V I R G I N I A:

At a meeting of the Washington County Board of Supervisors held Tuesday, October 10, 2000, at 7:00 p.m., at the County Administration Building in Abingdon, Virginia, the following were present:

PRESENT:

Joe W. Derting, Chairman
John B. Roberts, Sr., Vice Chairman
Bobby D. Ingle
Phillip B. McCall
Anthony S. Rector
Jack H. Barker
Dulcie M. Mumpower

Mark K. Reeter, County Administrator
Lucy E. Phillips Bright, County Attorney
Mark W. Seamon, Accounting/Purchasing Manager
Sandra M. Hatfield, CPS, Administrative Supervisor

****

1. **Call to Order**

The meeting was called to order by Mr. Joe W. Derting, Chairman of the Board, who welcomed everyone in attendance.

2 **Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance – Phillip McCall**

Mr. Phillip B. McCall gave the Invocation and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

3 **Approval of Agenda**

On motion of Mr. Ingle, second by Mr. Roberts, it was resolved to approve the Agenda with the following change:

Change Agenda Item 6, Public Hearing on Proposed Ordinance to Amend the Consumer Utility Tax Provisions in Washington County Code, to read as follows:

Review and Approval of Virginia Public Authorities Agreement for the Purchase of Electricity from Appalachian Power Company (dba American Electric Power Company)

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	***Aye***	***Mr. Roberts***	***Aye***	***Mr. McCall***	***Aye***
Mr. Ingle	***Aye***	***Mr. Rector***	***Aye***	***Mrs. Mumpower***	***Aye***
Mr. Barker	***Aye***				

4 **Approval of Minutes of September 26, 2000**

On motion of Mrs. Mumpower, second by Mr. Rector, it was resolved to approve the Minutes of September 26, 2000 with the following modification:

Relocate the following paragraph to appear under Agenda Item No. 18:

"During the deliberations, Patrick Mannix, from his seat in the audience, was interrupting the discussions with his comments. Chairman Derting asked Mr. Mannix to refrain from interrupting the meeting. When Mr. Mannix continued, Chairman Derting requested the Sheriff's Deputy to come forward and remove him from the meeting, which he did."

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	***Aye***	***Mr. Roberts***	***Aye***	***Mr. McCall***	***Aye***
Mr. Ingle	***Aye***	***Mr. Rector***	***Aye***	***Mrs. Mumpower***	***Aye***
Mr. Barker	***Aye***				

5 **Planning & Zoning Public Hearings**

The following action was taken on Planning & Zoning public hearings:

Intent to Rezone:

a. **H.C. Kiser, Jr.: Request to rezone approximately 3.18 acres of property located on the South side of State Route 11 near the intersection of State Route 11/ State Route 1718 from B-2 (Business, General) to A-2 (Agricultural, General), Wilson Magisterial District.**

At this time Mr. Derting opened the public hearing and invited comments both in support of and in opposition to application by H. C. Kiser, Jr. to rezone approximately 3.18 acres of property from B-2 to A-2.

There being no comments, Mr. Derting declared the public hearing closed.

On motion of Mrs. Mumpower, second by Mr. Ingle, it was resolved to follow the recommendation of the Planning Commission and approve request of H.C. Kiser, Jr. to rezone approximately 3.18 acres of property located on the South side of State Route 11 near the intersection of State Route 11/ State Route 1718 from B-2 (Business, General) to A-2 (Agricultural, General), Wilson Magisterial District.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	***Aye***	***Mr. Roberts***	***Aye***	***Mr. McCall***	***Aye***
Mr. Ingle	***Aye***	***Mr. Rector***	***Aye***	***Mrs. Mumpower***	***Aye***
Mr. Barker	***Aye***				

*****

Request for Special Use Permit:

b. **Grant and Judy Spencer: Request for a Special Use Permit to place a double wide manufactured home on property located off of State Route 790 onto Morning Glory Lane near the intersection of State Route 790/ State Route 745 in a V (Village) zone, Jefferson Magisterial District.**

At this time, Mr. Derting opened the public hearing and invited comments both in support of and in opposition to application of Grant and Judy Spencer for a Special Use Permit to place a doublewide manufactured home on property located off of State Route 790 onto Morning Glory Lane.

There being no speakers, Mr. Derting closed the public hearing.

On motion of Mr. Ingle, second by Mr. Rector, it was resolved to approve special use permit requested by Grant and Judy Spencer to place a double wide manufactured home on property located off of State Route 790 onto Morning Glory Lane near the intersection of State Route 790/ State Route 745 in a V (Village) zone, Jefferson Magisterial District.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	***Aye***	***Mr. Roberts***	***Aye***	***Mr. McCall***	***Aye***
Mr. Ingle	***Aye***	***Mr. Rector***	***Aye***	***Mrs. Mumpower***	***Aye***
Mr. Barker	***Aye***				

7 **Continuation of Public Hearing On Proposed Ordinance To Amend Sections of Chapter 58 Of the 1997 Code of Washington County to Meet State Law Requirements Related To Deregulation of Electric and Natural Gas Utility Industries**

Ms. Bright presented a revised draft ordinance to amend the Consumer Utility Tax provisions in Washington County Code for natural gas and electricity.

At this time Mr. Derting opened the public hearing and invited comments both in support of and in opposition to the proposed ordinance to amend sections of Chapter 58 of the Code of Washington County to meet state law requirements related to deregulation of electric and natural gas utility industries.

There being no comments, Mr. Derting closed the public hearing.

On motion of Mr. Barker, second by Mr. Roberts, it was resolved to adopt the following ordinance that will result in revised provisions of the 1997 Code of Washington County, as follows:

Ordinance No. 2000-04

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND SECTIONS OF CHAPTER 58 OF THE 1997 CODE OF THE COUNTY OF WASHINGTON, VIRGINIA, TO MEET STATE LAW REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO DEREGULATION OF ELECTRIC AND NATURAL GAS UTILITY INDUSTRIES

WHEREAS section 58.1-3814 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended, requires that counties that levy a tax on consumers of electric and gas utilities make changes in the method of calculating the tax to adjust for deregulation of the electric and natural gas utility industry; and

WHEREAS section 58.1-3814 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended, requires that the counties affected by it must adopt ordinances to make the required changes no later than October 31, 2000; and

WHEREAS the desired effect of the change is to adjust the method of calculating the tax but not to alter significantly the amount of tax to be paid by consumers nor the amount of revenue to be received by the county,

NOW THEREFORE, be it ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Virginia that:

In accord with section 58.1-3814 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended, Chapter 58 of the 1997 Code of Washington County is amended as set out below, provided:

THAT this ordinance shall become effective with the first bill for electric or natural gas energy rendered for meter readings on or after January 1, 2001; and

THAT should any section or provision of this ordinance be decided to be invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any other section or provision of this ordinance or the 1997 Code of Washington County, Virginia, as amended.

NOW THEREFORE, Sections 58-331, 58-352, 58-353, and 58-355 of Chapter 58 of the 1997 Code of Washington County are amended as follows, with text to be deleted noted by strike-through and new text noted by underline:

Chapter 58 TAXATION*

Article IX. Utility Taxes

Division 1. Generally

Sec. 58-331. Definitions.

Division 2. Local Telecommunication Service and Electric or Gas Heat, Light and Power Service

Sec. 58-352. Levy; amount of tax on electric or gas heat, light and power service.

Sec. 58-353. Collection and remittance of tax by service provider.

Sec. 58-355. Exemptions.

ARTICLE IX. UTILITY TAXES*

State law reference(s)--Consumer utility taxes, Code of Virginia, § 58.1-3812 et seq.

DIVISION 1. GENERALLY

Sec. 58-331. Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this article, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

***CCF* means the volume of gas at standard pressure and temperature in units of 100 cubic feet.**

***Consumer* means a person who, individually or through agents, employees, officers, representatives, or permittees, makes a taxable purchase of telecommunication, electricity, or natural gas service in this jurisdiction. For purposes of this Article, consumers are classified either as a residential, commercial, or industrial.**

***Gas utility* means a public utility authorized to furnish natural gas service in Virginia.**

***Kilowatt hours (kWh) delivered* means 1000 watts of electricity delivered in a one-hour period by an electric provider to an actual consumer, except that in the case of eligible customer-generators (sometimes called cogenerators) as defined in Virginia Code section 56-594, it means kWh supplied from the electric grid to such customer-generators, minus the kWh generated and fed back to the electric grid by such customer-generators.**

***Person* means any individual, corporation, company, or other entity.**

***Pipeline distribution company* means a person, other than a pipeline transmission company which transmits, by means of a pipeline, natural gas, manufactured gas or crude petroleum and the products or by products thereof to a purchaser for purposes of furnishing heat or light.**

***Residential consumer* means any person furnished local telecommunication, electricity, or natural gas service classified as "residential" under tariffs filed with the state corporation commission or who uses service address primarily for residential purposes, including but not limited to, apartment houses and other single and multiple-family dwellings. A residential consumer shall not include any consumer of mobile local telecommunication service.**

***Service provider* means every person (i) engaged in the business of selling telecommunication service within this jurisdiction or (ii) who delivers electricity to a consumer within this jurisdiction or (iii) a gas utility or pipeline distribution company that delivers natural gas to a consumer within this jurisdiction.**

***Used primarily* relates to the larger portion of the use for which electric or natural gas utility service is furnished.**

Cross reference(s)--Definitions generally, § 1-2.

State law reference(s)--Definitions, Code of Virginia, § 58.1-3812I.

DIVISION 2. LOCAL TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICE AND ELECTRIC OR GAS HEAT, LIGHT AND POWER SERVICE

Sec. 58-352. Levy; Electric and Natural Gas Utilities Consumer Tax.

(a) Electric Utility Consumer Tax. In accordance with Virginia Code section 58.1-3814, effective January 1, 2001, there is hereby imposed and levied a monthly tax on each purchase of electricity delivered to consumers by a service provider, classified as determined by such provider, as follows:

(i.) Residential consumers: Such tax shall be $1.50 per month plus $0.01520 per kilowatt hour (kWh) with a minimum tax of $1.50 per month and a maximum tax of $3.00 per month.

(ii.) Commercial and Industrial consumers: Such tax shall be $1.50 per month plus $0.01500 on the first 667 kilowatt hours (kWh) and $0.00105 per kilowatt hour over 667 kilowatt hours (kWh) delivered with a minimum tax of $1.50 per month and a maximum tax of $100 per month.

(b.) Natural Gas Utility Consumer Tax. In accordance with Virginia Code section 58.1-3814, effective January 1, 2001, there is hereby imposed and levied a monthly tax on each purchase of natural gas delivered to consumers by pipeline distribution companies and gas utilities classified by "class of consumers" as such term is defined in Virginia Code section 58.1-3814(J.), as follows:

(i.) Residential consumers: Such tax shall be $1.20 per month plus $0.135 per CCF delivered monthly with a minimum tax of $1.20 per month and a maximum tax of $3.00 per month.

(ii.) Commercial and Industrial consumers: Such tax shall be $0.10 per CCF on the first 100 CCF delivered monthly and $0.075 on CCF used monthly in excess of 100 CCF with a maximum tax of $100 per month and base tax, which shall be paid in addition to the tax on CCF consumed, as follows: 1. Small commercial/industrial consumers (no more than 67,500 CCF per year), monthly base of $2.50; 2. Large commercial/industrial consumers (more than 67,500 CCF per year), monthly base of $12.30; 3. Commercial/Industrial Interruptible, monthly base of $14.66. The monthly base is also the monthly minimum tax to be paid by commercial and industrial consumers.

Sec. 58-353. Collection and remittance of tax by service provider.

(a) Every telecommunication, electric, or natural gas utility service provider that provides service within this jurisdiction shall collect the tax from the consumer by adding the tax to the monthly charge for such services, and the tax shall be paid by the consumer to the service provider at the time the monthly charge shall become due and payable under the agreement between the consumer and the service provider. The tax shall, when collected, be stated as a distinct item separate and apart from the monthly charge. Until the consumer pays the tax to the service provider, the tax shall constitute a debt of the consumer to the county. If any consumer refuses to pay the tax, the service provider shall notify the county. If any consumer fails to pay a bill issued by a service provider, including the tax imposed by a

locality, the service provider shall follow its normal collection procedures with regard to the charge for its service and the tax and upon collection of the bill or any part thereof apportion it and remit payment to the county in accordance with Virginia Code sections 58.1-3814(G) and (I). After the consumer pays the tax to the service provider, the taxes collected shall be deemed to be held in trust by the service provider until remitted to the county.

(b) A service provider shall report the taxes imposed, levied and collected and remit to the treasurer of the county on or before the last day of the month the amount of the tax billed during the preceding month to consumers with a service address in the county less any deduction allowed under subsection (d) of this section.

(c) Any consumer of local telecommunication service shall be entitled to a refund from the county equal to the amount of any tax on local telecommunication service the consumer paid to a jurisdiction outside of the state if such tax was legally imposed in such other jurisdiction; however, the amount of credit or refund shall not exceed the tax paid to the county on such purchase.

(d) A service provider required to collect, account for and remit to the county the tax imposed on a consumer under this division shall be allowed a commission for such service in the amount of five percent of the amount of tax due and accounted for in the form of a deduction from the tax remitted. Provided, however, no deduction shall be allowed if the amount due was delinquent.

Sec. 58-355. Exemptions.

(a) The United States of America, the state and the political subdivisions, boards, commissions and authorities thereof are hereby exempted from the payment of taxes imposed and levied under this article with respect to the purchase of local telecommunication, electric, and natural gas service used by such governmental agencies.

(b) Local messages which are paid for by inserting coins in coin-operated telephones shall be exempt from the tax imposed and levied under section 58-352.

(c) Churches and church-owned structures, which are owned and deeded in the name of the trustees of the church, where the utility services are billed to the church and paid by the church, are exempt from the taxes imposed under this division.

(d) Persons who are heads of a household and who are sixty-five (65) years of age or older and where the combined gross income from all household members is less than a figure approved annually by resolution of the board of supervisors are exempt from the taxes imposed under this division. Application for exemption under this subsection from the taxes shall be made with the county commissioner of revenue. The application must be supported by a copy of the federal income tax returns filed by all members of the household for the previous year and other documentation as the commissioner of revenue may require.

(1) The board of supervisors shall adopt such resolution establishing the qualifying minimum income level for this exemption annually at the time it adopts the annual budget for the county or as soon thereafter as may practical.

(2) The county administrator or his designated agent shall maintain and make available for public inspection a complete record of all resolutions establishing the annual qualifying income level and shall provide the commissioner of revenue a copy of same.

(e) Electric utility service charges for water heating where a separate meter is used solely for the electric utility service for water heating and unmetered electric utility service shall be exempt from the taxes imposed under this division.

(§58-355 (d) (1) & (2), Ord. No. 98-6, 6-23-98)

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	***Aye***	***Mr. Roberts***	***Aye***	***Mr. McCall***	***Aye***
Mr. Ingle	***Aye***	***Mr. Rector***	***Aye***	***Mrs. Mumpower***	***Aye***
Mr. Barker	***Aye***				

6 **Review and Approval of Virginia Public Authorities Agreement for the Purchase of Electricity from Appalachian Power Company (dba American Electric Power Company)**

Received communication and Agreement for Purchase of Electricity from American Electric Power along with new rates and agreement terms.

On motion of Mr. Ingle, second by Mr. Roberts, it was resolved to approve Virginia Public Authorities Agreement for the Purchase of Electricity from Appalachian Power Company (dba American Electric Power) effective for the period of twenty-four months beginning July 1, 2000 and ending June 30, 2002.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	***Aye***	***Mr. Roberts***	***Aye***	***Mr. McCall***	***Aye***
Mr. Ingle	***Aye***	***Mr. Rector***	***Aye***	***Mrs. Mumpower***	***Aye***
Mr. Barker	***Aye***				

8 **Consideration of Resolution No. 2000-19, Statewide Mutual Aid for Emergency Management**

Ms. Mary Fraysier presented information on the Virginia statewide Mutual Aid Program, and responded to questions from the Board of Supervisors.

On motion of Mr. Barker, second by Mr. McCall, it was resolved to adopt the following Resolution:

Resolution No. 2000-19

Statewide Mutual Aid for Emergency Management

WHEREAS, the Commonwealth of Virginia Emergency Services and Disaster Law of 2000, as amended, (Title 44, Chapter 3.2 of the Virginia Code) authorizes the Commonwealth and its political subdivisions to provide emergency aid and assistance in the event of a major disaster; and

WHEREAS, the statutes also authorize the State Emergency Operations Center to coordinate the provision of any equipment, services, or facilities owned or organized by the Commonwealth or its political subdivisions for use in the affected area upon request of the duly constituted authority of the area; and

WHEREAS, this Resolution authorizes the request, provision, and receipt of inter-jurisdictional mutual aid in accordance with Title 44, Chapter 3.2 of the Code of Virginia among political subdivisions, other authorized entities and officers within the Commonwealth;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Washington County, Virginia Board of Supervisors shall have the authority to participate in Statewide Mutual Aid in the event of emergency or disaster in accordance with the following terms and conditions, which shall be in the nature of a compact and agreement among participating entities which have adopted similar executive orders, ordinances or resolutions. This Statewide Mutual Aid program may include requests for and provision of personnel, equipment, materials, and other forms of assistance, or any combination of assistance, to any entity within the Commonwealth.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	***Aye***	***Mr. Roberts***	***Aye***	***Mr. McCall***	***Aye***
Mr. Ingle	***Aye***	***Mr. Rector***	***Aye***	***Mrs. Mumpower***	***Aye***
Mr. Barker	***Aye***				

During his comments, Mr. Barker commended Ms. Fraysier for her work on the Emergency Services Committee, and suggested during the next budget cycle discussions that the Board considers restoring clerical assistance for the Emergency Services Department.

---

9 **Award of Bid for Animal Control Truck (Mark W. Seamon, Acctg/Purch Mgr.)**

On motion of Mr. Rector, second by Mr. Roberts, it was resolved to accept the low bid of Empire Ford in the amount of $17,436.00 for the purchase of a 4x4 pickup truck for the Department of Animal Control.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	***Aye***	***Mr. Roberts***	***Aye***	***Mr. McCall***	***Aye***
Mr. Ingle	***Aye***	***Mr. Rector***	***Aye***	***Mrs. Mumpower***	***Aye***
Mr. Barker	***Aye***				

---

10 **Placement of Local Law Enforcement Block Grant Funding for FY 1999-2000 into Sheriff's Office Budget**.

Sheriff Fred Newman was present to request release of Local Law Enforcement Block Grant funding for FY 1999 and FY 2000 to the Sheriff's budget.

On motion of Mr. Ingle, second by Mr. Rector, it was resolved to approve supplemental appropriation of funds designated as Local Law Enforcement Block Grant Funds in the amount of $15,341 for FY 1999 and $14,105 for FY 2000, and further, it was resolved that the local matching funds for FY 1999 in the amount of $1,705 and FY 2000 in the amount of $1,567 be paid from the Sheriff's Budget.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	***Aye***	***Mr. Roberts***	***Aye***	***Mr. McCall***	***Aye***
Mr. Ingle	***Aye***	***Mr. Rector***	***Aye***	***Mrs. Mumpower***	***Aye***
Mr. Barker	***Aye***				

---

11 **Recess**

There was no recess.

12 **County Administrator Comments**

The following action was taken on County Administrator Comments:

a. **Invitation, Clean Drinking Water Project Development Summit, Tuesday, October 24, 2000, Southwest Virginia Higher Education Center**

Received announcement on Clean Drinking Water Project Development Summit scheduled for Tuesday, October 24, 2000 at the Southwest Virginia Higher Education Center in Abingdon. Supervisors Derting, Roberts, Ingle and Rector will attend, as well as the County Administrator.

Mr. Ingle commented that he has learned there is a possibility grant monies may be available for the Brumley Gap Water Project. He stated Thomas Taylor, Executive Director, Mount Rogers Planning District Commission is planning on using this as a model project.

*****

b. **Voting Delegate, Virginia Association of Counties 2000 Annual Meeting, The Homestead, Bath County**

There was consensus agreement that Joe Derting will represent Washington County as a voting delegate at the 2000 Annual Business Meeting of Virginia Association of Counties, November 14, 2000.

*****

c. **Endorsement of Smyth-Washington Regional Industrial Facilities Authority Application for Regional Competitiveness Programs Funds of $11,500 for Marketing of Glade Highlands Regional Park (Christy Parker)**

On motion of Mr. Ingle, second by Mr. Roberts, it was resolved to endorse the Smyth-Washington County Regional Industrial Facilities Authority application for $11,500 in Regional Competitiveness Program funds from the Commonwealth of Virginia.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	***Aye***	***Mr. Roberts***	***Aye***	***Mr. McCall***	***Aye***
Mr. Ingle	***Aye***	***Mr. Rector***	***Aye***	***Mrs. Mumpower***	***Aye***
Mr. Barker	***Aye***				

13 **County Attorney Comments**

Received from County Attorney Lucy Bright communication and draft statement of information on the 2000 referendum election on the question of whether a food and beverages tax should be administered in Washington County.

There was consensus agreement that the County Attorney prepare a press release separate from the statement of the question. Additionally, Chairman Derting encouraged the Supervisors to meet with civic clubs to present information on the November 2000 referendum question of a food and beverages tax in Washington County.

On motion of Mr. Barker, second by Mr. Roberts, it was resolved to authorize the County Attorney to release and publish statement of explanation of the Food and Beverages Tax Referendum Election in preparation for the November 2000 referendum election.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	***Aye***	***Mr. Roberts***	***Aye***	***Mr. McCall***	***Aye***
Mr. Ingle	***Aye***	***Mr. Rector***	***Aye***	***Mrs. Mumpower***	***Aye***
Mr. Barker	***Aye***				

14 **Consent Agenda**

(During a brief discussion of the consent agenda, Mr. Barker left the Board Meeting table but as the vote was registered.)

On motion of Mr. Rector, second by Mr. Ingle, it was resolved to approve the following consent agenda items:

a. Payment of Bills, September 2000
b. Request for Reimbursement of Subdivision Plat Fee, $84.00, Holbrook Surveyors

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	***Aye***	***Mr. Roberts***	***Aye***	***Mr. McCall***	***Aye***
Mr. Ingle	***Aye***	***Mr. Rector***	***Aye***	***Mrs. Mumpower***	***Aye***
Mr. Barker	***Aye***				

15 **Board Member Reports**

The following reports were given by Supervisors:

Mr. Rector commented on his efforts to assist residents on Hangar Lane to obtain a working Water Buffalo storage tank through the Washington County Service Authority. He asked that Mr. Reeter and Ms. Fraysier assist in the efforts.

Mr. Barker conveyed his concern and difficulty in getting calls returned from the Washington County Service Authority.

Mr. Barker reported a communication with Bruce Martin, Trustee of the Bristol West Properties, requesting Washington County grant him permission to work with Bristol Tennessee to get sewer service to the proposed development. Subsequently, the following action was taken:

On motion of Mr. Barker, second by Mr. Ingle, it was resolved that Washington County has no objection to allowing Bruce Martin, Trustee of Bristol West Property, to seek sewer service from the City of Bristol, Tennessee.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	***Aye***	***Mr. Roberts***	***Aye***	***Mr. McCall***	***Aye***
Mr. Ingle	***Aye***	***Mr. Rector***	***Aye***	***Mrs. Mumpower***	***Aye***
Mr. Barker	***Aye***				

Mr. McCall expressed appreciation to Mrs. Hatfield and Leadership Washington County for the opportunity to speak to the class of future leaders and tour the County on Saturday, October 7, 2000.

Chairman Derting reported on the status of Utility Trailers opening; and reported he presented a plaque to Ernest Borgnine on behalf of Washington County Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Ingle reported Paramont's 21st Century Containers would begin their production in December 2000.

16 **Citizen Comments**

At this time, Chairman Derting invited comments from citizens on items that do not appear on the Agenda.

Lacy Love presented pictures to the Board of Supervisors and reported an incident of trailers being moved onto property without receiving proper permitting for an out-house for an existing building. He expressed concern about enforcement procedures.

Patrick Mannix addressed the Supervisors on enforcement of building code violations, and matters pertaining to the Sheriff's department; submission of Freedom of Information Act form.

17 **Adjournment**

On motion of Mr. Ingle, second by Mr. Rector, it was resolved to adjourn the meeting.

The vote on this motion was as follows: (7-0)

Mr. Derting	***Aye***	***Mr. Roberts***	***Aye***	***Mr. McCall***	***Aye***
Mr. Ingle	***Aye***	***Mr. Rector***	***Aye***	***Mrs. Mumpower***	***Aye***
Mr. Barker	***Aye***				

_____
Joe W. Derting, Chairman