit development=s preliminary subdivision plat, and in addition to fees paid to defray public hearing advertising costs. A fee of seventy-five dollars shall be similarly charged for processing an amendment involving a change in concept to an approved planned unit development pursuant to paragraph (d). 1. of this section. No fee shall be charged for review and approval of a change in minor detail pursuant to paragraph (d). 2. of this section. The fee paid for processing a planned unit development application or any amendment thereto shall not be refundable if the application for planned unit development and petition for rezoning or amendment are denied.

Section 14-236. Management, ownership, and termination.

(a). Management of common open space, property, and facilities:

1. All common open space, properties, and facilities shall be preserved for their intended purposes as expressed in the approved master development plan. The developer shall provide for the establishment of an association or nonprofit corporation of all individuals or incorporated entities owning property within the planned unit development to ensure the maintenance of all common open space, properties, and facilities.

2. All privately owned common open space shall continue to conform to its intended use and remain as expressed in the master development plan through the inclusion in all deeds of appropriate restrictions to ensure that the common open space is permanently preserved according to the site plan. The deed restrictions shall run with land and shall be for the benefit of present as well as future property owners, and shall contain a prohibition against partition. The zoning administrator or planning commission may direct that such restrictions as are generally applicable to the planned unit development be recorded with the final plat of record of the planned unit development.

3. All common open space as well as public and recreational facilities shall be specifically included in the development schedule and shall be constructed and fully improved by the developer at a proportionately equivalent or greater rate than the construction of residential structures.

4. The nonprofit corporation or association established to own and maintain common open space properties and facilities shall conform to the following requirements:

(a). The developer must establish the association or nonprofit corporation prior to the final approval, recording, and sale of any lot.

(b). Membership in the association or nonprofit corporation shall be mandatory for all residents within the planned unit development, whether they be freeholders or leaseholders, and the association or corporation shall not discriminate in its members or shareholders. The developer may provide for the participation of commercial entities, if any, owning or leasing property within the planned unit development at the developer=s discretion.

(c). The association or nonprofit corporation documents shall set forth the purposes of the permanent organization under which common ownership is to be established, including its purposes; how it shall be governed and administered; the provisions made for permanent care and maintenance of the common property, including necessary bonds when required by

the county; and the method of assessing the individual property for its share of the cost of administering and maintaining such common property. The association or nonprofit corporation shall establish and maintain a registered agent with whom all official correspondence concerning the planned unit development shall be directed. The registered agent shall not be the developer. The registered agent shall be designated at the time the association or nonprofit corporation is established, and the agent=s name, official mailing address and telephone number shall be provided to the zoning administrator.

(d). The incorporation document shall set forth the extent of common interest held by the owner of each individual parcel in the tract held in common with others.

(e). No amendment pursuant to Section 14-235 (d). 1. and 2. may be requested unless by the developer or by the nonprofit corporation or association=s registered agent. The developer shall file any request for amendment with the registered agent at the time said request is submitted, and likewise the registered agent with the developer or successor party for any request for amendment by the nonprofit corporation or association. No individual member of the nonprofit corporation or association may request amendment unless said corporation or association shall make the request on that member=s behalf.

(f). Notice of any public hearing before the planning commission concerning a request for amendment pursuant to Section 14-235 (d). 1. shall be mailed to the developer and registered agent. The notice to the registered agent shall serve as notice to all property owners within the planned unit development. Notice concerning approval or denial or a change in concept by the planning commission pursuant to Section 14-235 (d). 1. shall be mailed to the registered agent and developer. Notice concerning approval or denial of a change in minor detail pursuant to Section 14-235 (d). 2. shall be mailed to the registered agent and developer.

(b). The developer shall file the proposed incorporation documents to the county attorney and obtain the written opinion of the county attorney as to acceptability of incorporation documents at the time the proposed master development plan is submitted. The opinion of the county attorney shall be limited to whether the incorporation documents are in compliance with the provisions of this article.

(c). <u>Ownership of development</u>. All property in a planned unit development shall remain under primary control and ownership of a developer or group of developers, and shall not be leased or sold unless and until provision is made which ensures participation by the properties leased or sold in the retention and maintenance of common open space and community facilities in accordance with the above.

(d). <u>Termination of a planned unit development</u>. If the present or successor developer of a planned unit development does not follow the approved master development plan, that developer shall forfeit his/her rights to further pursue continued development of the remaining undeveloped property as a planned unit development. In this event, the remaining undeveloped property shall revert to its original zoning district classification or successor district designation, if any, prior to P-1 designation, and any lots of record not conforming to the present requirements of that zoning district or successor district designation, if any, shall be considered non-conforming lots. Written notice shall be given to the developer and to the planned unit development=s registered agent by the zoning administrator in this event, whereupon the forfeiture of further development

rights and reversion to original or successor zoning district designation shall be effected no less than thirty calendar days from the date of said notice. Upon issue of such notice, no additional permits for continued development shall be issued by any agent of the county. The developer or registered agent may appeal the decision of the zoning administrator to the board of supervisors by written notice to the zoning administrator within the thirty calendar day period. Upon such appeal, the matter will be presented to the board of supervisors at the earliest possible date. During the appeal process, no additional permits for continued development shall be issued by any agent of the county. The decision of the board of supervisors shall be final.

Section 14-237. Limitations on rezoning and variance.

(a). Existing developments exempted from rezoning. No existing residential or commercial development or portion thereof within the county established prior to the date of enactment of this article may petition for rezoning or be rezoned by the board of supervisors in accordance with this article. Petitions for rezoning pursuant to this article shall be considered only for undeveloped, vacant property.

(b). Planned unit development exempted from rezoning. Notwithstanding other provisions of this article, no approved planned unit development which has proceeded into development involving the sale of lots, construction of residential units, or construction of public improvements may be subsequently rezoned by the board of supervisors, whether by petition from its developers, the nonprofit corporation or association established for the planned unit development, or by owners of lots within the planned unit development unless the instrumentalities constituting the planned unit development are legally dissolved. Prior to the sale of lots, construction of residential units, or construction of public improvements within an approved planned unit development, the developers may petition for rezoning in the manner prescribed generally for rezoning pursuant to this ordinance.

(c). Planned unit development exempted from variance. No variance from any provision of this article may be granted by the board of zoning appeals. Amendment of the master development plan pursuant to Section 14-235 (d). shall serve in lieu of variance.

2. That this ordinance shall be construed as if it amends or refers to like provisions of the 1997 Code of the County of Washington, Virginia, for reference purposes being proposed sections 66-222; and 66-707 through 66-712, inclusive, if such code is adopted and shall be incorporated therein.

3. That should any section or provision of this ordinance be decided to be invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any other section or provision of this ordinance of the Washington County Code.

4. That this ordinance shall become effective upon its adoption by the Washington County Board of Supervisors.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

6 **Public Hearing of *Ordinance No. 97-3* Amending the Income and Net Worth Requirements for the Exemption from Real Estate Taxation of Certain Elderly and Handicapped Person**

Mr. Osborne opened the public hearing and invited comments both in support of and in opposition to Ordinance No. 97-3 Amending the Income and Net Worth Requirements for the Exemption from Real Estate Taxation of Certain Elderly and Handicapped Person.

There being no comments, Mr. Osborne declared the public hearing closed.

On motion of Mr. Derting, second by Mrs. Mumpower, it was resolved to adopt the following Ordinance No. 97-3, and further it was resolved to request the Treasurer to alert the taxpayers of this ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE INCOME AND NET WORTH REQUIREMENTS FOR THE EXEMPTION FROM REAL ESTATE TAXATION OF CERTAIN ELDERLY AND HANDICAPPED PERSON

ORDINANCE NUMBER 97-3

WHEREAS, certain elderly and handicapped persons are entitled to exemption from real estate taxes in Washington County, Virginia pursuant to Article VI of Chapter 7 of the 1976 Washington County Code, as amended, ' 7-16 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, the exemptions are based upon the income and net worth restrictions as provided in ' 7-20 of the 1976 Washington County Code, as amended; and

WHEREAS, said income and net worth restrictions have not been adjusted for inflation since 1993 and that such an adjustment would be in the best interests of Washington County.

NOW THEREFORE, pursuant to ' 58.1-3211 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended, be it ENACTED by the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Virginia:

1. That ' 7-18 and ' 7-20 of the 1976 Washington County Code, as amended, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 7-18. Requirements for exemption.

Exemption under this subdivision shall be granted for real estate taxes on the qualifying dwelling and land, not exceeding one acre, subject to the following provisions:

(1) The title of the property for which exemption is claimed is held, or partially held, on January 1 of the taxable year by the person claiming exemption.

(2) The person occupying the dwelling and owning title or partial title thereto is 65 years of age or older or is permanently and totally disabled on December 31 of the year immediately preceding the taxable year. Such dwelling must be occupied as the sole dwelling of the person not less than 65 years of age or permanently and totally disabled.

(3) The total combined gross income received from all sources during the preceding calendar year by owners of the dwelling who use it as their principal residence and owners= relatives who live in the dwelling shall not exceed

$16,745; provided that the first $3,126 of income of each relative who is not the spouse of an owner living in the dwelling and who does not qualify for the exemption shall not be included in such total.

(4) The net combined financial worth, including equitable interests, as of December 31 of the preceding calendar year of the owners and of the spouse of any owner, excluding the value of the dwelling and the land, not exceeding one acre, upon which it is situated, shall not exceed $50,267.

Sec. 7-20. Amount of exemption.

The person qualifying for and claiming exemption shall be relieved of that portion of the real estate tax levied on the qualifying dwelling and land, not exceeding one acre, in the amount calculated in accordance with the following schedule:

If income is:	*and*	*net worth is:*	*the percentage is:*
$0.00 to $6,933		$0.00 to $17,219	80%
		$17,220 to $34,460	64%
		$34,461 to $51,690	56%
$6,934 to $10,287		$0.00 to $17,219	60%
		$17,220 to $34,460	48%
		$34,461 to $51,690	42%
$10,288 to $13,753		$0.00 to $17,219	40%
		$17,220 to $34,460	32%
		$34,461 to $51,690	28%
$13,754 to 17,219		$0.00 to $17,219	20%
		$17,220 to $34,460	16%
		$34,461 to $51,690	14%

2. That this ordinance shall be construed as if it amends or refers to like provisions of the 1997 Code of the County of Washington, Virginia, for reference purposes being proposed sections 58-78 and 58-80, if such code is adopted and shall be incorporated therein.

3. That should any section or provision of this ordinance be decided to be invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any other section or provision of this ordinance or the Washington County Code.

4. That this ordinance shall become effective on January 1, 1998 for the tax year beginning on January 1, 1998.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

*****

a. **John Tester**

Mr. John Tester spoke to the Board about the Goodson-Kinderhook Fire Department situation. He stated that the Fire Department has made no move to work with the community. He further stated that he wanted the Board to terminate funding for Goodson Kinderhook Fire Department. He requested an audit of the department=s business operations for the past five to ten years. Mr. Barker pointed out that the Department had an open house and it was well attended. He offered to arrange a meeting for Mr. Tester with the Chief if he so desired.

In Board Member comments, Mrs. Mumpower expressed her desire to see the Fire Department and citizens follow the Board of Supervisors recommendation relative to meeting in an effort to resolve their misunderstandings. She stated she has received several calls from citizens in the community expressing their concerns, and emphasized that the issues need to be resolved. Mr. Derting responded to Mr. Tester expressing his doubts that the Board of Supervisors can audit the business operations of the Fire Department and reiterated his position that the community and Fire Department officials meet to resolve their differences. Mr. Osborne encouraged Mr. Tester to bring his concerns before the Washington County Fireman=s Association with a request that they assist in resolving the community=s concerns. Mr. Ingle commented he received telephone calls from concerned community members and supported Mr. Osborne=s recommendation to Mr. Tester about meeting with the Washington County Fireman=s Association.

*****

Mr. Barker recognized Marvin Jackson, former school bus driver, who was in the audience.

7 **Dog Damage Claims**

The following action was taken on dog damage claims:

a. **Darrell and Darlene Ball**

Mr. & Mrs. Darrell Ball were present to respond to questions concerning the dog damage claim they filed and the statement filed by the Animal Control Officer that there was evidence coyotes killed their lambs. Mr. & Mrs. Ball stated there has not been any coyote sightings reported in the area. Mrs. Ball reported she recently has seen dogs in the area chasing cows, sheep and goats.

On motion of Mr. Derting, second by Mr. Mathews, it was resolved to follow the recommendation of the Animal Control Officer and deny Dog Damage Claim of Mr. & Mrs. Darrell Ball.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

*****

b. **Deborah Prescott, $75-$100**

Received Dog Damage Claim submitted by Deborah Prescott. Mrs. Deborah Prescott was notified in writing on three separate occasions of hearings scheduled concerning her claim. She did not appear at the meetings. There was consensus agreement to write Mrs. Prescott notifying her that the dog damage claim she has submitted will not be rescheduled for the Agenda until she personally appears before the Board of Supervisors.

*****

On motion of Mr. Parris, second by Mr. Mathews, it was resolved to take a brief recess.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

8 **Adoption of FY 1997-98 County Budget**

Discussion of the FY 1997-98 budget ensued. There was consensus agreement that the County build a capital reserve in order to address the sewer needs in Washington County.

Mr. Reeter presented three real estate tax options for the Supervisors to consider relative to the County FY 1997-98 Budget. Discussion of the three options ensued with interest in establishing .

On motion of Mr. Derting, second by Mrs. Mumpower, it was resolved to adopt the FY 1997-1998 budget for Washington County Virginia at $68,353,173 as set forth in the tentatively approved budgets and in the following resolution, establishing the real estate tax rate at $.66 per $100 assessed valuation, adding $311,822 to the Sewer Fund, and that in August the Board will review actual carry-over fund amounts with the intent of adjusting Capital Sewer Fund monies upward to $500,000:

RESOLUTION

IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Virginia that the unit tax levies for fiscal year 1997-98 are hereby set as follows:

A County-wide unit levy for general County purposes and school purposes of $0.66 per $100 of assessed valuation of all taxable real estate, mobile homes and public utilities.

A County-wide unit levy for general County purposes and school purposes of $1.55 per $100 of assessed valuation of all taxable, tangible personal property excluding that which is listed in Section 58.1-3506(A)(13) of the Code of the Virginia, as amended, pertaining to motor vehicles owned by volunteer rescue squad members or volunteer fire department members.

A County-wide unit levy for general County purposes and school purposes of $.05 per $100 of assessed valuation of taxable, tangible personal property listed in Section 58.1-3506(A)(13) of the Code of Virginia, as amended, pertaining to motor vehicles owned by volunteer rescue squad members or volunteer fire department members.

A County-wide unit levy for general County purposes and school purposes of $1.55 per $100 of assessed valuation of machinery and tools.

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED that the fiscal year 1997-98 revenue and expenditure budgets are adopted at $68,353,173 and the individual agency and department budgets are hereby approved for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1997, and extending to June 30, 1998 for the functions and purposes indicated hereafter.

WASHINGTON COUNTY, VIRGINIA

ADOPTED REVENUE BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 1997-1998

FD 01-GENERAL FUND

10110	FUND BALANCE	$ 1,000,000	
11010	REAL PROPERTY TAXES	$ 9,745,250	
11020	PUBLIC SERV. CORP. TAXES	$ 531,300	
11030	PERSONAL PROPERTY TAXES	$ 3,601,910	
11040	MACHINERY & TOOLS TAXES	$ 1,991,750	
11060	PENALTIES AND INTEREST	$ 235,000	
12010	LOCAL SALES AND USE TAXES	$ 3,800,000	
12020	CONSUMER'S UTILITY TAXES	$ 1,300,000	
12040	FRANCHISE LICENSE TAXES	$ 150,000	
12050	MOTOR VEHICLE TAXES	$ 630,000	
12060	BANK STOCK TAXES	$ 30,000	
12070	TAXES ON RECORDATION AND WILLS	$ 117,000	
12100	HOTEL & MOTEL ROOM TAXES	$ 22,000	
13010	ANIMAL LICENSES AND FEES	$ 22,000	
13030	PERMITS AND OTHER LICENSES	$ 113,500	
14010	FINES AND FORFEITURES	$ 29,000	
15010	REVENUE FROM USE OF MONEY	$ 220,000	
15020	REVENUE FROM USE OF PROPERTY	$ 32,630	
16010	COURT COSTS	$ 12,750	
16020	CHARGES FOR COMMONWLTH'S ATTY	$ 700	
16030	CHARGES FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT	$ 500	
16050	CHARGES CORRECTION & DETENTION	$ 94,500	
16080	CHARGES-SOLID WASTE REMOVAL	$ 1,039,600	
16090	CHARGES FOR HEALTH SERVICES	$ 45,870	
16130	CHARGES FOR PARKS & RECREATION	$ 18,000	
16190	CHARGES INTENSIVE SUPERVISION	$ -0-	
18010	PAYMENT IN LIEU OF TAXES	$ 28,150	
18030	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	$ -0-	
18990	MISCELLANEOUS	$ 16,037	
19010	REFUNDS FROM OTHER AGENCIES	$ 55,000	
19020	OTHER REFUNDS	$ -0-	
19030	MISCELLANEOUS RECOVERIES	$ 5,500	
22010	NON-CATEGORICAL AID	$ 539,000	
23010	COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY	$ 210,518	
23020	SHERIFF	$ 1,585,603	
23030	COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE	$ 123,654	
23040	TREASURER	$ 124,934	
23050	MEDICAL EXAMINER	$ 1,250	
23060	REGISTRAR/ELECTORAL BOARD	$ 36,500	
23070	CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT	$ 7,700	
23080	EMERGENCY SERVICES	$ 4,500	
24010	WELFARE	$ 3,237,534	
24040	OTHER CATEGORICAL AID	$ 539,246	
31010	PAYMENTS IN LIEU OF TAXES	$ 290,000	
33090	OTHER CATEGORICAL AID	$ -0-	
41010	INSURANCE RECOVERIES	$ -0-	
41020	SALE OF LAND AND/OR BUILDINGS	$ -0-	
	TOTAL GENERAL FUND		$31,588,386

FD 02-FEDERAL ASSET SHARING FUND

10110	FUND BALANCE	$ -0-		
33010	PUBLIC SAFETY	$ -0-		
	TOTAL FEDERAL ASSET SHARING FUND			$ -0-

FD 03-LAW LIBRARY FUND

10110	FUND BALANCE		$ -0-	
16010	LAW LIBRARY	$ 7,500		
	TOTAL LAW LIBRARY FUND		$ 7,500	

FD 04-ROAD IMPROVEMENT FUND

10110	FUND BALANCE	$ 142,000		
16070	CHARGES FOR HIGHWAYS,STS,ETC.	$ 10,500		
	TOTAL ROAD IMPROVEMENT FUND		$ 152,500	

FD 05-CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND

10110	FUND BALANCE	$ 2,677,000		
15010	REVENUE FROM USE OF MONEY	$ -0-		
18990	MISCELLANEOUS	$ -0-		
19010	REFUNDS FROM OTHER AGENCIES	$ -0-		
24040	OTHER STATE CATEGORICAL AID	$ -0-		
	TOTAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND		$ 2,677,000	

FD 10-SPECIAL GRANT PROJECTS FUND

10110	FUND BALANCE	$ -0-		
18990	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	$ -0-		
24040	OTHER CATEGORICAL AID	$ 1,652,450		
32030	COMM DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANTS	$ -0-		
	TOTAL SPECIAL GRANT PROJECTS FUND		$ 1,652,450	

FD 11-E911 PROJECT FUND

10110	FUND BALANCE	$ -0-		
12020	CONSUMER'S UTILITY TAXES	$ 170,000		
15010	REVENUE FROM USE OF MONEY	$ -0-		
	TOTAL E911 PROJECT FUND		$ 170,000	

FD 108-COUNTY LIBRARY FUND

10110	FUND BALANCE	$ -0-		
16150	CHARGES FOR COUNTY LIBRARY	$ 21,000		
18030	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	$ -0-		
18990	MISCELLANEOUS	$ 14,000		
24040	OTHER CATEGORICAL AID	$ 156,000		
33040	OTHER CATEGORICAL AID	$ -0-		
	TOTAL COUNTY LIBRARY FUND		$ 191,000	

FD 206-VA. PUBLIC ASSISTANCE FUND

10110	FUND BALANCE	$ -0-	
18990	MISCELLANEOUS	$ -0-	
	TOTAL VA. PUBLIC ASSISTANCE FUND		$ -0-

FD 312-GENERAL SCHOOL FUND

10110	FUND BALANCE	$ -0-	
15010	REVENUE FROM USE OF MONEY	$ -0-	
15020	REVENUE FROM USE OF PROPERTY	$ 3,000	
16120	CHARGES FOR EDUCATION	$ 312,850	
18030	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	$ 134,000	
18990	MISCELLANEOUS	$ 200,000	
19010	RECOVERY OF TUITIONS	$ 44,441	
24020	CATEGORICAL AID-STATE	$24,106,447	
33020	CATEGORICAL AID-FEDERAL	$ 2,619,639	
41010	INSURANCE RECOVERIES	$ -0-	
41040	PROCEEDS FROM INDEBTEDNESS	$ -0-	
	TOTAL GENERAL SCHOOL FUND		$27,420,377

FD 315-SCHOOL TEXTBOOK FUND

10110	FUND BALANCE	$ 213,057	
15010	REVENUE FROM USE OF MONEY	$ 6,000	
16120	CHARGES FOR EDUCATION	$ -0-	
18030	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	$ -0-	
18990	MISCELLANEOUS	$ -0-	
19010	RECOVERY OF TUITIONS	$ 190	
24020	CATEGORICAL AID	$ 271,225	
	TOTAL SCHOOL TEXTBOOK FUND		$490,472

FD 316-SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION FUND

10110	FUND BALANCE	$ 1,737,934	
15010	REVENUE FROM USE OF MONEY	$ -0-	
18990	MISCELLANEOUS	$ -0-	
40000	VPSA BOND PROCEEDS	$ -0-	
41040	PROCEEDS FROM INDEBTEDNESS	$ -0-	
	TOTAL SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION FUND		$ 1,737,934

FD 317-SCHOOL CAFETERIA FUND

10110	FUND BALANCE	$ 18,014	
15010	REVENUE FROM USE OF MONEY	$ 4,000	
16120	CHARGES FOR EDUCATION	$ 2,243,540	
18030	EXPENDITURES REFUND	$ -0-	
18990	MISCELLANEOUS	$ -0-	
24040	OTHER CATEGORICAL AID	$ -0-	
	TOTAL SCHOOL CAFETERIA FUND		$ 2,265,554
	TOTAL ADOPTED REVENUE BUDGET		$68,353,173

WASHINGTON COUNTY, VIRGINIA
ADOPTED EXPENDITURE BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 1997-1998

FD 01-GENERAL FUND

11010	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	$	108,035
11050	BOS COMMITTEES	$	11,750
12110	COUNTY ADMINISTRATION	$	131,213
12200	LEGAL SERVICES	$	104,116
12220	PERSONNEL SERVICES	$	35,788
12240	COUNTY AUDIT	$	26,000
12300	ACCOUNTING	$	107,185
12310	COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE	$	314,523
12320	ASSESSOR	$	50,000
12410	TREASURER	$	340,576
12510	DATA PROCESSING	$	189,105
12530	PURCHASING	$	32,491
13100	ELECTORAL BOARD	$	39,035
13200	GENERAL REGISTRAR	$	76,730
21100	CIRCUIT COURT-JUDGE	$	38,644
21200	GENERAL DISTRICT COURT	$	8,225
21300	SPECIAL MAGISTRATES	$	2,500
21500	JUV & DOM RELATIONS COURT	$	22,085
21600	CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT	$	121,623
21900	VICTIM & WITNESS ASSISTANCE	$	44,168
22100	COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY	$	295,896
31200	WCSO-SHERIFF OFFICE	$	2,063,803
31210	WCSO-COPS FAST GRANT	$	18,302
31220	WCSO-COPS MORE GRANT	$	-0-
31230	WCSO- CRIME PREVENT GRANT	$	55,149
31240	WCSO-DRUG ENFORCE. GRANT	$	35,424
31250	WCSO- TECHNOLOGY GRANT	$	-0-
31260	WCSO-UNIVERS. HIRING GRANT	$	55,374
31270	WCSO-CHURCH ARSON GRANT	$	-0-
31280	WCSO-VSTOP GRANT	$	30,561
31450	WCSO-CENTRAL DISPATCH	$	160,000
31700	WCSO-DARE PROGRAM	$	67,775
32200	FIRE DEPARTMENTS	$	552,269
32300	EMS DEPARTMENTS	$	355,115
32400	FIRE & RESCUE FACILITIES	$	50,000
33100	WCSO-JAIL OPERATIONS	$	319,700
33110	WCSO-JAIL IMPROVEMENTS	$	-0-
33200	JUVENILE DETENTION CENTER	$	91,572
33400	DEPT. CORRECTIONS GRANTS	$	-0-
33500	OFFICE OF YOUTH	$	50,982
34100	BUILDING INSPECTION	$	102,199
35100	ANIMAL CONTROL	$	194,911
35300	MEDICAL EXAMINER	$	2,000
35500	EMERGENCY SERVICES	$	80,000
41200	MAINT ROADS HWY & RAILS	$	3,200
42600	SOLID WASTE DEPARTMENT	$	2,383,465
42700	RECYCLING & SPEC. PROJECTS	$	86,321
43200	GENERAL SERVICES	$	594,161
43400	SEWER & WATER SUBSIDIES	$	224,775
51100	WC HEALTH DEPT	$	359,182
51200	WC HEALTH DEPT-SUPPLEMENT	$	46,173

51400	SPEECH & HEARING	$ 16,500	
52500	MENTAL HEALTH-RETARDATION	$ 189,435	
53220	STATE-LOCAL HOSPITALIZATION	$ 16,000	
53230	AREA AGENCY ON AGING	$ 15,777	
53250	INDIGENT CARE-OTHER	$ 500	
53500	SENIOR SERVICES	$ 103,600	
53700	COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY	$ 141,633	
53800	OTHER CONTRIBUTIONS	$ 55,900	
68100	COMMUNITY COLLEGES	$ 51,350	
68200	OTHER CONTRIBUTIONS	$ -0-	
71110	RECREATION DEPARTMENT	$ 88,653	
71340	COUNTY PARKS AND POOLS	$ 63,050	
71350	COMMUNITY CENTERS	$ 68,420	
71360	RECREATION PROGRAMS-TOWNS	$ 75,000	
71500	ATHLETIC GROUP ACTIVITIES	$ 45,735	
71900	OTHER RECREATION ACTIVITIES	$ -0-	
72600	CULTURAL ENRICHMENT	$ 172,500	
81100	PLANNING & ZONING	$ 85,001	
81110	PLANNING ACTIVITIES-REGIONAL	$ 27,531	
81500	COMMUNITY & ECON DEVELOP	$ 77,783	
81510	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES	$ 262,321	
81600	VIRGINIA HIGHLANDS AIRPORT	$ 230,704	
82400	SOIL & WATER CONS DISTRICT	$ 18,350	
83100	COUNTY EXTENSION PROGRAM	$ 67,959	
91400	RESERVE FOR CONTINGENCIES	$ 350,000	
92100	REVENUE REFUNDS	$ -0-	
92200	EXPENDITURE ADJUSTMENTS	$ -0-	
	TOTAL GENERAL FUND		$12,305,803

FD 02-FEDERAL ASSET SHARING FUND

31800	SHERIFF'S FASP ACCOUNT	$ -0-	
	TOTAL FEDERAL ASSET SHARING FUND		$ -0-

FD 03-WASH. CO. LAW LIBRARY FUND

21800	WASH. CO. LAW LIBRARY	$ 7,500	
	TOTAL WASH. CO. LAW LIBRARY FUND		$7,500

FD 04-ROAD IMPROVEMENT FUND

94410	UPGRADING SUBDIVISION ROADS	$ 145,000	
94420	OTHER IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS	$ 7,500	
	TOTAL ROAD IMPROVEMENT FUND		$ 152,500

FD 05-CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND

94520	SOLID WASTE-FACILITIES & EQUIP	$ 400,000	
94550	COUNTY RENOVATION PROJECTS	$ -0-	
94551	JAIL RENOVATION PROJECT	$ 1,578,400	
94560	WATER PROJECTS	$ 244,000	
94570	SEWER PROJECTS	$ 769,974	
94580	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES	$ -0-	
94590	OTHER CAPITAL PROJECTS	$ 50,000	

	TOTAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND		$ 3,042,374
FD 10-SPECIAL GRANT PROJECTS FUND			
94910	WCIP WATER PROJECT-EDA	$ -0-	
94920	WCIP WATER PROJECT-CDBG	$ -0-	
94930	WC/GS REGIONAL SEWER PROJ	$ 1,194,150	
94940	E/M SEWER PROJECT-CDBG	$ -0-	
94941	MICROENTERPRISE LOAN PROGRAM	$ 100,000	
94950	GLADE-HIGHLANDS SHELL BLDG	$ -0-	
94951	WCIP SEWER EXTENSION	$ 358,300	
	TOTAL SPECIAL GRANT PROJECTS FUND		$ 1,652,450
FD 11-E911 PROJECT FUND			
31400	E-911 PERSONNEL BUDGET	$ 154,957	
31410	E-911 OPERATIONAL BUDGET	$ 102,297	
	TOTAL E911 PROJECT FUND		$ 257,254
FD 108-COUNTY LIBRARY FUND			
73100	LIBRARY ADMIN LOCAL	$ 506,150	
73300	LIBRARY ADMIN STATE & FED AID	$ 156,000	
73400	BRANCH LIBRARY LOCAL-DAMASCUS	$ 30,632	
73500	BRANCH LIBRARY LOCAL-MENDOTA	$ 23,953	
73600	BRANCH LIBRARY LOCAL-GLADE	$ 24,254	
73700	BRANCH LIBRARY-HAYTER'S GAP	$ 23,715	
73750	BRANCH LIBRARIES-INTERNET	$ -0-	
73800	LIBRARY-LITERACY PROGRAM	$ 44,285	
73900	LIBRARY GRANTS & ENDOWMENTS	$ 14,000	
73910	LIBRARY-LSCA TITLE VI GRANT	$ -0-	
73990	LIBRARY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS	$ 46,000	
	TOTAL COUNTY LIBRARY FUND		$ 868,989
FD 206-VA PUBLIC ASSISTANCE FUND			
53100	WELFARE ADMINISTRATION	$ 1,787,981	
53200	PUBLIC ASSISTANCE & S.S. PROGRAMS	$ 1,689,382	
53300	COMMUNITY SERVICES ACT	$ 464,651	
92200	EXPENDITURE ADJUSTMENTS	$ -0-	
	TOTAL VA PUBLIC ASSISTANCE FUND		$ 3,942,014
FD 312-GENERAL SCHOOL FUND			$41,508,645
FD 315-SCHOOL TEXTBOOK FUND			$ 612,156
FD 316-SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION FUND			$ 1,737,934
FD 317-SCHOOL CAFETERIA FUND			$ 2,265,554
	TOTAL ADOPTED EXPENDITURE BUDGET		$68,353,173

GENERAL FUND TRANSFERS
FISCAL YEAR 1997-98

TO CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND	**$ 365,374**	
TO E911 PROJECT FUND	**$ 87,254**	
TO VA PUBLIC ASSISTANCE FUND	**$ 3,942,014**	
TO COUNTY LIBRARY FUND	**$ 677,989**	
TO GENERAL SCHOOL FUND	**$14,088,268**	
TO SCHOOL TEXTBOOK FUND	**$ 121,684**	
TOTAL GENERAL FUND TRANSFERS		**$19,282,583**

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED that $12,000 of the County's Local Contribution to the General School Fund be designated for the Washington County Skill Center to purchase MAZAK equipment and for the development of a video.

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED that the approval of this budget shall not constitute an appropriation of funds.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

9 **Approval of Contract With Thompson & Litton For Professional Engineering Services Relative to Washington County Industrial Park Sewer Extension CDBG Project**

Ms. Christianne E. Parker, Assistant County Administrator, appeared before the Supervisors giving a status report on the Washington County Industrial Park Sewer Extension CDBG Project. She advised the Department of Housing & Community Development has preauthorized grant funds in the amount of $350,000.

On motion of Mr. Mathews, second by Mr. Derting, it was resolved to approve contract with Thompson & Litton for engineering services on the WC IP Sewer Extension CDBG Project, and further authorized the County Administrator to execute the contract documents on behalf of the Board of Supervisors.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

10 **Consideration of Request for Additional Funds, William King Regional Arts Center**

Messrs. Andrew Hargroves, Pete Montague and Carrington Ewell, William King Regional Arts Center, appeared before the Board of Supervisors requesting a one-time allocation of $50,000 to cover unanticipated cash-flow shortages, and explained the reasons for this unexpected request.

On motion of Mr. Derting, second by Mr. Mathews, it was resolved to approve a one-time only

appropriation of $50,000 from FY 1996-97 Reserves for Contingency to the William King Regional Arts Center to help cover their unanticipated cash flow shortages.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

Discussion resulted in the Board of Supervisors stating their position that they may consider reducing this amount from William King Foundation=s FY 1998-99 allocation.

---

11 **Recess**

See Above

---

12 **Consideration of Increase in County Tax Levy for E-911 Emergency Telephone Service**

In response to a request from the Supervisors, Mr. Reeter provided information concerning the tax levy for E-911 telephone service in the surrounding communities. Also provided for their consideration was an excerpt from Section 58.1-3818 D of the Code of Virginia authorizing the County to establish a levy for specific uses directly attributable to the E-911 program. Discussion ensued relative to consideration of increasing the tax levy to $1.00.

On motion of Mr. Mathews, second by Mr. Derting, it was resolved to advertise a public hearing to consider amending Article XIII of the County Code, Special Assessment for Enhanced 911 Emergency Telephone System.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (4-3)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Nay**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Nay**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Nay**				

---

13 **Virginia Department of Transportation Tentative 997-98 Construction Fund Allocations for Interstate, Primary, and Urban Highway Systems, Including Update of Six Year Improvement Program Through 2002-03**

Received communication from Virginia Department of Transportation concerning the Tentative 1997-98 Construction Allocations and Six Year Improvement Program, noting information relative to Washington County road projects.

Supervisors discussed inviting Ken Brittle to a meeting to make a presentation of the Six Year Plan and respond to questions concerning Exits 14 and 17.

---

14 Request for Reimbursement of Utility Taxes Paid, Emmanuel Baptist Church, $474.00

On motion of Mr. Derting, second by Mr. Barker , it was resolved to approve a

refund of Consumer Utility Taxes paid by Emmanuel Baptist Church in the total amount of $474.00.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

15 **Proclamation Designating August 9, 1997 as Keep Bristol Beautiful ASouth Holston Lake Cleanup Day@**

On motion of Mr. Ingle, second by Mrs. Mumpower, it was resolved to adopt the following resolution:

PROCLAMATION

CITIES OF BRISTOL TENNESSEE-VIRGINIA
COUNTY OF WASHINGTON, VIRGINIA & SULLIVAN COUNTY TENNESSEE

A PROCLAMATION to designate August 9, 1997 as Keep Bristol Beautiful ASouth Holston Lake Cleanup Day@ in Bristol Tennessee, Bristol Virginia, County of Washington Virginia and Sullivan County Tennessee to commend area residents for their participation in the past.

WHEREAS, the annual South Holston Lake Cleanup Day demonstrates the desire of the community to add beauty and a natural appearance to the landscape through cleanup efforts; and

WHEREAS, at a time when public lands and waterways are being reduced at an alarming rate, volunteer cleanup activities are some of the most important traditions we can establish to assure America=s beauty; and

WHEREAS, a clean environment is a legacy to future generations;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT PROCLAIMED by the governing bodies of Bristol Tennessee, Bristol Virginia, County of Washington Virginia, and Sullivan County Tennessee that Saturday, August 9, 1997, is designated as the fifth annual

AKeep Bristol Beautiful South Holston Lake Cleanup Day@

and that we urge our citizens to contribute to the future of our region by participating in this valuable community activity.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

16 **Application for Permit to Possess and Display Fireworks, Dr. Ron W. Guest**

On motion of Mr. Derting, second by Mr. Mathews, it was resolved to approve Application for Permit to Possess and Display Fireworks filed by Dr. Ron W. Guest for an event scheduled for June 14, 1997 at the Virginian Golf Club.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

17 **Application for Parade Permit, July Taylor=s Valley Community Association**

On motion of Mr. Parris, second by Mr. Barker, it was resolved to approve Application for Parade Permit filed by Taylor=s Valley Community Club for July 4, 1997.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

18 **Appointments to Recreation Advisory Committee**

The following action was taken on appointments to Recreation Advisory Committee:

:: **Rebecca Selfe, Monroe District**

Mr. Osborne turned the gavel over to Mr. Ingle for the purpose of making a motion.

On motion of Mr. Osborne, second by Mr. Mathews, it was resolved to reappoint Rebecca Selfe to the Recreation Advisory Committee representing the Monroe Magisterial District for a four year term effective July 1, 1997 to June 30, 2001.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

Mr. Ingle returned the gavel to Mr. Osborne, who continued to Chair the meeting.

*****

:: **Laura Bailey, Tyler District**

Mr. Barker requested that the Tyler District Appointment be postponed until June 24, 1997.

19 **Request to carry Over Unencumbered Funds from FY 1996-97 Budget, Main Library (Charlotte Lewis, Director)**

Mrs. Charlotte Lewis, Director, Washington County Public Library, addressed the Supervisors requesting permission to carry over unencumbered funds from the FY 1996-97 operation budget for the Main Library and to carry over funds that have been held in special reserve accounts.

Mrs. Lewis responded to the Supervisors questions concerning the practice of applying carry over funds to capital improvement projects.

On motion of Mr. Barker, second by Mr. Mathews, it was resolved to grant Mrs. Lewis permission to carry over $60,718.35 unencumbered funds to the FY 1997-98 Library Budget to finance capital improvement projects.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (5-2)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Nay**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Nay**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

20 **Surplus Vehicles (Frank Canter, General Services Manager)**

On motion of Mr. Parris, second by Mr. Ingle, it was resolved to accept surplus vehicle, 1990 Chevrolet Cavalier 4D SDN VIN #1G1JC54GXL7142781, from the Department of Social Services, and further to surplus this vehicle to Green Cove Senior Citizens.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

21 **Citizen Comments**

At this time, Mr. Osborne invited comments from citizens on items that do not appear on the Agenda.

Phil Blevins, Unit Director, VPI Cooperative Extension, advised the Supervisors that the Virginia Higher Education Center has funds that can be appropriated for program implementation and coordination for Horticulture. He requested that the funds be accepted by the County and appropriated to the Extension Office. There was consensus agreement from the Board to support Mr. Blevins' request.

Patrick Mannix, cited a violation of the Virginia Election laws and spoke of the Democratic Mass Meeting where the violation occurred.

22 **County Administrator Comments**

The following action was taken on County Administrator Comments:

a. **Discussion of Proposed Board of Supervisors' General Policies: Article 6, Budget Policy, and Article 7, Audit Requirements for Recipients of County Funds**

Mr. Reeter provided drafts of proposed Article 6, Budget Policy, and Article 7, Audit Requirements for Recipients of County Funds to the Board of Supervisors 1997

General Policies to be added to the By-Laws, General Policies and Operational Procedures.

Mr. Lyle was asked to review Article 6 prior to the June 24, 1997 Board of Supervisors meeting.

*****

b. **Update on Washington County Jail Renovation Project**

Mr. Reeter reviewed the status of the Washington County Jail Renovation project and recommended that the Supervisors schedule a meeting with Dewberry & Davis.

On motion of Mr. Mathews, second by Mr. Parris, it was resolved to schedule a recessed meeting for Tuesday, June 24, 1997, 6:00 p.m. to meet with Dewberry & Davis for a presentation on the Jail renovation project.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

Mr. Reeter reported that Mr. John Martin has accepted the County-s offer of employment as the County Attorney to begin July 1, 1997. Mr. Reeter stated he will be at the June 24, 1997 meeting.

23 **County Attorney Reports** There were no reports.

24 **Consent Agenda**

On motion of Mr. Mathews, second by Mr. Parris, it was resolved to approve the following consent agenda item:

a. **Payment of Bills, May 1997**

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

25 **Board Member Reports**

Mr. Barker advised the Board he received a letter from Richard Gardner who resigned from the Washington County Planning Commission.

On motion of Mr. Barker, second by Mr. Derting, it was resolved to appoint Walter Doss to the Washington County Planning Commission representing the Tyler Magisterial District to fill the unexpired term of Richard Gardner for a term effective immediately to June 30, 2000.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

Mr. Osborne and Supervisors expressed their sympathy at the passing of Ernest Leo Hockett who served many years on the Washington County Service Authority and was actively concerned with the development and growth of the County. There was consensus agreement to present a plaque in memory of Mr. Hockett to his family recognizing his valuable and dedicated service to Washington County.

26 **Adjournment**

On motion of Mr. Ingle, second by Mr. Mathews, it was resolved to recess to Tuesday, June 24, 1997, 6:00 p.m. to meet with representatives of Dewberry & Davis.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	**Aye**	**Mr. Ingle**	**Aye**	**Mr. Mathews**	**Aye**
Mr. Osborne	**Aye**	**Mrs. Mumpower**	**Aye**	**Mr. Parris**	**Aye**
Mr. Barker	**Aye**				

James T. Osborne, Chairman