

**VIRGINIA:**

At a regular meeting of the Washington County Board of Supervisors held Tuesday, June 10, 2008, at 7:00 p.m., at the County Administration Building in Abingdon, Virginia the following were present:

**PRESENT:**

Kenneth O. Reynolds, Chairman  
Jack R. McCrady, Jr., Vice Chairman  
Phillip B. McCall  
Dulcie M. Mumpower  
Odell Owens  
Paul O. Price (left at 10:15 PM)  
Thomas G. Taylor

Mark K. Reeter, County Administrator  
Lucy E. Phillips, County Attorney  
Mark W. Seamon, Accounting Manager  
Naoma A. Norris, Recording Clerk

\*\*\*\*\*

**1. Call to Order**

The meeting was called to order by Mr. Kenneth O. Reynolds, Chairman of the Board, who welcomed everyone in attendance.

**2. Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance**

Supervisor Odell Owens gave the Invocation and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

**3. Approval of Agenda**

*On motion of Mrs. Mumpower, second by Mr. Owens, it was resolved to approve the agenda with the following amendments:*

*Addition of County Administrator Report Item:*

*12.c. Response from Town of Abingdon Concerning Joint Sports Complex Project*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)*

*Mr. McCall                    Aye*  
*Mr. McCrady                Aye*  
*Mrs. Mumpower            Aye*  
*Mr. Owens                    Aye*

*Mr. Price*                    *Aye*  
*Mr. Reynolds*            *Aye*  
*Mr. Taylor*                *Aye*

Mr. Reeter provided a review of the materials provided to the Board at their stations.

**4.     Approval of Minutes**

*On motion of Mr. Owens, second by Mr. McCall, it was resolved to approve the minutes of the following meetings with corrections as noted:*

*May 20, 2008 Recessed Meeting*

*Page 15407 – The third paragraph was rewritten. There were substantive changes to the minutes.*

*May 27, 2008 Regular Meeting*

*Approved as presented.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)*

*Mr. McCall*                *Aye*  
*Mr. McCrady*            *Aye*  
*Mrs. Mumpower*        *Aye*  
*Mr. Owens*               *Aye*  
*Mr. Price*                *Aye*  
*Mr. Reynolds*           *Aye*  
*Mr. Taylor*               *Aye*

**5.     Public Hearings:**

a.     Request(s) for Special Exception Permit:

(1). Mark Blevins, Property Tax Map #103A2-2-3: Request for a Special Exception Permit to construct a 10,500 square foot building for the purpose of heavy equipment sales, rental, repair, storage and maintenance on property located on the south side of State Route 766 near the intersection of State Route 19/State Route 766 in a B-2 (Business, General) zone, Harrison Magisterial District, B-01 Election District

County Zoning and Subdivision Official Cathie Freeman provided the Board with introductory remarks. Mrs. Freeman explained that the applicant would like to construct a 10,500 square foot building for heavy equipment storage such as cranes. She further explained that the applicant has proposed several uses including an accounting office, bookkeeping and tax return preparation service, art gallery and photography studio. These are permissible uses by right without a Special Exception Permit in the B-2, Business General District. Mrs. Freeman stated that Kim Bevens, co-owner of the business, addressed the Planning Commission explaining that there would be three cranes on the site as well as boom trucks.

Mrs. Freeman explained that Mr. Harvey Clark representing Carvossa United Methodist Church addressed the Planning Commission in opposition to the Special Exception Permit request. He explained

that the church's concerns are with heavy equipment storage on the site and the possible accumulation of scrap metal that would make the property unsightly. The church is also concerned that the business would be open on Sundays. Mrs. Freeman explained that Ms. Bevins expressed respect for the concerns of the church and advised the Planning Commission that the business would not be open on Sunday unless there was an emergency where a crane would be needed. Ms. Blevins told the Planning Commission that the site would be kept clean and further that the only equipment repairs would be on their own equipment. They would not perform repairs on outside equipment.

Mrs. Freeman explained that the Planning Commission on a 6-0 vote recommended the approval of the Special Exception Permit with the stipulations that there would be no heavy equipment sales located at the site; the business is to be closed on Sunday and no repair or services of outside equipment is to be conducted at this location.

Discussions ensued among the Board.

Mr. Reynolds opened the public hearing and invited comments concerning the request for Special Exception Permit.

Mr. Harvey Clark representing Carvossa United Methodist Church addressed the Board. Mr. Clark explained that the church objects to heavy equipment sales, rental of equipment and equipment repair. The church does not object to rental of cranes. The objection the church has to repairs is because of the noise it would create. Mr. Clark further explained that the church does not object to an art gallery and bookkeeping business. He stated that in order to get the church you have to go by the business and that the business is visible from the church. He further stated that the church supports the stipulations that were recommended by the Planning Commission. Mr. Clark explained that if there is an emergency need for a crane to be rented on Sundays the church has no objections.

Ms. Kim Bevins addressed the Board explaining that she was co-owner of the business and would answer any questions the Board may have. Ms. Bevins explained that the business would rent cranes and boom trucks, and further that it is agreeable to not operate the business on Sundays unless there is a need for the equipment. She further explained that they would be happy to work with church on any matters of which the church has issue with. Ms. Bevins addressed the issues raised about selling of equipment. She explained that they may want to sell a piece of the rental equipment at some point, but there would not be more than one or two pieces of equipment on the lot at one time.

Mr. McCall inquired to Ms. Bevins if she had problems with the stipulations recommended by the Planning Commission. Ms. Bevin explained that she did not have problems with the stipulations other than if there were an emergency need for equipment to go out on a Sunday.

Mr. Taylor inquired if the business was currently in operation. Ms. Bevins explained that the business had been in operation for a year thinking that they were okay. She further explained that when they applied for a building permit to construct their building it was then they learned that a Special Exception Permit is required to operate the business.

Mr. Owens asked for clarification about the issue of equipment sales that has been raised. Ms. Bevins explained that the business may want to sale a piece of rental equipment from time to time.

There being no further comments, Mr. Reynolds declared the public hearing closed.

Discussions ensued among the Board.

Mr. Price explained that he does not see anything wrong with the recommended stipulations of the Planning Commission. However, the repair of this businesses' equipment will not make less noise than if they were permitted to perform repairs on outside equipment. Mr. Price stated that he did not realize a Special Exception Permit was required and asked for an explanation. Mrs. Freeman explained that the County Zoning Ordinance requires under Section 66-473 that lumber and brick yards and building materials and equipment sales, rental, repair and services with exterior storage under cover require a Special Exception Permit. She further explained that the heavy equipment component of the business is the reason a Special Exception Permit is required.

Mr. McCrady inquired if the stipulations were removed would sales be allowed. Mrs. Freeman explained that the Special Exception Permit with or without stipulations stays with the property. If the property is sold and the new owner wishes to sale heavy equipment they would be allowed to if there was not a stipulation.

Mr. Price commented that he does not have problems with equipment sales or repair, and that he does not see how the business would adversely affect anyone because the business has made the concession to not operate on Sundays.

A motion was offered by Mr. Price to approve the application of Mark Blevins for a Special Exception Permit to construct a 10,500 square foot building for the purpose of heavy equipment sales, rental, repair, storage and maintenance on property located on the south side of State Route 766 near the intersection of State Route 19/State Route 766 in a B-2 (Business, General) zone, Harrison Magisterial District, B-01 Election District. Discussions ensued with no second offered to the motion. Subsequently, the following action was taken:

*On motion of Mrs. Mumpower second by Mr. McCrady, the Board acted to follow the recommendation of the Washington County Planning Commission to approve the application of Mark Blevins for a Special Exception Permit to construct a 10,500 square foot building for the purpose of heavy equipment sales, rental, repair, storage and maintenance on property located on the south side of State Route 766 near the intersection of State Route 19/State Route 766 in a B-2 (Business, General) zone, Harrison Magisterial District, B-01 Election District with the following stipulations: (1) There will be no heavy equipment sales located at this site; (2) the business is to be closed on Sunday; and (3) no repair or services of outside equipment is to be conducted at this location.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (5-2)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Nay</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Taylor</i>	<i>Nay</i>

- b. Public Hearing and Consideration of Adoption of an Ordinance to Amend Chapter 66, Sections 66-374 and 66-813, of the Code of Washington County, Virginia to Allow Recreational Vehicle Parks and Campgrounds by Special Exception Permit in the Konnarock District

Ms. Phillips addressed the Board explaining that the proposed ordinance originated from a request of a landowner in the Konnarock Zoning District interested in establishing a recreational vehicle park and campground in the Konnarock District. She explained that County staff responded to the landowner that the use was not currently allowed, but felt that operation of a recreational vehicle park was a good use. Therefore, the issue was brought before the County Land Use Steering Committee. The Land Use Steering Committee recommended to the Planning Commission that these uses be permitted. The Planning Commission authorized the preparation of the ordinance that is before the Board.

Ms. Phillips explained that the proposed ordinance would add recreational vehicle parks and campgrounds as a use allowed by special exception permit in the Konnarock District.

Mr. Reynolds opened the public hearing and invited comments concerning the proposed ordinance.

There being no comments, Mr. Reynolds declared the public hearing closed.

Discussions ensued among the Board.

Mr. McCrady commented that the Konnarock District is located in the Taylor Election District and is surrounded by the Jefferson National Forest. He stated that permitting the operation of recreational vehicle parks and campgrounds would be an appropriate use of land.

Mr. McCall inquired if the proposed ordinance includes language that would require recreational vehicle parks and campgrounds to be located away from the Virginia Creeper Trail. Ms. Phillips explained a 35' setback would be required. She added that the board could place stipulations on a Special Exception Permit.

After further discussions, the following action was taken by the Board:

*On motion of Mr. McCrady, second by Mr. Owens, the Board acted to adopt the following ordinance as recommended by the Washington County Planning Commission:*

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 66, SECTIONS 66-374 AND 66-813, OF THE CODE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY, VIRGINIA, TO ALLOW RECREATIONAL VEHICLE PARKS AND CAMPGROUNDS BY SPECIAL EXCEPTION PERMIT IN THE KONNAROCK DISTRICT**

*WHEREAS, the zoning district of the County designated as the Konnarock District borders the Mount Rogers National Recreation Area and is an area treasured for its natural beauty and unique culture; and*

*WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Washington, Virginia, (Board) acknowledges the benefits to the economy of tourism in the natural areas of the County; and*

*WHEREAS, the Board considers Recreational Vehicle Parks and Campgrounds to be an attractive use in the Konnarock District due to the natural beauty of the area and its proximity to the National Recreation Area, and*

*WHEREAS, the Board deems Recreational Vehicle Parks and Campgrounds to be consistent with other uses currently allowed in the district if it were allowed subject to special exception permit review by the Washington County Planning Commission and the Board; and*

*WHEREAS the Board finds it in the best interests of the public health, safety, and welfare to add Recreational Vehicle Parks and Campgrounds as a use allowed by special exception permit in the Konnarock District;*

*NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Virginia, after notice and public hearing, as required by law:*

*1. That Subsections 66-374 and 66-813 of Chapter 66 of the Code of the County of Washington, Virginia (2002), are amended, as set forth below:*

\* \* \*

**CHAPTER 66 ZONING  
ARTICLE V DISTRICTS  
DIVISION 8 KONNAROCK DISTRICT**

*Sec. 66-374. Special exception uses.*

*In the Konnarock district, the following uses or structures may be permitted only if approved for the issuance of a special exception as provided in this chapter:*

- (1) Agricultural and building supply yards.*
- (2) Beauty shops and barbershops.*
- (3) Business and professional offices.*
- (4) Day care center.*
- (5) Gas stations and garages.*
- (6) General stores.*
- (7) Gift and antique shops.*
- (8) Lodges and private clubs.*
- (9) Manufacturing.*
- (10) Motels and restaurants.*
- (11) Multiple-family dwellings.*
- (12) Off-site uses related to airport safety as defined in section 66-610.*
- (13) Recreational vehicle parks and campgrounds*
- (1314) Riding stables.*
- (1415) Utilities and public services as follows:*
  - a. Poles, overhead and underground lines, distribution transformers, meters, street lighting and related appurtenances necessary for the transmission and distribution of electric and telecommunication services (with the exception of telecommunication towers); electrical power substations and telecommunication switching facilities.*
  - b. Water and wastewater treatment plants.*
  - c. Fire department offices and facilities, emergency medical rescue squad offices and facilities, law enforcement offices and facilities and other governmental offices and facilities.*

**ARTICLE IX RECREATIONAL VEHICLE PARKS AND CAMPGROUNDS**

**Sec. 66-813. Where permitted.**

**Recreational vehicle parks and campgrounds may be permitted in the following zones with a special exception:**

- (1) CR conservation and recreation;**
- (2) HR highlands recreation;**
- (3) SR shoreland recreation;**
- (4) A-1 limited agricultural; ~~and~~**
- (5) A-2 general agricultural; and**
- (6) Konnarock District**

**2. That should any section or provision of this ordinance be decided to be invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any other section or provision of this ordinance or the Washington County Code.**

**3. That this ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its enactment.**

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)*

<b>Mr. McCall</b>	<b>Aye</b>
<b>Mr. McCrady</b>	<b>Aye</b>
<b>Mrs. Mumpower</b>	<b>Aye</b>
<b>Mr. Owens</b>	<b>Aye</b>
<b>Mr. Price</b>	<b>Aye</b>
<b>Mr. Reynolds</b>	<b>Aye</b>
<b>Mr. Taylor</b>	<b>Aye</b>

c. Public Hearing and Consideration of Adoption of an Ordinance to Vacate Lot 6 from the “Plat Showing the Subdivision of Farmlands of Yellow Springs” (Plat Book 36, Page 22-23) in the Jefferson Magisterial District of the County of Washington, Virginia

Ms. Phillips explained that the County ordinance requires that a lot shown in a recorded subdivision plat must be vacated from the plat before it may be divided. The landowner is required to bring a request to vacate to the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Reynolds opened the public hearing and invited comments concerning the proposed ordinance.

Mr. Scott Russ, owner of the property in question addressed the Board explaining that he is requesting the lot be vacated from the subdivision plat so that it could be divided which would permit him to build a home.

Discussions ensued. Responding to an inquiry from the Board, Mr. Russ explained that home he plans to build would be his personal residence.

There being no further comments, Mr. Reynolds declared the public hearing closed.

*On motion of Mr. Price, second by Mr. McCrady, the Board acted to adopt the following ordinance:*

***AN ORDINANCE TO VACATE LOT 6 FROM THE “PLAT SHOWING THE SUBDIVISION OF FARMLANDS OF YELLOW SPRINGS” (PLAT BOOK 36, PAGE 22) IN THE JEFFERSON MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT OF THE COUNTY OF WASHINGTON, VIRGINIA***

*WHEREAS, a subdivision of land in the Jefferson Magisterial District of the County of Washington, Virginia, was made by recordation of a plat titled, “Plat Showing the Subdivision of Farmlands of Yellow Springs” on January 29, 1999, in the land records of the Clerk of Court of Washington County, Virginia (Land Records), in Plat Book 36, Pages 22-23 (Plat);*

*WHEREAS, by deed recorded as Instrument No. 000026334 in the Land Records, Thomas S. Russ acquired Lot 6 (Lot) as more specifically described by the Plat and which is identified by County tax map number 048-9-6 and by deed of gift recorded as Instrument No. 050001787, the Lot was conveyed to Thomas S. Russ and Anna M. Turner (together, Owner) as Joint Tenants with Right of Survivorship;*

*WHEREAS, Owner wishes to divide Lot, which contains 7.01 acres, into parcels of smaller acreage;*

*WHEREAS, the zoning designation for Lot 6 is Agricultural-Limited (A-1) and has a minimum lot size of two acres pursuant to Washington County Code §66-299 because Lot 6 is served by neither public water nor public sewer; and*

*WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Virginia, after public notice and public hearing, pursuant to Virginia Code § 15.2-2272(2) (1950, as amended), does hereby find that vacation of Lot 6 from the Plat for purpose of further division would not harm the public interest or public safety;*

*NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Virginia, that the Board hereby adopts the following ordinance pursuant to Virginia Code § 15.2-2272(2):*

- 1. Lot No. 6 of the “Plat Showing the Subdivision of Farmlands of Yellow Springs” (Plat Book 36, Page 22-23) (Plat) is hereby vacated pursuant to Virginia Code § 15.2-2272(2) from the recorded subdivision plat;*
- 2. Pursuant to Virginia Code § 15.2-2276, after the effective date of this ordinance, the Clerk of Circuit Court of Washington County, Virginia is directed to mark Lot 6 as “Vacated” on the Plat and record a certified copy of this ordinance in the Office of the Clerk of Circuit Court of Washington County, Virginia indexed to Plat Book 36, Page 22-23 pursuant to Virginia Code § 15.2-2272(2);*
- 3. That should any section or provision of this ordinance be decided by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid or unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any other section or provision of this ordinance or of the Washington County Code; and*
- 4. That this ordinance shall become effective thirty (30) days after its adoption unless an appeal pursuant to Virginia Code § 15.2-2272(2) is filed within that time period, in which case, this ordinance shall become effective as directed by a court of competent jurisdiction.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Taylor</i>	<i>Aye</i>

d. Public Hearing and Consideration of Execution of a Lease of County Property to Mendota Community Center Association

Ms. Phillips explained that State Code requires that a public hearing be held on conveyance of publicly owned property even if it is property for lease and not for sale. She further explained that the Mendota Community Association (MCA) has requested authority to lease the facility being utilized as the Mendota Community Center.

Ms. Phillips provided a review of the proposed lease agreement. She explained that the MCA will be responsible for general maintenance of the building and grounds. Further, the MCA shall allow the building to be used as a polling place, emergency shelter, and as a branch library of the Washington County Public Library through the term of the lease which begins July 1, 2008, through June 30, 2011. The lease price is \$1.00 per year.

Mr. Reynolds opened the public hearing and invited comments concerning the proposed lease of the Mendota Community Center to the MCA.

Ms. Louetta Canter, President of the MCA, addressed the Board explaining that the MCA is excited about leasing the community center, and they have a lot of plans for the facility including the installation of new heaters to reduce heating costs. She thanked the Board for their consideration.

There being no further comments, Mr. Reynolds declared the public hearing closed.

Mr. Owens commented that the MCA Board of Directors has plans to improve the property to make the community center a friendlier place. He explained that leasing the facility to the MCA should be good for the Mendota community.

***On motion of Mr. Owens, second by Mrs. Mumpower, the Board acted to approve the lease of the Mendota Community Center to the Mendota Community Association and to authorize the Chairman of the Board to sign the lease.***

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>

Mr. Taylor Aye

*Sciuener's Note: The Lease Agreement referenced above is included as Minutes Exhibits Item 2008-06-10-A.*

**6. Presentation of Proposed Virginia Department of Transportation Six-Year Plan for Secondary Highway Improvements**

Mr. Steve Buston, Abingdon Area Administrator for the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), presented the proposed VDOT Six Year Plan for Secondary Highway Improvements for FY 2008-09 through FY 2013-14. Mr. Buston explained that the Board is requested to adopt a Resolution approving the Six Year Plan as presented and to approve the budget for the first year of the Six Year Plan.

Discussions ensued among the Board and Mr. Buston.

*On motion of Mr. Owens, second by Mr. McCrady, the Board acted to adopt the following Resolution approving the Six-Year Plan for Secondary Highway Improvements:*

**RESOLUTION 2008-17  
APPROVAL OF SIX YEAR ROAD SECONDARY  
PLAN FY 2008 TO FY 2013-14**

***BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED, that the Washington County, Virginia Board of Supervisors does hereby approve the FY2008-09 to 2013-14 Virginia Department of Transportation Secondary System Six Year Plan for Washington County, Virginia; and***

***BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Washington County, Virginia Board of Supervisors approves the first year of the plan titled, Details of Washington County, Virginia Secondary Construction Budget for July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009.***

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)*

Mr. McCall Aye  
Mr. McCrady Aye  
Mrs. Mumpower Aye  
Mr. Owens Aye  
Mr. Price Aye  
Mr. Reynolds Aye  
Mr. Taylor Aye

*Sciuener's Note: The Virginia Department of Transportation Six-Year Plan for Secondary Highway Improvements referenced above is included as Minutes Exhibits Item 2008-06-10-B.*

In another matter, Mr. Buston reviewed the VDOT Revenue Sharing Program funds for FY 2009. He explained that the General Assembly sets aside money for this fund each year (\$50,000,000 for FY 2009)

for cities, towns and counties to apply for up to \$1,000,000 which is matched by the State for highway projects. The revenue sharing money is typically used to build rural addition projects or to bring roads to State standards for acceptance into the State System of Secondary Highways. Recently, the County used revenue sharing funds to complete the Loudon Drive project. He further explained that there are no current revenue sharing projects for the coming fiscal year. Mr. Buston stated however that the U. S. Route 11 drainage project, which has been completed and benefits the Highlands Business Park, has a deficient of about \$30,000-\$35,000. He recommended to the Board that revenue sharing funds be requested to pay half of this deficient and asked that the County officially request the revenue sharing funds from VDOT. He added that the County has their half of this expense already in place.

*On motion of Mr. Taylor, second by Mr. McCrady, the Board acted to request Revenue Sharing Program funds from the Virginia Department of Transportation in an amount sufficient to pay the remaining balance of the U. S. Highway 11 Drainage project that benefits the Highlands Business Park.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Taylor</i>	<i>Aye</i>

**7. Presentation by Veterans Memorial Park Foundation of Abingdon/Washington County, Virginia**

Mr. Emmitt Yeary, President of the Veterans Memorial Park Foundation of Abingdon/Washington County, made a presentation to the Board concerning July 4 events and the Tiffany window at the Washington County Courthouse.

Mr. Yeary thanked the Board for their support of the Veterans Memorial Park and their participation in the recent Memorial Day ceremony. He explained that the Memorial Day ceremony this year was dedicated to honor service men and women from Washington County that served in World War I. As part of the ceremony, a replica of the Tiffany Window at the Washington County Courthouse that commemorates the men and women of Washington County (dedicated on July 4, 1919) that served in World War I was unveiled as a permanent marker at the Veterans Memorial Park. Mr. Yeary added that the Tiffany Window was chosen because it gives a brief history of World War I.

Mr. Yeary explained that the Tiffany Window at the Washington County Courthouse is a historical piece of art in Washington County that very few people know about. He further explained there needs to be something at the Courthouse that calls the public's attention to the Tiffany Window and tells what the window symbolizes. Therefore, the Veterans Memorial Park Foundation is proposing with the County's consent to place a sign about the Tiffany Window on the lawn of the Courthouse between the Confederate monument and porch. The sign would be to the standards of the signs used at national parks and also would be identical to the sign recently unveiled at the Veterans Memorial Park. Mr. Yeary explained that the sign would contain a brief history of World War I and would talk about the Tiffany Window.

Mr. Yeary advised the Board that it is proposed to dedicate the sign at the Courthouse in a ceremony scheduled for July 4, 2008. He encouraged the Board to be a part of the July 4 dedication ceremony. Mr. Yeary requested that the Board consider providing financial assistance to help pay for the sign which is estimated to cost \$1,000.

Discussions ensued among the Board. Responding to an inquiry from the Board, Mr. Yeary explained that if the Board consents to the sign, he will contact the Town of Abingdon about the sign.

After further discussions, the following action was taken:

*On motion of Mr. McCrady, second by Mrs. Mumpower, the Board acted to give consent to placing a marker on the lawn at the Washington County Courthouse that provides information about the Tiffany Window and a brief history on World War I. It was further resolved to approve a supplemental appropriation from reserves for contingencies in the amount of \$1,000 to assist the Veterans Memorial Park Foundation with the purchase of the sign.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Taylor</i>	<i>Aye</i>

**8. Consideration of Resolution Regarding Legislative Action on Transportation Funding**

Mr. Reeter explained that the Virginia Association of Counties (VACo) is urging the adoption of resolutions by the Commonwealth’s counties in support of legislative action concerning funding of transportation needs. Governor Kaine’s proposed transportation plan will be considered during a special session of the General Assembly beginning on June 23.

Mr. Reeter provided a review of the proposed Resolution. He stated that the Board was provided with two VACo Capitol Contacts newsletters that give specific information about Governor Kaine’s proposed transportation plan.

Discussions ensued among the Board.

*On motion of Mr. Price, second by Mrs. Mumpower, the Board acted to adopt the following Resolution:*

**RESOLUTION 2008-18**

***WHEREAS an efficient transportation network is crucial to sustainable economic growth in the Commonwealth, a cleaner environment and enhanced public safety and quality of life; and***

*WHEREAS the Commonwealth faces a documented transportation funding shortfall including a recurring and inflating road maintenance funding shortfall resulting in the Commonwealth Transportation Board eliminating and reducing programmed project spending totaling \$1.1 billion in the new six-year transportation program; and*

*WHEREAS The Virginia Department of Transportation is transferring almost \$400 million in Fiscal Year 2008 from road construction funds to support road maintenance activities; and*

*WHEREAS the eliminated and stalled project monies include primary, urban, and secondary construction funding reductions to regions and localities of up to 44 percent for Fiscal Year 2009; and*

*WHEREAS funding for new highway and bridge construction is diminished as the annual road maintenance shortfall continues to escalate and the estimate to repair the Commonwealth's 1,700 deficient bridges totals more than \$3 billion; and*

*WHEREAS regions of economic importance to the Commonwealth, including Hampton Roads and Northern Virginia, confront major transportation funding challenges in addition to those shared by all other areas of the Commonwealth; and*

*WHEREAS transferring state general funds to transportation neither adequately supports documented and recurring transportation infrastructure investment needs, nor serves to protect the Commonwealth's additional core services including public education, health care, mental health and retardation, and public safety; and*

*WHEREAS Virginia has the nation's seventh lowest motor vehicle sales tax rate and the Commonwealth last enacted dedicated, new, significant and recurring annual statewide revenues for transportation in 1986, including the last increase in Virginia's modestly low gas tax rate of 17.5 cents per gallon; and*

*WHEREAS since 2006 the Commonwealth has enacted significant reforms to improve the coordination between transportation and local land-use planning;*

*NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Virginia that the Board hereby calls on the Governor of Virginia and the Virginia General Assembly during the forthcoming transportation special session to enact a significant transportation funding package to include dedicated, new, significant and recurring annual revenues to address the Commonwealth's documented transportation infrastructure needs.*

*BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Governor of Virginia and the Virginia General Assembly are urged to enact dedicated, new, significant and recurring annual revenues to eliminate the road maintenance shortfall; increase funding directed to interstate and primary highways, urban and secondary roads, and transit projects; guard against the transfer of general funds to transportation; and address the unique transportation needs of specific regions of the Commonwealth including initially Hampton Roads and Northern Virginia.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>

<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Taylor</i>	<i>Aye</i>

**9. Consideration of Resolution Consenting to Industrial Development Authority Financing of Highlands Community Services Office Building Project**

Mr. Jeffery Fox, Executive Director, Highlands Community Services, addressed the Board requesting approval of a Resolution consenting to financing \$1,500,000 for construction of a 9,500 square feet free-standing facility to be located on the same site as the new Highlands Community Services facility through the Stafford County and Staunton Industrial Development Authorities to be borrowed through the Virginia Municipal League/Virginia Association of Counties (VML/VACo) Commercial Paper Program. Mr. Fox explained that sketches of the building were provided to the Board. He further explained that no local funds are being requested for this project.

Mr. Fox reported on the progress of Highlands Community Services new facility construction on Campus Drive in Abingdon, explaining that a date of July 25 has been set as a targeted move in date. He stated that there have been problems with the subcontractors installing glass. Mr. Fox added that the 9,500 square feet free standing facility should be completed by December of this year.

Discussions ensued among the Board.

Mr. Taylor questioned who would be responsible for the debt should there be a default. Mr. Reeter explained that if there was a default it would be a legal matter between Highlands Community Services and VML/VACo. He added that neither Washington County nor the Washington County Industrial Development Authority would be responsible for the debt.

Mr. Price inquired if Highlands Community Services would continue to operate other facilities or if all the programs would be combined into the new construction. Mr. Fox explained there would be four outstanding facilities with most of them being located within the City of Bristol. He added that eleven programs would relocate to the new facility, and that the 9,500 square feet free standing building will largely house programs that deal with the mentally retarded population.

Mr. Owens commended the leadership of Mr. Fox. He explained that under Mr. Fox’s leadership, services have been expanded in Washington County and the City of Bristol.

*On motion of Mr. Owens, second by Mr. McCall, the Board acted to adopt the following Resolution:*

**RESOLUTION 2008-19  
HIGHLANDS COMMUNITY SERVICES BOARD CONSENT**

*WHEREAS, the Highlands Community Services Board (“CSB”) has determined that there is a need to participate in a financing arrangement through the Industrial Development Authority of the County of Stafford and the City of Staunton, Virginia (the “Authority”) in order to finance all or a portion of the costs of the construction, equipping and improvement of a facility located in Abingdon, Virginia which will be used to provide mental health services to citizens of the CSB’s member jurisdictions, together with related costs and expenses (collectively, the “Project”); and*

*WHEREAS, the CSB has proposed that financing for the Project be provided with the assistance of the Authority through the Authority’s VML/VACo Commercial Paper Program (the “Program”) and that the financing be in an amount not to exceed \$1,500,000; and*

*WHEREAS, in connection with the financing under the Program, the CSB will be obligated to make financing payments from funds made available to the CSB by the Commonwealth of Virginia, fee revenue and the member jurisdictions for such purpose; however, such payment obligations will not constitute general obligation indebtedness or any pledge of the full faith and credit or taxing power of the Commonwealth of Virginia or any of the CSB’s member jurisdictions; and*

*WHEREAS, the consent of the Board of Supervisors is hereby requested to allow the Authority to finance the Project and engage in activities in Washington County (the “County”) with respect to the Project;*

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:**

*1. The Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Virginia hereby consents to the financing of the Project through the Program and authorizes the Authority participate in the transaction and engage in activities in the County with respect to the Project. Under no circumstances, however, shall the CSB’s payment obligations under the financing arrangement constitute general obligation indebtedness or a pledge of the full faith and credit or taxing power of the County.*

*2. This resolution shall take effect immediately.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Taylor</i>	<i>Aye</i>

**10. Consideration of Appointments to Boards, Authorities and Commissions Expiring June 30, 2008**

**Washington County Park Authority**

*On motion of Mr. Price, second by Mr. McCrady, it was resolve to reappoint Jack McClanahan to represent the Jefferson Election District on the Washington County Park Authority for a four year term beginning July 1, 2008, and expiring June 30, 2012.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
-------------------	------------

<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Taylor</i>	<i>Aye</i>

Mr. McCall commented that he would have an appointment to replace Mickey Hines on the Virginia Highlands Airport Authority.

Mr. Reeter reviewed a letter from the Comprehensive Services Act/Highlands Community Policy & Management Team regarding a change to the government representative position on the Highlands Community Policy & Management Team. The appointment has a two year term on an alternating basis between the City of Bristol and Washington County. The City of Bristol recently took action to make their FY 2009-2011 appointee a permanent position. As a result of Bristol’s decision, Washington County has two options. The first option is to adopt a resolution to maintain a permanent member, and the second option is to delay any action until the end of FY 2011, when the next County appointment is scheduled to be made.

Discussions ensued among the Board. Subsequently, the following action was taken:

*On motion of Mr. Taylor, second by Mrs. Mumpower the Board acted to appoint Dr. Christopher Qualls as a permanent governmental representative for Washington County to the Highlands Community Policy and Management Team.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Taylor</i>	<i>Aye</i>

**11. Recess**

A ten-minute recess was taken.

**12. County Administrator Reports:**

- a. Scheduling of Board Worksessions Concerning Fiscal Policy and Capital Improvement Plan Development

Mr. Reeter proposed the scheduling of two worksession meetings with the Board in July to continue review of a proposed Fiscal Policy document and discussion of implementation of a capital improvements planning process for the development of a capital budget for the County beginning in Fiscal Year 2009-

2010. He explained that these worksessions would be a continuation of the April 24, 2008, worksession where the Board heard a presentation by the County’s financial advisors, Davenport & Company concerning the fundamentals of fiscal policy development and capital planning/capital budgeting. The proposed dates for the worksessions are:

- Thursday, July 9 and Tuesday, July 15
- Tuesday, July 15 and Thursday, July 17
- Thursday, July 17 and Thursday, July 24

Discussions ensued among the Board. It was consensus of the Board to schedule the two worksessions on Wednesday July 9 and Wednesday, July 23 with both meetings beginning at 6:30 PM.

b. Correspondence from Johnston Memorial Hospital Concerning Evaluation for Possible County Office Space

Mr. Reeter explained that the County received correspondence from Johnston Memorial Hospital inviting the County’s evaluation of the hospital for possible adaptive reuse for County government office space and other related facilities. He explained that this issue comes before the Board at this time to seek authorization for the County Facilities Committee to develop a scope of services needed for the evaluation. The Facilities Committee would bring the scope of work before the Board for approval. After the Board’s approval of the scope of work, request for proposals may be issued to procure professional and other services that are needed to assess the building for adaptive reuse.

Discussions ensued among the Board.

*On motion of Mr. Taylor, second by Mr. McCall, the Board acted to authorize the County Facilities Committee to proceed with evaluation of Johnston Memorial Hospital for possible County office space.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)*

- Mr. McCall                    Aye*
- Mr. McCrady                Aye*
- Mrs. Mumpower            Aye*
- Mr. Owens                   Aye*
- Mr. Price                    Aye*
- Mr. Reynolds               Aye*
- Mr. Taylor                   Aye*

c. Response by Town of Abingdon Concerning Joint Sports Complex Project

Mr. Reeter explained that on June 5, Board Chairman Ken Reynolds and he met with Abingdon Mayor Lois Humphreys and Town Manager Greg Kelly to discuss the County’s response (resulting from the Board’s May 22 worksession meeting) to the Town’s positions on various matters pertaining to the Joint County/Town Sports Complex Project. He further explained that as a result of this meeting, the Town provides the following counter proposal:

- The Town is counter-proposing a 60% County/40% Town cost-sharing arrangement.

- The Town will agree to the creation of a joint authority for the ownership and management of the Sports Complex, provided that such authority is limited to a maximum of six members, with three members being appointed by the Town and three members being appointed by the County.

Mr. Reeter explained that State law requires an even numbered Board for joint park authorities and an even number of appointees by the creating localities, regardless of proportionality in cost-sharing of the project. He further explained that after consultation with the County's bond counsel it is recommended that the joint authority be constituted as a recreational facilities authority (RFA) as provided for by Code of Virginia §15.2-5600 et.al., rather than a park authority under §15.2-5700 et.al. Mr. Reeter explained that RFAs are empowered to undertake projects such as the joint sports complex. He added that another benefit of an RFA is allow for odd-numbered boards (between 5 and 17 members) which would facilitate Board and Town Council appointments using two possible methodologies, as follows (assuming a five-member RFA Board):

Option 1:

- Board of Supervisors appoints three (3) members to the RFA Board (three/fifths or 60% representation)
- Town Council appoints (2) members (two/fifths or 40% representation)

Option 2 (preferred by Abingdon):

- Board of Supervisors appoints two (2) members to the RFA Board
- Town Council appoints two (2) members
- These four (4) by majority vote recommend a joint County/Town appointment of the fifth RFA Board member.

Mr. Reeter explained that the matter before the Board now is consideration of Abingdon's counter-proposal concerning cost-sharing for the joint sports complex project and establishment of joint RFA and method of appointments to an RFA Board.

Discussions ensued among the Board.

Mr. Reeter explained that when the ordinance to create the RFA is enacted it will have provisions, one of which will say that all projects to be undertaken by the RFA must be authorized specifically by the County and Town. The authorization may be in form of a resolution or embedded in the ordinance. The Sports Complex would be authorized as a project and costs implemented on the 60% County/40% Town cost sharing arrangement, which means the RFA would borrow the money and there would be a moral obligation that would back the issuance of the debt. There would also be provisions in the ordinance stating that in the event of dissolution of the RFA the underlying ownership of the real property would revert jointly back to the County 60% and Town 40%.

Further discussions ensued. The primary issues discussed were the method of appointments to the RFA Board, the sales purchase agreement for the Johnson/Millsap property and the restriction in place that the sports complex facility must be located on the Johnson/Millsap property.

After additional discussions the following action was taken by the Board:

***On motion of McCrady, second by Mr. Owens the Board agreed with Abingdon's counter-proposal for a 60% County/40% Town cost sharing arrangement and further recommends the establishment of a***

*Recreational Facilities Authority with the Board of Supervisors appointing three (3) members (three/fifths or 60% representation) and the Town Council appointing (2) members (two/fifths or 40% representation).*

Further discussions ensued among the Board prior to a vote being taken on the motion.

Mrs. Mumpower explained that she wants assurance that all sports (i.e. soccer, baseball, little league sports and softball) will be accommodated with the joint sports complex project. She further explained that there has been little talk lately about soccer. Mrs. Mumpower stated that if a sporting group comes back to the Board at a latter date for assistance with developing fields the Board will not be in a financial position to address their need.

Mr. McCall explained that the Steering Committee was charged with designing fields in the sports complex. He further explained that soccer, baseball, softball and little league sports are required to be part of the design. Mr. McCall stated that the soccer organizations are of the understanding that they will have adequate soccer fields in the new sports complex.

Mr. McCall stated that he would support the 60% County/40% Town cost sharing arrangement, and could support the town’s proposal of equal representation on the RFA. However, to keep a unified Board he would vote to support the motion that has been proposed.

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-1)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Nay</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Taylor</i>	<i>Aye</i>

**13. County Attorney Reports:**

The following County Attorney Reports were made:

- Ms. Phillips reported on pleadings filed for Harold Walsh vs. the Washington County Board of Supervisors regarding denial to vacate property.
- Ms. Phillips explained that the Board was provided at their stations with a letter sent to all cable television service providers along with a draft cable television ordinance. The providers will send comments back to the County within a couple of weeks. A public hearing will then be scheduled.
- Ms. Phillips asked for authorization to schedule a public hearing at the July 22, 2008, regular Board meeting concerning renewal of solid waste hauler permits. The following action was taken by the Board:

*On motion of Mr. McCall, second by Mr. Price, the Board acted to authorize the County Attorney to schedule a public hearing for July 22, 2008, concerning renewal of solid waste hauler permits.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Taylor</i>	<i>Aye</i>

Mr. Taylor commented that he did not receive a copy of the draft cable television ordinance and asked that the County Attorney provide him with a copy.

**14. Board Information**

Mr. Reeter provided a review of the following Board Information:

- Virginia Association of Counties (VACo) 2008 Legislative Summary
- Correspondence from the Virginia Department of Housing and Community Development asking for a recommendation to the Governor for a Washington County representative on the Southwest Virginia Cultural Heritage Commission.

**15. Consent Agenda**

*On motion of Mr. Owens, second by Mr. Price, it was resolved to approve the following consent agenda items:*

- a. Payment of Bills – May 2008*
- b. Request for Revenue Refunds-Washington County Sheriff’s Office – Animal Sterilization Fees*
- c. Supplemental Appropriation – Washington County Sheriff’s Office*
- d. Supplemental Appropriation – Washington County Treasurer’s Office*
- e. Supplemental Appropriation – Washington County Department of Social Services*
- f. Budget Status Reports – May 31, 2008*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>

*Mr. Taylor Aye*

**16. Board Member Reports**

Mr. Price requested to be excused from the Closed Meeting.

Mr. Taylor proposed that the Board direct the County Administrator to contact Smyth County to ask what their plans are for a telecommunications plan or to find out what they are doing as far as telecommunications. Further, that the County Administrator find out if Smyth County would be interested in a joint venture through the Smyth Washington Industrial Facilities Authority. It was consensus of the Board to direct the County Administrator to contact Smyth County.

Mr. Owens reported that he received correspondence from the General Assembly that opens a window for the County to increase compensation paid to members of the Board of Supervisor. He explained that this issue has been discussed with the County Personnel Committee. Mr. Owens further explained that because of the increased costs of traveling incurred by the members of the Board to attend meetings, the Personnel Committee recommends that the County Attorney be authorized to advertise for a public hearing concerning changes to the compensation for the Board of Supervisors. He stated that the public hearing must be scheduled by June 30, 2008.

Discussions ensued.

*On motion of Mr. Price, second by Mr. McCall, the Board authorized the County Attorney to schedule a public hearing for June 30, 2008, concerning changes to the compensation for the Board of Supervisors.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Taylor</i>	<i>Aye</i>

Mr. McCrady commented about the storm that went through the County on Monday night. He stated that this storm is a perfect example of why an early warning alert system is needed in the County. Mr. McCrady added that the County needs to aggressively pursue Homeland Security Grant funds.

Mr. Reeter proposed the Board take action to request the Sheriff's Department to actively pursue Homeland Security Grant funds for an early warning alert system. There was discussion that the Sheriff's Department is currently working on this issue. After further discussions, the following action was taken by the Board:

*On motion of Mr. McCrady, second by Mr. Owens, the Board acted to request the Washington County Sheriff's Office pursues Homeland Security Grant funds and information pertaining to an early warning alert system.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Taylor</i>	<i>Aye</i>

**17. Closed Meeting(s):**

**Request to Convene in Closed Meeting pursuant to Virginia Code Section 2.2-3711(A)(1) for discussion and consideration of performance of specific public officers, appointees or employees of the public body; specifically the County Attorney**

*On motion of McCrady, second by Mr. Owens the Board acted to convene in Closed Meeting pursuant to Virginia Code Section for discussion and consideration of performance of specific public officers, appointees or employees of the public body; specifically the County Attorney. It was further resolved to include the County Attorney in the Closed Meeting.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-1)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Nay</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Taylor</i>	<i>Aye</i>

*After returning to the meeting, the Chairperson noted that upon motion of Mr. Owens, second by Mr. McCrady, and favorable vote, the Board of Supervisors reconvened in open meeting. The Chairperson called for any participant in the closed meeting(s) who believed that there was a departure from the requirements of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act during the closed meeting(s), to state the substance of the departure that they believed took place. No members of the Board responded to the Chairperson’s call for statements.*

*On motion of Mr. McCrady, second by Mr. McCall, the members of the Board certified the closed meeting(s) in accordance with the requirements of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act. By vote in favor of this motion, each member certified that the closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law, and that only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements and identified in the motion by which the closed meeting was convened was heard, discussed, or considered in the closed meeting.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Taylor</i>	<i>Aye</i>

**18. Recess to 6:00 PM Wednesday, June 11, 2008, Joint Meeting with Washington County School Board, Conference Room 1, County Administration Building**

*On motion of Mr. McCall, second by Mrs. Mumpower, it was resolved to recess to 6:00 PM Wednesday, June 11, 2008, for a joint meeting with the Washington County School Board to be held in Conference Room 1 of the County Administration Building.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Taylor</i>	<i>Aye</i>

\*\*\*\*\*

**Prepared by:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Naoma A. Norris, Recording Clerk

**Approved by the Washington County Board of Supervisors:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Kenneth O. Reynolds, Chairman