

**VIRGINIA:**

At a regular meeting of the Washington County Board of Supervisors held Tuesday, February 13, 2007, at 7:00 p.m., at the County Administration Building in Abingdon, Virginia the following were present:

**PRESENT:**

Kenneth O. Reynolds, Chairman  
Jack R. McCrady, Jr., Vice Chairman  
Phillip B. McCall  
Dulcie M. Mumpower  
Odell Owens  
Paul O. Price

Mark K. Reeter, County Administrator  
Lucy E. Phillips, County Attorney  
Mark W. Seamon, Accounting Manager  
Naoma A. Mullins, Recording Clerk

**ABSENT:**

Anthony S. Rector

\*\*\*\*\*

**1. Call to Order**

The meeting was called to order by Mr. Kenneth Reynolds, Chairman of the Board, who welcomed everyone in attendance.

**2. Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance**

Chairman Kenneth Reynolds gave the Invocation and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

**3. Approval of Agenda**

*On motion of Mr. McCrady, second by Mr. Owens, it was resolved to approve the agenda with the following amendments:*

**Addition of New Item 9.a.**

*Consideration of Request for Appropriation, Highlands Jazz Festival, Inc.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)*

*Mr. McCall                    Aye*  
*Mr. McCrady                Aye*  
*Mrs. Mumpower            Aye*

*Mr. Owens*                    *Aye*  
*Mr. Price*                    *Aye*  
*Mr. Reynolds*                *Aye*

**4.        Approval of Minutes**

*On motion of Mr. Owens, second by Mr. McCall, it was resolved to approve the following minutes as presented:*

*January 16, 2007 Joint Recessed Meeting with the Washington County School Board  
January 23, 2007 Regular Meeting*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)*

*Mr. McCall*                    *Aye*  
*Mr. McCrady*                *Aye*  
*Mrs. Mumpower*            *Aye*  
*Mr. Owens*                    *Aye*  
*Mr. Price*                    *Aye*  
*Mr. Reynolds*                *Aye*

**5.        Public Hearings:**

a.        Requests for Special Exception Permit:

- (1). William A. Griffith, Property Tax Map #094-A-1: Request for a Special Exception Permit to construct and operate a small business in general, more specifically to construct a building 50' X 26' to be used as a taxidermy and fishing supply shop on property located on the north side of State Route 614 near the intersection of State Route 614/State Route 802 in a CR (Conservation Recreation) zone, Tyler Magisterial District

Mr. Reynolds opened the public hearing and invited comments both in support of and in opposition to the request of William A. Griffith for a Special Exception Permit.

Mr. William A. Griffith addressed the Board explaining that he proposes to build a taxidermy and fishing supply shop on the property in question. Mr. Griffith explained that the Planning Commission had questions about the entrance to the property. He advised the Board that he has obtained a letter from the Virginia Department of Transportation regarding the entrance.

There being no further comments, Mr. Reynolds declared the public hearing closed.

*On motion of Mr. Owens, second by Mr. Price, the Board acted to follow the recommendation of the Washington County Planning Commission and approve the request of William A. Griffith for a Special Exception Permit to construct and operate a small business in general, more specifically to construct a building 50' X 26' to be used as a taxidermy and fishing supply shop on property located on the north side of State Route 614 near the intersection of State Route 614/State Route 802 in a CR (Conservation Recreation) zone, Tyler Magisterial District.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>

**(2). Don A. Harry, Jr., Property Tax Map #'2 103-A-34, and 103-1-1, 1A: Request for a Special Exception Permit to operate a small business in general, more specifically to construct a 50' x 100' building to operate social activities for fund raising events of charitable organizations, family reunions and civic meetings on property located on the west side of State Route 611 near the intersection of State Route 611/State Route 645 in an A-2 (Agricultural, General) zone, Harrison Magisterial District, G-01 Election District**

Supervisor Paul Price addressed the building explaining that he has spoken with Don Harry about delaying his Special Exception Permit request until the next Board meeting due to the heavy Agenda that the Board has for this meeting.

Mr. Price made a motion to table the request of Don A. Harry, Jr., for a Special Exception Permit until the February 27 meeting. There was no second offered to the motion.

Discussion ensued among the Board.

Mr. Price stated that a portion of the Agenda material for this request states that the size of the building is 30' X 100' and that Mr. Harry's request is for a 50' X 100' building.

County Zoning and Subdivision Official Cathie Freeman addressed the Board explaining that the advertisements for this Special Exception Permit request showed the building size as 50' X 100', as well as the notices sent to the Planning Commission.

Mr. Reynolds opened the public hearing and invited comments both in support of and in opposition to the request of Don A. Harry, Jr. for a Special Exception Permit.

Mr. Don Harry addressed the Board explaining that he proposes to construct a 50' X 100' building on property he owns on Providence Road. Mr. Harry explained that the building would be constructed on the back corner of the property to the west and would be approximately 1000' from the nearest neighbor. He stated that the building would not be visible from the road and further that he does not see how this building would harm his neighbors.

Discussion ensued among the Board.

Responding to inquiries from the Board, Mr. Harry explained that there is a big demand for places to host social events such as civic meetings and family reunions. He further explained that he is not sure of the size of the water line that serves the property. Mr. Harry stated however that there is an existing septic tank on the property and if he is granted a Special Exception Permit he plans to install a new septic system. He explained that he has spoken with officials at the Virginia Department of Transportation and asserted that he was told there was plenty of road frontage for an entrance to the building. Mr. Harry further explained there will be 20 or more parking spaces created with plenty of property available for additional parking if needed.

Further discussions ensued among the Board.

The following people addressed the Board concerning the request for a Special Exception Permit:

Patrick Mannix inquired about the legality of the advertisements for the public hearing.

County Attorney Lucy Phillips explained that all advertisements were placed in accordance with the required time limits and that the public hearing is legal.

Robin Piccarillo explained that she owns a home adjacent to Mr. Harry's property and would see the proposed building from her kitchen window. Ms. Piccarillo explained that she chose her property because it was located in a serene area and she felt it was a great place for her family. She stated that this area is not suitable for the types of events that are proposed to take place in the building and that there are many other facilities in the County to host these types of events. Ms. Piccarillo further explained that there are other issues with Providence Road including the possibility that with the issues concerning the Virginia Highlands Airport that Westinghouse Road traffic could be directed to Providence Road.

Mr. Price questioned Ms. Piccarillo about specific reasons she was opposed to the request for a Special Exception Permit.

Ms. Piccarillo responded that she had concerns that the lights that would come from the proposed building would be a nuisance, and she does not believe the area was an appropriate place to conduct parties.

Laurel Flaccavento stated that she lives on a hill across from Mr. Harry's property. Ms. Flaccavento explained that the area is rural and should the Board allow the proposed business it would change the entire "flavor" of the area. She expressed concern about what would be done with the building if the business was not successful. Ms. Flaccavento urged the Board to listen to the comments of the Planning Commission.

Mr. Price addressed the Board explaining that he did not know Mr. Harry until he visited his property. He stated that Mr. Harry's property was very neat. Mr. Price explained that he could not understand the opposition to Mr. Harry's proposal, nor could he understand how the proposed building would cause harm to the neighbors. He stated that in a sense of fairness and consistency that he does not see how the Board could deny an individual the right to use their land.

There being no further comments, Mr. Reynolds declared the public hearing closed.

A motion was made by Mr. Price to grant the Special Exception Permit to Don A. Harry, Jr. There was no second made to this motion.

Supervisor Dulcie Mumpower addressed the Board explaining that Mr. Harry's request has been denied twice by the Planning Commission. Mrs. Mumpower explained there are concerns with the infrastructure in the area and with the condition of Providence Road. She stated that this is a dangerous location regardless of where the building would be built on Mr. Harry's property.

Supervisor Jack McCrady explained that the Planning Commission has thoroughly investigated this request and interviewed witnesses.

Supervisor Phillip McCall stated that his reservations with approving the Special Exception Permit are due to the water and sewer issues.

Further discussions ensued. Subsequently the following action was taken:

*On motion of Mrs. Mumpower, second by Mr. Owens, the Board acted to follow the recommendation of the Washington County Planning Commission and deny the request of Don A. Harry, Jr. for a Special Exception Permit to operate a small business in general, more specifically to construct a 50' x 100' building to operate social activities for fund raising events of charitable organizations, family reunions and civic meetings on property located on the west side of State Route 611 near the intersection of State Route 611/State Route 645 in an A-2 (Agricultural, General) zone, Harrison Magisterial District, G-01 Election District.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (5-1)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Nay</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>

**b. Public Hearing on Proposed Six Year Plan for Secondary Highway Improvements, 2006-07 to 2012-2013**

Mr. Stephen Buston, Residency Administrator with the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) addressed the Board explaining that annually the Secondary Highway Construction Plan is revised. The revised Plan for FY 2006-07 through 2012-2013 has been provided to the Board. Mr. Buston reviewed the projects that were completed during the past year (Route 700–Rich Valley Road, Route 854–Bethesda Road, Route 632–Walker’s Mountain Road and Route 614–Barnrock Road). The projects to be started in 2007 include Route 736 – Indian Run Road, Route 611 – N. Fork River Road and Route 787–Celebrity Lane. He explained that the money the County receives annually for road construction has now been placed in a different category so that VDOT can meet their federal obligations. Now federal money must be spend on federal highway projects. This affects several projects that were on the Six Year Plan. Mr. Buston distributed to the Board a storage list that includes the projects taken off of the Six Year Plan. He explained that if the funding situation changes, VDOT will work with the Board to determine which projects on the storage list will be placed back on the Six Year Plan. He further explained that funding for unpaved road projects is not affected. It is projected in July 1, 2007 that the County should receive \$480,000.00 for unpaved road projects that is to be spent on projects currently on the Six Year Plan. Mr. Buston explained that projects on the Six Year Plan are now being constructed under the guidelines of the Rural Rustic Road Program. This allows the roads to be constructed for less money. There are about ten roads on the Six Year Plan that will come before the Board in March for approval.

Discussion ensued among the Board.

Responding to an inquiry from the Board, Mr. Buston explained how a road qualifies for federal funding. Primarily the road must connect with a federal highway or be a collector road, for example, Hillman Highway is considered a collector road.

At this time, Mr. Reynolds opened the public hearing and invited comments on the revisions to the Virginia Department of Transportation Secondary Highway’s Six Year Plan for Fiscal Years 2007-08 through 2012-13.

There being no comments, Mr. Reynolds declared the public hearing closed.

**c. Public Hearing and Consideration of Adoption of An Ordinance to Amend Chapter 2, Sections 2-155, 2-156, 2-157, and 2-158 of the Code of the County of Washington, Virginia, to Rename the Virginia Highlands Airport Commission to be the Virginia Highlands Airport Authority and to Define its Powers and Duties**

County Attorney Lucy Phillips provided the Board with introductory comments. Ms. Phillips explained that the ordinance would change the name of the Virginia Highlands Airport Commission to Virginia Highlands Airport Authority and would update the County Code provisions for the powers and duties of the Authority to conform to State law. She explained that it is common that agencies that have a broad range of responsibilities are named authorities. Examples are the Washington County Service Authority and the Industrial Development Authority. Ms. Phillips further explained that the Board was provided with a copy of the ordinance adopted in 1984 creating the Airport Commission. The Board at that time intended for the Airport Commission to have all the powers and authority that could be delegated to the Commission for purposes of establishment and operation of the Virginia Highlands Airport. The proposed ordinance clarifies the Board's intention is for the Commission to have all powers and authority as allowed by state law.

Mr. Reynolds opened the public hearing and invited comments both in support of and in opposition to the proposed ordinance.

There being no comments, Mr. Reynolds declared the public hearing closed.

Discussion ensued among the Board. Responding to an inquiry from the Board, Ms. Phillips explained that if the ordinance is adopted she does not see any changes in the operations of the Virginia Highlands Airport.

*On motion of Mr. McCall, second by Mr. Price, the Board acted to adopted the following ordinance with the understanding that the current members of the Airport Commission will continue as the Directors of the Airport Authority with the same terms of service as for their current appointment as Commissioners:*

***AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 2, SECTIONS 2-155, 2-156, 2-157, AND 2-158  
OF THE CODE OF THE COUNTY OF WASHINGTON, VIRGINIA,  
TO RENAME THE VIRGINIA HIGHLANDS AIRPORT COMMISSION  
TO BE THE VIRGINIA HIGHLANDS AIRPORT AUTHORITY  
AND TO DEFINE ITS POWERS AND DUTIES***

***WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Washington, Virginia, (Board) restructured the Virginia Highlands Airport Commission (Commission) in 1984 due to the withdrawal of the Town of Abingdon from the Airport Commission Agreement that was formed in 1964; and***

***WHEREAS, in the resolution to restructure the Commission, the Board stated that it intended the organization of the Commission to conform with the organization of all other independent County boards, commissions, and authorities appointed exclusively by the Board and that the Commission shall have all of the powers, duties, responsibilities, and authority of an independent board, commission or agency established in accordance with Title 5.1 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended, together with all proper powers and authority granted by the general law of the Commonwealth of Virginia applicable to independent commissions; and***

WHEREAS, over the years, various changes in state law have resulted in different naming practices for an independent agency of local government such as the Commission and amendment of Title 5.1 of the 1950 Code of Virginia has created potential for confusion regarding the powers and duties of the Commission; and

WHEREAS, for purposes of clarification of its intention that the Commission is and has been vested with all power and authority to have and exercise the powers and duties set out in Title 5.1 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended, the Board wishes to rename the Commission and to restate its powers and duties.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Virginia, after notice and public hearing, as required by law:

1. That Chapter 2, Subsections 2-155 and 2-157, of the Code of the County of Washington, Virginia (2002, as amended), are amended, as set forth below; underline and italic indicates language to be added; strikethrough indicates language to be deleted:

Chapter 2. Administration

Article 3. Boards, Committees, Commission, and Authorities

Division 6. Airport ~~Commission~~ Authority

Sec. 2-155. Airport ~~commission~~ authority established.

The Virginia Highlands Airport Commission was established as a commission solely under the jurisdiction of the Washington County Board of Supervisors on or about October 15, 1984. In 1984, the Washington County Board of Supervisors vested the Virginia Highlands Airport Commission with all of the powers, duties, responsibilities and authority of an independent board, commission, or agency established in accordance with Title 5.1 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended, together with all proper powers and authority granted by the general law of the Commonwealth of Virginia applicable to independent commissions. The Virginia Highlands Airport Commission shall as of February 13, 2007 be officially titled the Virginia Highlands Airport Authority. All actions taken by the Virginia Highlands Airport Commission prior to this date are hereby ratified and adopted as actions of the Virginia Highlands Airport Authority, and this division of the County Code of ordinances, as amended, shall be retroactive to the date of the establishment of the Commission.

Sec. 2-156. Board of ~~commissioners~~ directors.

(a) The governing body of the Virginia Highlands Airport shall be a board of ~~commissioners~~ directors consisting of seven members appointed by the board of supervisors, one from each election district of the county. The board of supervisors may remove members from the ~~airport commission~~ Authority at any time.

(b) The following qualifications shall be given paramount consideration in selecting members for appointment to the ~~airport commission~~ Authority: an interest in aviation and related areas; some background or training in business endeavors; a commitment to increased economic, commercial and industrial development in Washington County; and the ability to work well with members of the public, officials of state and federal agencies, and the local business community of the county.

(c) The term of each ~~commissioner~~ director shall be for four years, except in the case of an appointment to fill out an unexpired term, in which case the appointment shall be only for the duration of the unexpired term. Individuals shall not be appointed to more than two successive full four-year terms.

(d) ~~The airport commission~~ Authority shall adopt by-laws for the conduct of its business and meetings.

*Sec. 2-157. Powers and duties.*

~~The Virginia Highlands Airport Commission is responsible to construct, improve, equip, maintain and operate the Virginia Highlands Airport located in the Harrison Magisterial District of the county and is empowered, as allowed by state law, to fulfill such function.~~ The Virginia Highlands Airport Authority is responsible to acquire, establish, construct, enlarge, improve, equip, maintain, operate, and regulate the use of the Virginia Highlands Airport, its structures, air navigation facilities, and other property incident thereto and is empowered, as fully and completely as provided by Title 5.1 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended from time to time, together with all applicable powers and authority granted by the general law of the Commonwealth of Virginia, to fulfill such functions.

*Sec. 2-158. Compensation of ~~commissioners~~ directors.*

~~The members of the airport commission~~ directors of the Authority shall receive compensation of up to \$75.00 per meeting attended, not to exceed one meeting per month, plus reimbursement of expenses in the performance of their duties. Compensation per meeting and reimbursement of expenses shall be determined by the ~~airport commission~~ Authority in accordance with the limitations stated herein and shall derive wholly from revenues generated by operation of the Virginia Highlands Airport.

2. That should any section or provision of this ordinance be decided to be invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any other section or provision of this ordinance or the Washington County Code.

3. That this ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its enactment.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)

- Mr. McCall                    Aye
- Mr. McCrady                Aye
- Mrs. Mumpower            Aye
- Mr. Owens                    Aye
- Mr. Price                     Aye
- Mr. Reynolds                Aye

**d. Public Hearing and Consideration of Adoption of An Ordinance to Amend Chapter 58, Sections 58-83 and 58-85 of the Code of the County of Washington, Virginia to Update Financial Eligibility Criteria for the Partial Exception from Taxation of Qualifying Elderly and Handicapped Persons**

County Attorney Lucy Phillips addressed the Board explaining the proposed ordinance comes at the request of the Commissioner of Revenue. Ms. Phillips explained the proposed ordinance would amend financial criteria applicable for persons at least sixty-five years of age or anyone found to be permanently and totally disabled so that such person’s annual income shall not exceed \$21,285.00 and gross net worth shall not exceed \$63,898.00 to qualify for a reduction in property taxes, if other applicable criteria are

met, and the ordinance would update the schedule of rates of exemptions in accordance with cost of living increase of three percent.

Mr. Reynolds opened the public hearing and invited comments both in support of and in opposition to the proposed ordinance.

There being no comments, Mr. Reynolds declared the public hearing closed.

*On motion of Mrs. Mumpower, second by Mr. McCrady, the Board acted to adopt the following ordinance:*

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 58, SECTIONS 58-83 AND 58-85  
OF THE CODE OF THE COUNTY OF WASHINGTON, VIRGINIA,  
TO UPDATE FINANCIAL ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA FOR THE PARTIAL EXEMPTION FROM  
TAXATION OF QUALIFYING ELDERLY AND HANDICAPPED PERSONS**

*WHEREAS, section 58.1-3210 of the Code of Virginia (1950, as amended) allows the local governing body, by ordinance, to provide for exemption from taxation of real estate and manufactured homes in such amount as provided by the ordinance; and*

*WHEREAS, the Washington County Code (2002, as amended) provides for such exemption, for which eligibility is determined based on specified financial criteria; and*

*WHEREAS, such financial criteria requires amendment from time to time to adjust for the changing economy.*

*NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Virginia, after notice and public hearing, as required by law:*

*1. That Chapter 58, Subsections 58-83 and 58-85, are amended, as set forth below; underline and italic indicates language to be added; strikethrough indicates language to be deleted:*

*Chapter 58 TAXATION*

*DIVISION 3. REAL PROPERTY*

*Subdivision I. In General*

*Subdivision II. Exemption from Taxation of Certain Elderly and Handicapped Persons*

*Sec. 58-83. Requirements for exemption.*

*Exemption under this subdivision shall be granted for real estate taxes on the qualifying dwelling and land, not exceeding one acre, subject to the following provisions:*

*(1) The title of the property for which exemption is claimed is held, or partially held, on January 1 of the taxable year by the person claiming exemption.*

*(2) The person occupying the dwelling and owning title or partial title thereto is 65 years of age or older or is permanently and totally disabled on December 31 of the year immediately preceding the taxable year. Such dwelling must be occupied as the sole dwelling of the person not less than 65 years of age or permanently and totally disabled.*

*(3) The person qualifying for and claiming exemption shall have income no greater than ~~\$20,655.00~~ \$21,285.00. The total combined gross income received from all sources during the preceding calendar year by owners of the dwelling who use it as their principal residence and owners'*

*relatives who live in the dwelling shall not exceed the county's median adjusted gross income of its married residents as determined by the most recent median adjusted gross income of individual income tax returns of the married residents of the county for a taxable year as published by the Weldon Cooper Center for Public Service of the University of Virginia; provided that the first ~~\$3,877.00~~ \$3,993.00 of income of each relative who is not the spouse of an owner living in the dwelling and who does not qualify for the exemption shall not be included in such total.–*

*(4) The net combined financial worth, including equitable interests, as of December 31 of the preceding calendar year of the owners and of the spouse of any owner, excluding the value of the dwelling and the land, not exceeding one acre, upon which it is situated shall not exceed ~~\$62,037.00~~ \$63,898.00.*

**Sec. 58-85. Amount of exemption.**

*The person qualifying for and claiming exemption shall be relieved of that portion of the real estate tax levied on the qualifying dwelling and land, not exceeding one acre, in the amount calculated in accordance with the following schedule:*

**TABLE INSET:**

<i>If income is:</i>	<i>and net worth is:</i>	<i>the percentage is:</i>
<i>\$0.00 to \$8,321</i>	<del><i>\$0 to \$20,665</i></del>	<i>80%</i>
	<del><i>\$20,666 to \$41,357</i></del>	<i>64%</i>
	<del><i>\$41,358 to \$62,037</i></del>	<i>56%</i>
<i>\$8,322 to \$12,347</i>	<del><i>\$0.00 to \$20,665</i></del>	<i>60%</i>
	<del><i>\$20,666 to \$41,357</i></del>	<i>48%</i>
	<del><i>\$41,358 to \$62,037</i></del>	<i>42%</i>
<i>\$12,348 to \$16,506</i>	<del><i>\$0 to \$20,665</i></del>	<i>40%</i>
	<del><i>\$20,666 to \$41,357</i></del>	<i>32%</i>
	<del><i>\$41,358 to \$62,037</i></del>	<i>28%</i>
<i>\$16,507 to \$20,665</i>	<del><i>\$0 to \$20,665</i></del>	<i>20%</i>
	<del><i>\$20,666 to \$41,357</i></del>	<i>16%</i>
	<del><i>\$41,358 to \$62,037</i></del>	<i>14%</i>

<u><i>If income is:</i></u>	<u><i>and net worth is:</i></u>	<u><i>the percentage is:</i></u>
<u><i>\$0.00 to \$8,571</i></u>	<u><i>\$0 to \$21,285</i></u>	<u><i>80%</i></u>
	<u><i>\$21,286 to \$42,598</i></u>	<u><i>64%</i></u>
	<u><i>\$42,599 to \$63,898</i></u>	<u><i>56%</i></u>
<u><i>\$8,572 to \$12,717</i></u>	<u><i>\$0 to \$21,285</i></u>	<u><i>60%</i></u>
	<u><i>\$21,286 to \$42,598</i></u>	<u><i>48%</i></u>
	<u><i>\$42,599 to \$63,898</i></u>	<u><i>42%</i></u>
<u><i>\$12,718 to \$17,001</i></u>	<u><i>\$0 to \$21,285</i></u>	<u><i>40%</i></u>
	<u><i>\$21,286 to \$42,598</i></u>	<u><i>32%</i></u>
	<u><i>\$42,599 to \$63,898</i></u>	<u><i>28%</i></u>
<u><i>\$17,002 to \$21,285</i></u>	<u><i>\$0 to \$21,285</i></u>	<u><i>20%</i></u>
	<u><i>\$21,286 to \$42,598</i></u>	<u><i>16%</i></u>
	<u><i>\$42,599 to \$63,898</i></u>	<u><i>14%</i></u>

2. *That should any section or provision of this ordinance be decided to be invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any other section or provision of this ordinance or the Washington County Code.*

3. *That this ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its enactment.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)*

- Mr. McCall                    Aye*
- Mr. McCrady                Aye*
- Mrs. Mumpower            Aye*
- Mr. Owens                   Aye*
- Mr. Price                     Aye*
- Mr. Reynolds               Aye*

**6. Presentation of County Audit for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2006**

Ms. Deanna Cox with Robinson Farmer Cox presented the audit report for fiscal year ending June 30, 2006. Ms. Cox explained that the actual field work was completed in October of last year and that the required filings are completed except for the census filing which is due by March 30. There are no significant reporting changes for this audit.

Ms. Cox reviewed with the Board a couple of minor management recommendations relating to the School Board State and Federal revenues and to the Sheriff’s Department.

Ms. Cox reported that the County continues to have a fund balance above the recommended 10%. Washington County’s fund balance is at 13%. The tax collections are at a high percentage with delinquent taxes being at a low percent, which is very good.

Chairman Reynolds thanked Ms. Cox for the good work and commended the staff for making things positive. Ms. Cox commended the staff of the School System, Treasurers Office and the County’s Accounting Department. She stated that these employees are extremely nice to work with and do a very good job.

Ms. Cox reviewed with the Board some new audit standards that will affect the County. The Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) has issued its Statement 45 *Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other than Pensions*. The statement establishes standards for the measurement, recognition and display of OPEB expense and related liabilities in the financial statements. Employers allowing retirees to participate in health insurance plans and/or other benefit plans will be required (subject to certain limitations) to provide an actuarial study showing of the estimated costs of such plans, regardless if the local government makes any contribution on behalf of the employee. The requirements of the Statement are effective in three phases based on the government's annual revenues, with the earliest effective date being the year ended June 30, 2008. She explained that the School Board will be the driving force in implementing the new standard because of their number of employees. Ms. Cox further explained the reason she is bringing this to the Board at this time is because the County will be required in two years to report the figure referenced above in their financial statements. This means the County will need to hire an actuary to determine the figure for the County. Therefore, the County may need to budget for the expense of an actuary in the next budget year. She stated that Robinson Farmer Cox is in the process of putting together seminars to cover GASB 45 with the first of the seminars being held in Abingdon, VA. A list of actuaries that can provide the service the County needs will be available at the seminar.

Ms. Cox explained that in response to recent accounting scandals, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants has issued several new standards scheduled to go into effect in the next two audit cycles. These standards set forth guidance to auditors in communicating internal control deficiencies and provide clarification on matters that should be considered internal control deficiencies. In addition, these standards will encourage local governments to take an active role in the preparation of their year-end financial statements including data normally compiled for presentation by external auditors. She further explained that this new standard will not have as much impact on Washington County as it will smaller localities. Ms. Cox stated that Washington County is an exception in that the County has expertise staff and already provide documents to the auditors. There will however be additional documents that will be required that will create an additional burden on the School Board, Treasurer's Office and the County's Accounting Department. The Accounting staff will have more work to do to get information prior to the actual audit. She stated that training will be provided.

There being no further discussions, the following action was taken by the Board:

***On motion of Mr. McCall, second by Mrs. Mumpower, the Board acted to accept the Financial Statements for Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2006.***

***The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)***

<b><i>Mr. McCall</i></b>	<b><i>Aye</i></b>
<b><i>Mr. McCrady</i></b>	<b><i>Aye</i></b>
<b><i>Mrs. Mumpower</i></b>	<b><i>Aye</i></b>
<b><i>Mr. Owens</i></b>	<b><i>Aye</i></b>
<b><i>Mr. Price</i></b>	<b><i>Aye</i></b>
<b><i>Mr. Reynolds</i></b>	<b><i>Aye</i></b>

***Scrivener's Note: The County Audit for FY 2005-06 referenced above is included as Minutes Exhibit 2007-02-13-A.***

## 7. Presentation of Virginia Highlands Community College Annual Report

Virginia Highlands Community College (VHCC) President Dr. David Wilkin provided the Board with the 2005-2006 Annual Report for VHCC. The following are highlights of the annual report:

- In October 2005, then Virginia Governor Mark Warner announced plans for the Southwest Virginia Artisan Center to locate on a tract of campus that showcases the region's mountains and it is clearly visible from the Interstate. This regional resource will provide venue tourism and the retail sales of Appalachian arts and crafts, as well as a place for VHCC students to gain work experience.
- Construction was completed on a 4,000 square foot greenhouse. The majority of the funding for the greenhouse was provided by the Virginia Tobacco Indemnification and Community Revitalization Commission, with support from the Virginia Department of Housing and Community Development's Appalachian Regional Commission and supplemental state and local funds.
- VHCC was a chosen participant in the prestigious Foundations of Excellence Project and was given the unique opportunity to partner with the Policy Center on the First College Year to scrutinize programs and services that impact new students. As one of only ten two-year institutions chosen to participate in the Foundation of Excellence pilot project, VHCC quickly emerged as an institution willing to change and eager to improve.
- In the 2005-2006 academic year many campus departments branched out to introduce new programs and new student spaces to help ensure a welcoming environment conducive to learning.
- 52% of the students at VHCC are residents of Washington County.
- Financial aid to students reached an all-time high at VHCC in 2005-2006, totaling almost \$4 million to help offset the cost of tuition and other expenses.
- The VHCC 2005-2006 budget totaled \$12,658,504 and was balanced.

Dr. Wilkin stated that of all the great things accomplished at VHCC they could not have been done without the help of the Washington County Board of Supervisors and other localities.

At this time, Dr. Wilkin distributed an annual report completed by the Virginia Community College System on all 23 community colleges in Virginia.

Discussion ensued among the Board.

Responding to an inquiry from the Board, Dr. Wilkins explained that the master plan for VHCC includes a conservatory project. As part of the agreement for VHCC to donate land for the Southwest Virginia Artisan Center, 20% of the Artisan Center space will be dedicated to a conservatory.

Further discussions ensued among the Board concerning the Southwest Virginia Artisans Center project.

The Board commended to Dr. Wilkin, the College Board and College Foundation Board of Directors. VHCC is a major asset to Washington County.

**8. Presentation by Holston Soil and Water Conservation District**

Mr. David DeBusk, Chairman of the Holston Soil and Water Conservation District, along with Fred Copenhaver, Henry Snodgrass and Wayne Turley presented the Board with the new Soils Survey for Washington County and the City of Bristol, Virginia.

Mr. DeBusk explained that the soils survey process started in 1992 when the Board of Supervisors approved the Soil Survey Program. It has taken 25 years to complete the soil survey. Ten of these years were spent getting the mapping completed. The soils survey will be used and has been used by farmers, engineers, real estate agents and many others. The soils survey will be available in the County's libraries, high schools, college and other government agencies. The survey is available in CD format and on the internet. He stated that it has taken a tremendous amount of work to get the project completed and the Soil and Water Conservation District felt it was important to make a personal presentation to the Board.

County Administrator Mark Reeter addressed the Board explaining that the soils survey is in the process of being loaded into the County's GIS System. Mr. Reeter reviewed the benefits of having this information in the County's GIS System.

The Board thanked the representatives of the Holston Soil and Water Conservation District for making the presentation and congratulated them on completing the soils survey.

**9. Consideration of Request for Supplemental Appropriation, Washington County School Board**

*On motion of Mr. McCrady, second by Mrs. Mumpower, the Board acted to approve a supplemental appropriation in the amount of \$749,000.00 from line-item 94900-5895, Capital Reserve Account-Reserve for Capital Projects-Schools to the Washington County School Board.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>

**9.a. Presentation by Highlands Jazz Festival**

Mr. Bill Hatcher and Mr. John Elliott representing the Highlands Jazz Festival Committee presented the Board with a request for financial assistance in the amount of \$5,000.00 for the 2007 Highlands Jazz Festival.

Mr. Hatcher explained that the Highlands Jazz Festival has been in existence for six years and has been a very successful event. He further explained that Washington County and Abingdon benefit from the cultural events because they bring revenue to the County and Town from retail sales, lodging and meals. Cultural events are also a tremendous economic tool for recruiting new industry and business. Mr. Hatcher stated that this year's festival will include three of the County's high school jazz bands.

Mr. John Elliott addressed the Board providing reasons why the Board would invest in culture events. Mr. Elliott explained that the famous jazz musician, Frankie Newton, was originally from Emory, VA and moved to New York in the 1930's and became very successful. He further explained this information is one of the things you find out when attending the Jazz Festival. He reiterated the remarks of Mr. Hatcher concerning the economic benefits of the Jazz Festival. Mr. Elliott stated that the Town of Abingdon has contributed \$10,000.00 to the Jazz Festival with another \$3,000.00 to \$4,000.00 in private donations. A portion of the funds contributed will be used to pay the musicians for this years festival, which include the Harry James Orchestra, world famous Melva Houston, female vocalist, and pianist Derek Smith, formerly with the Johnny Carson Tonight Show.

Discussion ensued among the Board.

Supervisor Dulcie Mumpower commented that the Highlands Jazz Festival is a good event for the community and brings revenue into the County.

Supervisor Jack McCrady stated that the last time the Highlands Festival requested financial support that he could not support giving County tax dollars for the event. However, now that the high school jazz bands are participating in the event he can support a financial contribution from the County.

Supervisor Paul Price stated that he has not supported giving County funding to any musical groups and that is the reason he would not be able to support this request.

After further discussion, the following action was taken by the Board:

***On motion of Mrs. Mumpower, second by Mr. McCall, the Board acted to approve an appropriation from Reserve for Contingencies in the amount of \$5,000.00 to the Highlands Jazz Festival, Inc.***

***The vote on this motion was as follows: (5-1)***

<b><i>Mr. McCall</i></b>	<b><i>Aye</i></b>
<b><i>Mr. McCrady</i></b>	<b><i>Aye</i></b>
<b><i>Mrs. Mumpower</i></b>	<b><i>Aye</i></b>
<b><i>Mr. Owens</i></b>	<b><i>Aye</i></b>
<b><i>Mr. Price</i></b>	<b><i>Nay</i></b>
<b><i>Mr. Reynolds</i></b>	<b><i>Aye</i></b>

#### **10. Consideration of Dog Damage Claim, Clarence Robinson**

Mr. Clarence Robinson addressed the Board presenting his request for a dog damage claim for three sheep that were killed by dogs.

Sergeant Alexander explained that he along with Deputy Thacker has investigated the claim. He explained that three sheep were found that appeared to be attacked by dogs. There were dog foot prints in the snow surrounding the sheep. The area was searched, but no dogs were found.

***On motion of Mr. McCrady, second by Mr. Price, the Board authorized payment to Clarence Robinson for a dog damage claim in the amount of \$450.***

***The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)***

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>

**11. Consideration of Appointments to Highlands Community Policy and Management Team**

*On motion of Mrs. Mumpower, second by Mr. McCrady, the Board appointed Kathi Roark as Washington County's private provider representative and Gloria Surber as parent representative to the Highlands Community Policy and Management team for the remainder of the unexpired terms ending June 30, 2007 and June 30, 2008, respectively.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>

**12. Consideration of Resolution Concerning Smyth-Washington Regional Industrial Facilities Authority**

Assistant County Administrator Christy Parker addressed the Board explaining that the Smyth-Washington Industrial Facilities Authority has requested support from Smyth and Washington Counties for amendment of Virginia Code Section 2.2-4344B that would essentially grant regional industrial facilities authorities the same power as local industrial development authorities currently have to enter into certain contracts without the need to go through a competitive procurement/bidding process for the purchase of goods or services.

Discussion ensued among the Board.

*On motion of Mr. McCall, second by Mr. Price, it was resolved to adopt the following resolution:*

**RESOLUTION 2007-06 OF SUPPORT**

***WHEREAS, the Washington County Board of Supervisors recognizes the need for the Smyth-Washington Regional Industrial Facilities Authority (SWIFA) to respond quickly to industrial prospect needs and schedules; and***

***WHEREAS, regional industrial facilities authorities authorized by Section 15.2, Chapter 64 of the Code of Virginia were created to be similar in all respects in terms of duties, powers, and authority to a local industrial development authority; and to promote regional cooperation; and***

*WHEREAS, regional industrial facilities authorities should be included along with industrial development authorities in Section 2.2-4344 of the Code of Virginia, so that a regional industrial facility authority can respond on a timely basis to an industrial prospect, and*

*WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors understands that SWIFA has a prospect for the shell building in the Highlands Business Park that will require SWIFA to finish the building for the prospect within a short time frame.*

*NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Virginia that it hereby supports an amendment to the Code of Virginia Section 2.2-4344(B) to allow a regional industrial facilities authority to be exempt from the Virginia Public Procurement Act, Chapter 43 of the Code of Virginia.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>

### 13. Recess

A ten-minute recess was taken.

### 14. County Administrator Reports

#### a. VML/VACo 2007 Legislative Day – February 12, 2007

County Administrator Mark Reeter made a report on the VML/VACo Legislative Day held February 12, 2007 in Richmond, VA.

Chairman Kenneth Reynolds explained that during the trip to Richmond a meeting was held with the County's Bond Counsel, Davenport and Company. Mr. Reynolds reported that the County has a strong bond rating, and that Davenport was complimentary of the way Washington County handles its business.

Supervisor Jack McCrady reported that meetings were held with several legislators. Mr. McCrady reported that the Bills that the Board opposed were defeated, and that the bills the Board supported all passed with the exception of legislation for the Small Business Incubator funding.

Mr. Reeter reviewed with the Board two VACo Capital Contact alerts he has received concerning transportation. He explained that HB 3202 and SB 1101 are still in play and are competing plans for transportation. Important language of HB 3202 states that counties would not take over new subdivision streets and those counties with a population of greater than 50,000 or population growth of twenty percent or more would establish Urban Development Areas. The Senate version (SB 1101) states that counties would take over new subdivision streets outside Urban Development Areas and those counties with a population of greater than 50,000 or population growth of twenty percent or more would establish Urban Development Areas. Mr. Reeter stated that he expects one of the two pieces of legislation to be

enacted during this session of the General Assembly. Therefore, it looks like that the County will assume a role in transportation.

Discussion ensued among the Board.

**b. Virginia Local Government Manager's Association Winter Conference – February 20-23**

Mr. Reeter reported that he would be attending the Virginia Local Government Manager's Association Winter Conference February 20-24 in Williamsburg. He reminded the Board the County Offices would be closed on February 19 in observance of Presidents Day.

**c. Request for Surplus Motor Vehicle, Meadowview Fire Department**

*On motion of Mr. Price, second by Mr. McCrady, the Board acted to donate one surplus 1998 Chevrolet Lumina VIN 2G1WL52K6W9214480 to the Meadowview Fire Department.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>

**15. County Attorney Reports**

**a. Report on State and Local Regulation of Dogs Running At-Large**

County Attorney Lucy Phillips first asked the Board for consensus to proceed with drafting three ordinances and scheduling the public hearings on the ordinances for the March 13 Board meeting. The three ordinances are requests to vacate the following lots:

Property owned by Harold Walsh located on Northfork River Road  
 Property owned by Buford Blackwell on Chip Ridge Road  
 Property owned by Sanford Pippin in Prestonwood Subdivision.

Discussion ensued among the Board. It was unanimous consensus of the Board to authorize the County Attorney to draft the ordinances and schedule the public hearings.

At this time, Ms. Phillips reviewed in detail a memorandum she prepared for the Board that was requested at their January 23, 2007 meeting that summarizes the County's authority under state and local animal control law regarding restriction of dogs from running at large and tools available to local government for enforcement of such restrictions.

Substantial discussion ensued among the Board.

Supervisor Jack McCrady explained that he would like the County to protect the rights of persons hunting with dogs, as well as protection for citizens that have livestock killed or injured by dogs.

Supervisor Paul Price explained that one of the biggest problems with dogs that kill is that the dogs belong to renters who may have gotten into trouble some where in another locality and relocate to Washington County. Mr. Price proposed that the County consider holding property owners somewhat responsible for actions that occur on their rental property.

Chairman Reynolds explained that the Board is going to need a recommendation from the County Attorney as to how the County can strengthen the current ordinance.

Ms. Phillips explained that she has met with Sheriff Newman, Major Blake Andis and several animal control officers to discuss the County's current ordinance. She further explained that the County cannot enact an ordinance that is not permitted by state law.

Further discussions ensued.

Chairman Kenneth Reynolds asked the County Attorney to review ordinances from other localities and to continue working on this matter for a recommendation to the Board.

***Scrivener's Note: The Memorandum Prepared by the County Attorney to the Board of Supervisors referenced above is included as Minutes Exhibit 2007-02-13-B.***

#### **16. Board Information**

Mr. Reeter reviewed correspondence from the Appalachian Juvenile Commission advising the County that the debt pertaining to their facility renovation project has been retired. The County is relieved of their moral obligation pledged to the debt by Board Resolution in March 2000.

#### **17. Consent Agenda:**

Accounting Manager Mr. Seamon addressed the Board explaining that Item g was for a transfer from reserves for contingencies to cover indigent burial expenses.

***On motion of Mr. Owens, second by Mr. McCall, the Board acted to approve the following consent agenda items:***

- a. Payment of Bills – January, 2007***
- b. Revenue Refunds – Animal Sterilization Fees***
- c. Supplemental Appropriation – Washington County Sheriff's Office***
- d. Revenue Refund – Solid Waste Tipping Fees***
- e. Revenue Refund – Personal Property Taxes***
- f. Supplemental Appropriation – Washington County Sheriff's and Commonwealth's Attorney's Offices***
- g. Transfer from Reserve for Contingencies to Indigent Care for Burial Expenses***

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>

## **18. Board Member Reports**

Supervisor Paul Price inquired as to when the Board will have the budget for FY 2007-08. Mr. Reeter explained that he would be presenting the County Administrator's proposed and recommended budget at the April 24 Board meeting. Budget hearings and worksessions will begin in May.

Mr. Price commented that being a member of the Board of Supervisors is not an easy job and that Board members make hard decisions. He stated that he is not going to give preferential treatment to anyone and it bothers him when preferential treatment is given. Mr. Price asserted that the organizer of the opposition for Mr. Harry's Special Exception Permit works for the firm of a Planning Commission member and inquired how the Board could feel good about their decision pertaining to Mr. Harry's request.

Supervisor Odell Owens reported that he would be discussing the idea of a public opinion survey with the Board at the next meeting.

Supervisor Phillip McCall apologized to the County residents for the problems that the Washington County Service Authority customers have been experiencing with their water. The Service Authority is still not sure of what is causing the problem, but continues to work to solve the matter.

## **19. Closed Meetings:**

**Request for Closed Meeting pursuant to Virginia Code Section 2.2-3711(A)(5) for discussion concerning prospective business or industry or the expansion of an existing business or industry where no previous announcement has been made of the business' or industry's interest in locating or expanding its facilities in the community; specifically discussion prospective industry proposed for location in the Highlands Business Park of the Smyth-Washington Regional Industrial Facilities Authority and a second prospective industry proposed for location on privately-owned property within Washington County**

*On motion of Mr. McCrady, second by Mr. Owens, the Board acted to convene in Closed Meeting pursuant to Virginia Code Section 2.2-3711(A)(5) for discussion concerning prospective business or industry or the expansion of an existing business or industry where no previous announcement has been made of the business' or industry's interest in locating or expanding its facilities in the community; specifically discussion prospective industry proposed for location in the Highlands Business Park of the Smyth-Washington Regional Industrial Facilities Authority and a second prospective industry proposed for location on privately-owned property within Washington County. It was further resolved to include County Administrator Mark Reeter, County Attorney Lucy Phillips, Assistant County Administrator Christy Parker, and IDA Chairman Russell Owens in the Closed Meeting.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>

*After returning to the meeting, the Chairperson noted that upon motion of Mr. Price, second by Mr. McCall, and favorable vote, the Board of Supervisors reconvened in open meeting. The Chairperson called for any participant in the closed meeting(s) who believed that there was a departure from the requirements of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act during the closed meeting(s), to state the substance of the departure that they believed took place. No members of the Board responded to the Chairperson's call for statements.*

*On motion of Mr. McCrady, second by Mr. Owens, the members of the Board certified the closed meeting(s) in accordance with the requirements of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act. By vote in favor of this motion, each member certified that the closed meeting were conducted in conformity with Virginia law, and that only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements and identified in the motion by which the closed meeting were convened were heard, discussed, or considered in the closed meeting.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>

## **20. Adjourn**

*On motion of Mr. Owens, second by Mr. Price, it was resolved to adjourn the meeting.*

*The vote on this motion was as follows: (6-0)*

<i>Mr. McCall</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. McCrady</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mrs. Mumpower</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Owens</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Price</i>	<i>Aye</i>
<i>Mr. Reynolds</i>	<i>Aye</i>

\*\*\*\*\*

**Prepared by:**

---

Naoma A. Mullins, Recording Clerk

**Approved by the Washington County Board of Supervisors:**

---

Kenneth O. Reynolds, Chairman